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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduced the new concept detour global domination number for $k$-regular graph with girth 3 , where $k$ is even. First we recollect the concept of $k$-regular graphs and we produce some results based on the detour global domination number for $k$-regular graph with girth 3, where $k$ is even. A set $S$ is called a detour global dominating set of $G$ if $S$ is both detour and global dominating set of $G$. The detour global domination number is the minimum cardinality of a detour global dominating set in $G$.
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## 1 Introduction

By a graph $G=(V, E)$ we mean a finite, connected, undirected graph with neither loops nor multiple edges. The order $|V|$ and size $|E|$ of $G$ are denoted by $p$ and $q$ respectively. For graph theoretic terminology we refer to West[9]. For vertices $x$ and $y$ in a connected graph $G$, the detour distance $D(x, y)$ is the length of a longest $x-y$ path in $G[1]$. An $x-y$ path of length $D(x, y)$ is called an $x-y$ detour. The closed interval $I_{D}[x, y]$ consists of all vertices lying on some $x-y$ detour of $G$. For $S \subseteq V, I_{D}[S]=\cup_{x, y \in S} I_{D}[x, y]$. A set $S$ of vertices is a detour set if $I_{D}[S]=V$, and the minimum cardinality of a detour set is the detour number $d n(G)$. A detour set of cardinality $d n(G)$ is called a minimum detour set [2].

A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ in a graph $G$ is a dominating set of $G$ if for every vertex $v$ in $V-S$, there exists a vertex $u \in S$ such that $v$ is adjacent to $u$. The domination number of $G$, denoted by $\gamma(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set of $G$ [3]. The complement $\bar{G}$ of a graph $G$ also has $V(G)$ as its point set, but two points are adjacent in $\bar{G}$ if and only if they are not adjacent in $G$. A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is called a global dominating set of $G$ if it a
dominating set of both G and $\bar{G}[7]$.
A graph is $k$-regular if every vertex has degree $k$. The girth of a graph is the length of its shortest cycle.[5]

Definition 1.1 Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected graph with atleast two vertices. A set $S \subseteq$ $V(G)$ is said to be a detour global dominating set of $G$ if $S$ is both detour and global dominating set of $G$. The detour global domination number, denoted by $\bar{\gamma}_{d}(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a detour global dominating set of $G$ and the detour global dominating set with cardinality $\bar{\gamma}_{d}(G)$ is called the $\bar{\gamma}_{d}$-set of $G$ or $\bar{\gamma}_{d}(G)$-set.[4]

In 2015, N. Mohanapriya, et. Al. [6] investigated the domination number and its parameters for four regular graphs $G(n)$ on $n$ vertices with girth 3. In 2019, Primo Potocnik and Jano Vidali[8] studied girth regular graphs. In 2020, C. Jayasekaran, S. Delbin Prema and S.V. Ashwin Prakash[5] studied irredundance and domination number for six regular graph with girth 3. This motivated us to determine detour global domination number for $k$-regular graph with girth 3 where $k$ is even.

In Section 2 we deal with the structure for 4 regular graph with girth 3 and 6 regular graph with girth 3 .

In Section 3 we introduce detour global domination number for $k$-regular graph with girth 3 where $k$ is even and condition for minimum number of detour global dominating set.

## 2 Basic Definitions

Definition 2.1 If $v_{1}$ is adjacent with $v_{n-1}, v_{n}, v_{2}, v_{3} ; v_{2}$ is adjacent with $v_{n}, v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{4} ; v_{i}$ is adjacent with $v_{i-2}, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}$, where $i=3$ to $n-2, v_{n-1}$ is adjacent with $v_{n-3}, v_{n-2}, v_{n}, v_{1}$ and $v_{n}$ is adjacent with $v_{n-2}, v_{n-1}, v_{1}, v_{2}$ such that $v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{n}$ forms a cycle, then clearly each vertex is of degree 4 . Hence, the graph has $2 n$ edges. Thus, from the construction, we have a 4-regular graph of girth 3 with $n$ vertices and $2 n$ edges. In Figure 1, a four regular graph on $n$ vertices with girth 3 is shown.


Definition 2.2 If $v_{1}$ is adjacent with $v_{n-2}, v_{n-1}, v_{n}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4} ; v_{2}$ is adjacent with $v_{n-1}, v_{n}, v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5} ; v_{i}$ is adjacent with $v_{i-3}, v_{i-2}, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, v_{i+3}$, where $i=3$ to $n-2, \quad v_{n-1}$ is adjacent with $v_{n-4}, v_{n-3}, v_{n-2}, v_{n}, v_{1}, v_{2}$ and $v_{n}$ is adjacent with $v_{n-3}, v_{n-2}, v_{n-1}, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ such that $v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{n}$ forms a cycle, then clearly each vertex is of degree 6. Hence, the graph has $3 n$ edges. Thus, from the construction, we have a 6-regular graph of girth 3 with $n$ vertices and $3 n$ edges. In Figure 2, a six regular graph on $n$ vertices with girth 3 is shown.


