# Circle Graphs: A New Class of Fixed Degree Interconnection 

 NetworksBo Ok Seong ${ }^{\text {\#1 }}$, Hyeong Ok Lee ${ }^{* 2}$<br>Dept. Convergence of scientific information., National Univ. of Sunchon, Republic of Korea Dept. Computer Edu., National Univ. of Sunchon, Republic of Korea qjr133@ naver.com, oklee@scnu.ac.kr(Corresponding Author)

## Article Info

Page Number: 10815-10830
Publication Issue:
Vol. 71 No. 4 (2022)

Article History
Article Received:
15 September 2022
Revised: 25 October 2022
Accepted: 14 November 2022
Publication: 21 December 2022


#### Abstract

This paper suggests a novel graph Circle $\operatorname{Graph}\left(\mathrm{CG}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ with fixed degree of three. The node address of the $\mathrm{CG}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is expressed with a n-binary number, along with degree of three, $3\left(2^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n}-1)\right)$ edges, and $2^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}$ nodes. In this paper, we suggest shortest-path routing algorithm for message transmission, and prove the diameter of $\mathrm{CG}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is $2^{\wedge}(\mathrm{n}-1)$ based on the routing algorithm outcome. Our $\mathrm{CG}_{\mathrm{n}}$ possess the node symmetry and Hamilton cyclestructure. In addition, in this paper, we developed an algorithm to create parallel paths without node duplication.
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## Introduction

Supercomputers play a major role in improving the competitiveness of national science and technology. The competitiveness of supercomputers is the core of the future, and it is used in all industries such as medical care, materials, defense, meteorology, and chemistry, so it has a great influence on the whole country[1]. High-performance computers are evolving throughout the rapidly changing era, offering satisfaction to new market demands and diverse requirements on application domains[2-4].

A parallel computer is a computer system that subdivides an assignment into multiple tasks. It processes the corresponding task by allocating them to hardware resources (e.g., multiple cores) in a parallel fashion. Parallel computers are mainly divided into shared-memory multiprocessors and message passing computers[5]. In a shared-memory multiprocessor system, the memory system directly affects the overall performance[6]. The critical attribute of the message passing computer is the interconnection network, which refers to the connection structure between processors considering the locational property, which is one of the factors that determines the performance of a parallel processing system[7-8]. Therefore, research on interconnection networks is considered fundamental in order to improve the performance and control the execution of parallel processing computers[9].

The network cost is a widely implemented metric to quantitatively assess the interconnection network, mathematically defined as a multiplication between the degree and the diameter where degree refers to the hardware cost and diameter denotes the software cost. In order to
construct an efficient network structure with the minimum usage of network cost, the cardinality of both the degree and diameter should be reduced[10]. However, the relationship between the degree and diameter shows a negative correlation, and this trade-off hinders the achievement of decreasing the network cost in a harmonious manner[7,11,12].

The Shortest Path problem refers to a problem of finding an optimal route in which the sum of weights of edges leads to the minimum value that connects the node $u$ to node $v$ in the network $G$ where $(n, v) \in G$. The edge weight is the value of the edge connecting the existing nodes and could be both positive to negative numerical values. This concept offers a numerical representation of resources and factors that evaluate the network (e.g. cost, distance, time, etc.), which can have positive or negative values. The shortest path problem in a weighted graph (i.e., edges denoted with weights) computes the shortest path that reaches the destination node v from the initial starting node $u$. Diverse research was conducted to effectively locate the shortest path concerning this problem. In this paper, we propose a novel degree graph $C G_{n}$ that presents a degree of three. We analyze the theoretical properties of this graph structure and the shortest path algorithm with respect to the parallel routing algorithmic perspective. Our n-dimensional $C G_{n}$ indicates the node address through n-bit binary, having degree of three, $2^{n}$ number of nodes, and $2^{n-2}$ diameter.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 explores the interconnection network and the types of constant-degree graphs. In section 3, we define interconnection network $C G_{n}$ and analyze theoretical properties of the suggested graph and its degree, followed by presenting the routing algorithm and parallel path. Finally, we conclude our works in section 4.