Figure 2 : $G(n, 6,3)$

## 3 Detour global domination number for $\boldsymbol{k}$-regular graph with girth 3

Definition 3.1 If $v_{1}$ is adjacent with $v_{n-\left(\frac{k}{2}-1\right)}, \cdots, v_{n-2}, v_{n-1}, v_{n}, v_{2}, v_{3}, \cdots, v_{\frac{k}{2}+1} ; v_{2}$ is adjacent with

$$
v_{n-\left(\frac{k}{2}-2\right)}, \cdots, v_{n}, v_{1}, v_{3}, v_{4}, \cdots, v_{\frac{k}{2}+2} ; v_{i}
$$

with $v_{i-\frac{k}{2}}, \cdots, v_{i-2}, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, \cdots, v_{i+\frac{k}{2}}$, where $i=3$ to $n-2, v_{n-1}$ is adjacent with $v_{n-\left(\frac{k}{2}+1\right)}, \cdots, v_{n-3}, v_{n-2}, v_{n}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{\frac{k}{2}-1}$ and $v_{n}$ is adjacent with $v_{n-\frac{k}{2}}, \cdots, v_{n-2}, v_{n-1}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{\frac{k}{2}}$ such that $v_{1} v_{2} \cdots v_{n}$ forms a cycle, then clearly each vertex is of degree $k$. Hence, the graph has $\frac{n k}{2}$ edges. Thus, from the construction, we have a $k$-regular graph of girth 3 with $n$ vertices and $\frac{n k}{2}$ edges where $k$ is even. In this section we denote the $k$ regular graph on $n$ vertices with girth 3 as $G(n, k, 3)$.
Theorem 3.2 For any integer $n \geq k+1, \bar{\gamma}_{d}(G(n, k, 3))= \begin{cases}n & \text { if } n=k+1 \\ {\left[\frac{n}{k+1}\right\rceil} & \text { for } n \geq k+2\end{cases}$

Proof. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, \cdots, v_{n}$ be the vertices of $G(n, k, 3)$ such that $v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} \cdots v_{n} v_{1}$ forms a cycle. Now consider for $n=k+1, G(k+1, k, 3)$ is isomorphic to $K_{k+1}$. We know that all the vertices are isolated vertices in the complement graph of $G(k+1, k, 3)$. Therefore, the detour global dominating set must contain all the vertices of $G(k+1, k, 3)$ and so, for $n=k+1$, $\bar{\gamma}_{d}(G(k+1, k, 3))=k+1$.

Now consider for $n \geq k+2$, starting with the vertex $v_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n \quad N\left[v_{i}\right]=$ $\left\{v_{i-\frac{k}{2}}, \cdots, v_{i-2}, v_{i-1}, v_{i}, v_{i+1}, v_{i+2}, \cdots, v_{i+\frac{k}{2}}\right\}$ where the suffices modulo $n$ and $\left|N\left[v_{i}\right]\right|=k+1$. Now, we choose the next vertex to be $v_{i+k+1}$ where, $N\left[v_{i+k+1}\right]=$ $\left\{v_{i+\frac{k}{2}+1}, \cdots, v_{i+k-1}, v_{i+k}, v_{i+k+1}, v_{i+k+2}, v_{i+k+3}, \cdots, v_{i+\frac{3 k}{2}+1}\right\}$. Clearly, $N\left[v_{i}\right] \neq N\left[v_{i+k+1}\right]$. Proceeding like this we obtain a set $S=\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+k+1}, v_{i+2(k+1)}, \cdots, v_{i+\left(\left[\frac{n}{k+1}\right]-1\right)(k+1)}\right\}$ which dominates every vertices in $G(n, k, 3)$. Also, $v_{i}-v_{i+k+1}$ detour path covers all the vertices of $G(n, k, 3)$. As a result, $S$ is a minimum detour dominating set. We now show that $S$ is a global dominating set of $G(n, k, 3)$. In $\overline{G(n, k, 3)}, \quad N\left[v_{i}\right] \cup N\left[v_{i+k+1}\right]=V(G(n, k, 3))$. Since $v_{i}, v_{i+k+1} \in S \quad S \quad$ is a dominating set of $\overline{G(n, k, 3)}$. Therefore, $S=$ $\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+k+1}, v_{i+2(k+1)}, \cdots, v_{i+\left(\left|\frac{n}{k+1}\right|-1\right)(k+1)}\right\}$ is a minimum detour global dominating set for $1 \leq$ $i \leq n$ and the suffices modulo n and hence, $\bar{\gamma}_{d}(G(n, k, 3))=|S|=\left\lceil\frac{n}{k+1}\right\rceil$ for $n \geq k+2$.

Example 3.3 Consider the graph $G(12,8,3)$ given in Figure 3. for which the minimum detour global dominating sets are $\left\{v_{1}, v_{10}\right\},\left\{v_{2}, v_{11}\right\},\left\{v_{3}, v_{12}\right\},\left\{v_{4}, v_{1}\right\},\left\{v_{5}, v_{2}\right\},\left\{v_{6}, v_{3}\right\}$, $\left\{v_{7}, v_{4}\right\},\left\{v_{8}, v_{5}\right\},\left\{v_{9}, v_{6}\right\},\left\{v_{10}, v_{7}\right\},\left\{v_{11}, v_{8}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{12}, v_{9}\right\}$ and hence by Theorem 3.2, $\bar{\gamma}_{d}(G(12,8,3))=\left\lceil\frac{12}{9}\right\rceil=2$.