Related works
The interconnection networks can be classified into the following three types based on the number of nodes: mesh type with $n(V(G))=k \times n$, a hypercube type with $n(V(G))=2^{n}$, and a star graph type with $n(V(G))=n![13-14]$. The mesh type is a well-known graph structure that has been widely utilized as a planar graph, which has been commercialized in various systems[11,15,16].

Table 1. Fixed degree graph

| Interconnection <br> Network | Number of <br> Nodes | Degree | Diameter | Network Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mesh | $k \times n$ | 4 | $2 \sqrt{n}$ | $O(8 \sqrt{n})$ |
| Honeycomb | $6 t^{2}$ | 3 | $1.63 \sqrt{n}$ | $O(4.9 \sqrt{n})$ |
| Torus | $k \times n$ | 4 | $\sqrt{n}$ | $O(4 \sqrt{n})$ |
| $S_{n}$ | $n!$ | 3 | $\frac{1}{8}\left(9 n^{2}-22 n+24\right)$ | $O\left(\frac{27}{8} n^{2}\right)$ |
| $N S E P_{n}$ | $n!$ | 4 | $\frac{2}{3} n^{2}-\frac{3}{2} n+1$ | $O\left(\frac{8}{3} n^{2}\right)$ |

3

$$
2^{n-2}
$$

The m-dimensional mesh $M_{m}(N)$ consists of $n(V(G))=N^{m}$ and $n(E(G))=m N^{m}-$ $m N^{m-1}$. The address of each node is expressed with an m-dimensional vector, and when the addresses of any two nodes differ by 1 in one dimension, there is an edge between them[17]. The advantage of Low-dimensional meshes is that they are straightforward to design, it is considered as a promising scheme that has been widely implemented to specifically design the network topology for parallel processing computers. Higher-dimensional meshes have smaller diameters and larger bipartite widths. In addition, they tend to perform the parallel algorithms with rapid pace, but requires a high cost[15,18,19]. Hexagonal Mesh[20], Toroidal Mesh, Diagonal Mesh[21], Honeycomb Mesh[22], Hierarchical Petersen[16], Torus are proposed as an alternative structure that shows to have improved general lattice-structured mesh diameter[19,23]. Furthermore, the Shuffle-Exchange Permutation (SEP) was asserted based on permutation groups, which has the advantage of easy conductivity of graph-based simulation (e.g., Cayley graph)[24]. SEP enables to efficiently operate the algorithm with minimal transitions in newly given graphs, and SEP also is a regular network with a degree of three. Moreover, an NSEP (New-SEP) with a degree of four with enhanced SEP diameter and network cost has been proposed[25].
$\mathrm{CG}_{\mathrm{n}}$ graph design and analysis
The Graph is formulated based on the Vertex (Node) and Edge. Let node of graph $C G_{n}$ is S , where the node is expressed with binary n-bit, and the total number of node $n\left(\mathrm{U}_{\forall i} S_{i}\right)=2^{n}$. The address of the node S in the $C G_{n}$ graph is as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\left(S_{n} S_{n-1} S_{n-2} \ldots S_{i} \ldots S_{3} S_{2} S_{1}\right), 1 \leq i \leq n, i \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1. The three edge types connecting to node $S$ constituting the $n$-dimensional $C G_{n}$ graph are as follows.

The symbol \% means the remaining operators
Increasing Edge $\left(E_{f}\right)$ : Connect a node that is 1 bit higher from the address of the node S .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{(S+1)+2^{n}\right\} \% 2^{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Decreasing Edge $\left(E_{b}\right)$ : Connect a node that is 1 bit lesser from the address of the node $S$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{(S-1)+2^{n}\right\} \% 2^{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Complement Edge $\left(E_{c}\right)$ : Connect a node that is a complement node of the most significant bit $S_{n}$ from the address of the node S .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S+2^{n-1}\right) \% 2^{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1. In the $n$-dimensional $C G_{n}$ graph, when $n=4$, the connection relationship of nodes is as follows.

In $\mathrm{G}, n(V(G))=2^{4}$ with $E\left(v_{\forall i}\right)=3$. If the node S is $1\left(=0001_{(2)}\right)$ where $x_{(2)}$ denotes the binary with $x \in\{0,1\}$, we substitute a decimal value according to the graph definition, which leads $E_{f}$ to direct $2\left(=0010_{(2)}\right)$ and $E_{b}$ to $0\left(=0000_{(2)}\right)$. The final branch $\left(E_{c}\right)$ points to 9 $\left(=1001_{(2)}\right)$ in which only the leftmost bit is complemented, and this operation is applied equally to all nodes, and the graph of $2^{4}$ has the form as shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1. $\mathrm{CG}_{4}$ graph


Figure 2. $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ cycle
Definition 2. In $C G_{n}$, a cycle of length $2^{n}$ consisting only of increasing or decreasing edges is nominated as $H_{n}$ cycle.

Example 2. When $n=3, H_{3}$ cycle is a subgraph that the length is 8 (Fig. 2).
A cycle that includes all vertices in the connected graph G is called a Hamiltonian cycle. If the network has a Hamilton pass or a Hamilton cycle, a ring or a linear array can be easily implemented, thus it is used as a pipeline for parallel processing. Theorem 1 shows that the internal $C G_{n}$ network has a Hamiltonian cycle[26-27].

Theorem 1. $C G_{n}$ contains Hamilton cycle.
Proof of Theorem 1. Let $S$ be an arbitrary node. By definition 2, there is always a cycle starting from $S$ and returning to $S$ by repeating increment or decrement operations. Therefore, $C G_{n}$ has a Hamiltonian cycle.

Theorem 2. $C G_{n}$ is a symmetric graph.
Proof of Theorem 2. A symmetrical relationship proves a one-to-one relationship between adjacent nodes of each existing node when mapping two arbitrary nodes. Let U be an arbitrary departure node and V be a destination node ( $0 \leq U, V \leq 2^{n}-1, U \neq V$ ). By
definition 2, arbitrary two nodes of $C G_{n}$ can be reached via increasing or decreasing edges. Therefore, U and V have the following relationship.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S+2^{n-1}\right) \% 2^{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The adjacent nodes of node U and V are $\left\{U+1, U-1,\left(U+2^{n}\right) \% 2^{n}\right\}$, $\{V+1, V-$ $\left.1,\left(V+2^{n}\right) \% 2^{n}\right\}$ respectively. When mapping U to V , the neighbor node is $\{(U+\alpha)+$ 1, $\left.(U+\alpha)-1,\left((U+\alpha)+2^{n}\right) \% 2^{n}\right\}$, and for $U+\alpha=V$, we conclude that $\{V+1, V-$ $\left.1,\left(V+2^{n}\right) \% 2^{n}\right\}$. Therefore $C G_{n}$ is a symmetric graph.

## Routing Algorithm

Routing is the process of efficiently and quickly determining the route of two points among one or multiple networks. We can obtain an effective solution to Routing problems in diverse domains via network techniques. A path is a route from one node to another through the edge of the graph. A practical routing algorithm should a path that is optimal and simple[28]. We introduce the routing algorithm and parallel path of the $C G_{n}$. Moreover, we prove the shortest path through the routing algorithm and parallel path algorithm.

Definition 3. D's location represents the set of destination nodes within the range when the starting node u is 0 .

Our ultimate objective is to compute the optimal length, and the preliminary step is to determine the range which the destination node is located. Our approach is to divide the graph into two sections, and in the corresponding section, we compute the optimal length. We have set $u$ as an initial starting node, and we subdivided the section range of destination node $v$ into Section A and Section B. Note that this study only divides and analyzes the right side of the graph while the routing algorithm is being processed.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Section A: } 0<D^{\prime} \text { s location }<2^{n-2}+1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Section B: } 2^{n-2}<D^{\prime} \text { s location }<2^{n-1}+1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 3. In a graph where $n$ is 3, the nodes in parentheses (001, 111), (010, 110), (011, 101) have the identical number of edges used to arrive at the optimal length from the start node to the destination node when the initial node is 0 .
$(001,111): \quad n(E(001,111))=1, \quad(010,110): \quad n(E(010,110))=2, \quad(011,101):$ $n(E(011,101))=3$

The main reason for this is that the graph is symmetric by definition. That is, when obtaining the optimal length with (010) as the target node, the length of the edges leading to (110) enables the successful path.