Figure 3: $G(12,8,3)$

Theorem 3.4 In $G(n, k, 3)$, for $n>k+1$ and if $n$ is a multiple of $k+1$, then $G(n, k, 3)$ contains only $k+1$ minimum detour global dominating set.

Proof. Let $G(n, k, 3)$ be a $k$-regular graph with girth 3 where $k$ is even and $n$ be a multiple of $k+1$. Then $n=(k+1) m$ where $m \geq 1$. If $m=1$, then $G(n, k, 3)=G(k+1, k, 3) \cong$ $K_{k+1}$ which contains every vertices of $G(k+1, k, 3)$. Hence, for $n=k+1$ there exists only one minimum detour global dominating set. Now consider for $n=(k+1) m, m \geq 2$ where the minimum detour global dominating sets is $S=\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+k+1}, v_{i+2(k+1)}, \cdots, v_{i+\left(\left[\frac{n}{k+1}\right]-1\right)(k+1)}\right\}=$ $\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+k+1}, v_{i+2(k+1)}, \cdots, v_{i+(m-1)(k+1)}\right\}=\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+k+1}, v_{i+2(k+1)}, \cdots, v_{i+n-(k+1)}\right\} \quad$ for $\quad 1 \leq i \leq$ $n, m \geq 2$ and the suffices modulo $n$.

Now we consider the following two cases for $m=2$ and $m>2$.
Case 1. $m=2$
Here, $G(n, k, 3)=G(2(k+1), k, 3)$. Then The minimum detour global dominating sets are $\left\{v_{1}, v_{k+2}\right\},\left\{v_{2}, v_{k+3}\right\},\left\{v_{3}, v_{k+4}\right\}, \cdots,\left\{v_{k}, v_{2 k+1}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{k+1}, v_{2 k+2}\right\}$. Thus, $G(n, k, 3)$ contains only $k+1$ minimum detour global dominating sets.

For example consider the graph $G(n, 6,3)=G(14,4,3)$, given in Figure 4. The minimum detour global dominating sets are $\left\{v_{1}, v_{8}\right\},\left\{v_{2}, v_{9}\right\},\left\{v_{3}, v_{10}\right\},\left\{v_{4}, v_{11}\right\},\left\{v_{5}, v_{12}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{6}, v_{13}\right\}$. Thus, $G(n, 6,3)$ contains only 7 minimum detour global dominating sets.


Figure 4 : $G(14,6,3)$
Case 2. $m>2$
The minimum detour global dominating sets are $S_{i}=\left\{v_{i}, v_{i+k+1}, \cdots, v_{i+n-(k+1)}\right\}$ where
$1 \leq i \leq n \quad$ and the suffices modulo $n$. Here, $S_{1}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{k+2}, \cdots, v_{n-k}\right\}, S_{2}=$ $\left\{v_{2}, v_{k+3}, \cdots, v_{n-(k-1)}\right\}, \cdots, S_{k}=\left\{v_{k}, v_{2 k+1}, \cdots, v_{n-1}\right\}$, and $\quad S_{k+1}=\left\{v_{k+1}, v_{2(k+1)}, \cdots, v_{n}\right\}$. Proceeding like this we get $S_{p}=\left\{v_{p}, v_{p+k+1}, \cdots, v_{p+n-(k+1)}\right\}$ for $k+2 \leq p \leq n$. Since the suffices are modulo $n, S_{p}$ is either $S_{1}$ or $S_{2}$ or $\cdots S_{k}$ or $S_{k+1}$ according as $p \equiv 1(\bmod$ $(k+1))$ or $p \equiv 2(\bmod (k+1))$ or $\cdots p \equiv k(\bmod (k+1))$ or $p \equiv 0(\bmod (k+1))$, respectively. Thus, $G(n, k, 3)$ contains only $k+1$ minimum detour global dominating sets.

The theorem follows from cases 1 and 2.
Example 3.5 Consider the graph $G(27,8,3)$ given in Figure 5 where the minimum detour global dominating sets are $\left\{v_{1}, v_{10}, v_{19}\right\},\left\{v_{2}, v_{11}, v_{20}\right\},\left\{v_{3}, v_{12}, v_{21}\right\},\left\{v_{4}, v_{13}, v_{22}\right\}$, $\left\{v_{5}, v_{14}, v_{23}\right\},\left\{v_{6}, v_{15}, v_{24}\right\},\left\{v_{7}, v_{16}, v_{25}\right\},\left\{v_{8}, v_{17}, v_{26}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{9}, v_{18}, v_{27}\right\}$. Thus, by Theorem 3.4, there is only 9 minimum detour global dominating sets.


Figure 5 : $G(27,8,3)$
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