Theorem 3. Let $D$ be an arbitrary destination node of $C G_{n}$. The optimal length can be obtained through $D^{\prime}$ that satisfies $D+D^{\prime}=2^{n}$.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let D be an arbitrary destination node of $C G_{n}$. With the formula: $D^{\prime}=$ $2^{n}-D$, a node $D^{\prime}$ is being used as the destination node. The range is divided into two, and the operation selected first differs depending on the section in Sections A and B. Suppose the node belonging to Section $A$ is the destination node. In that case, the increment operation is optimal, and if the node belonging to Section B is the destination node, the decrement operation is preferred. We verify this assertion through the routing algorithm.

Example 4. When $n=4$, Section A, B is defined as follows.
Section A: Since it is a set of nodes greater than 0 and less than $2^{n-2}+1$, it has a value of $\{1,2,3,4\}$ in decimal.

Section B: Since it is a set of vertices greater than $2^{n-2}$ and less than $2^{n-2}+1$, it has a value of $\{5,6,7,8\}$ in decimal.

Definition 4. The terms used in the routing algorithm are defined as follows.
Table 2. Notation definition

| Notation | Definition |
| :---: | :--- |
| S | Starting node (Initial node) |
| Goal | Destination node |
| RN | Destination node |
| Current node |  |
| FD, FD_s | Verify whether functions move_f() and move_b() were previously <br> applied <br> $D^{\prime}$ |
| move_f(a) | Apply edge $E_{f}$, Shift status by $\left((a+1)+2^{n}\right) \% 2^{n}$ <br> move_b(a) <br> move_c(a) |
| Apply edge $E_{b}$, Shift status by $\left((a-1)+2^{n}\right) \% 2^{n}$ |  |
| Apply edge $E_{c}$, Shift status by $\left(a+2^{n-1}\right) \% 2^{n}$ |  |

Example 5. When $n=5$, if the initial node is 0 and the destination node is 1 , the path is as follows.

```
Section \(A=\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}\)
Section B \(=\{9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16\}\)
```

Increment operation is conducted until it reaches the destination node since the destination node is an element of Section A.

$$
0 \rightarrow 1
$$

Example 6. When $n=5$, if the initial node is 30 and the destination node is 15 , the path is as follows.

Since destination node 30 is larger than $2^{5}$, it utilizes the value of $D^{\prime}$.

$$
D^{\prime}=2^{5}-30=32-30=2
$$

Since $D^{\prime}$ is affiliated in Section A, it conducts decreasing operation until it reaches the destination node.

$$
3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 32 \rightarrow 31 \rightarrow 30
$$

Example 7. When $n=5$, if the initial node is 30 and the destination node is 15 , the path is as follows.

Since destination node 15 is smaller than $2^{n}$ and affiliated in Section B, it conducts the incremental operation after the complement operation.

$$
30 \rightarrow 14 \rightarrow 15
$$

```
Algorithm 1: Routing Algorithm
Input: Starting node S, Destination node D
Output: int RN
Node move_f(node RN)
    return \(\left((R N+1)+2^{n}\right) \% 2^{n}\)
Node move_b(node RN)
    return \(\left((R N-1)+2^{n}\right) \% 2^{n}\)
Node move_f(node RN)
    return \(\left(R N+2^{n-1}\right) \% 2^{n}\)
    Initialize int \(\mathrm{RN} \leftarrow \mathrm{S}\), int \((\mathrm{FD}, \mathrm{FD}\) _s \() \leftarrow 0\), int goal \(\leftarrow \mathrm{D}\)
    if \(\left(D<2^{n-1}\right)\) then
        \(\mathrm{FD} \leftarrow 1\)
    else
        FD_s \(\leftarrow 1, D^{\prime} \leftarrow 2^{n}-D\)
        \(D \leftarrow D^{\prime}\)
        if \(D \in \operatorname{Section} A\) then
        if \(\mathrm{FD}>\mathrm{FD}\) then
            \(\mathrm{RN} \leftarrow\) move_f(RN)
                while (True) do
                if \(\mathrm{RN}=\) goal then break
            else
            \(\mathrm{RN} \leftarrow\) move_b(RN)
                while (True)
                    if \(\mathrm{RN}=\) goal then
                    break
                \(\mathrm{RN} \leftarrow\) move_b \((\mathrm{RN})\)
```

```
else if D \in Section B then
    RN}\leftarrow\mathrm{ move_c(RN)
    if FD > FD_s then
        while (True) do
            if RN = goal then
                break
            RN}\leftarrow\mathrm{ move_f(RN)
        else
            while (True) do
                if RN = goal then
                break
                RN}\leftarrow\mathrm{ move_b(RN)
```

Parallel path
Node disjoint paths between any two nodes in a network are critical to transmitting fastforward large amounts of data or to provide alternative paths when a node fails. There are three parallel paths within the $C G_{n}$ graph from the start node to the target node, and the pseudocode of the Parallel Path algorithm is as follows.
$C G_{n}$ is a graph with a degree number of 3 , and there can be three parallel paths. From the starting node to the target node, there is a path using only Front() operations, a path using only Back() operations, and finally, a path using Cross() operations first and then Front() or $\operatorname{Back}()$ operations. In the routing algorithm, the Front() and $\operatorname{Back}()$ operations continued to increase or decrease until reaching the target node. However, in the parallel path, when increasing or decreasing, it continuously checks through repair operations to verify whether it is a target node and goes through the process of returning.

Theorem 4. The $C G_{n}$ has three parallel paths.
Proof of Theorem 4. $C G_{n}$ is analyzed only when it is less than $2^{n-1}$ according to definition 3. If the target node is Section A and Section B, it is divided into two categories.
(1) Front() priority: Since the target node is less than $2^{n-1}$, it is terminated before reaching $2^{n-1}$ through an increase operation.
(2) $\operatorname{Back}()$ priority: Since the target node is less than $2^{n-1}$, the target node is reached through a repair operation before reaching $2^{n-1}$ while decreasing.
(3) Cross() priority: After moving to $2^{n-1}$, since the target node is smaller than $2^{n-1}$, the target node is reached through a reduction operation.

Therefore, the three parallel paths of $C G_{n}$ are not duplicated.

```
Algorithm 2: Parallel Path Algorithm
Input: Starting node S, Destination node D
Output: int RN
int RN_f, RN_b, RN_c;
int RT_f \(=0\), RT_b \(=0, R T \_c=0\);
int Flag_F = 0, Flag_b = 0;
(Front priority Path)
\(1 \quad\) RN_f \(\leftarrow\) S
2 while(1)
\(3 \quad\) RN_f \(\leftarrow\) move_f(RN_f )
4 RT_f++
5 if ( \(\mathrm{RN} \_\mathrm{f}==\mathrm{d}\) ) then break
6 else
\(7 \quad\) RN_ \(\leftarrow\) move_c(RN_f)
8
9
10
11
12
13
if \((\) RN_f \(==\mathrm{d})\)
\(|\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
RN_f ++ \\
Flag_F \(\leftarrow 1\) \\
break
\end{tabular}
else
\(\mid\) RN_f \(\leftarrow\) move_c \((\) RN_f \()\)
```

(Back priority Path)
RN_b $\leftarrow$ S
while (1)
$3 \quad$ RN_b $\leftarrow$ move_b $\left(R N \_b\right)$

4 RT_b++
5 if (RN_b == d) then break
6 else
$7 \quad$ RN_b $\leftarrow$ move_c $($ RN_b $)$
$8 \quad$ if $($ RN_b $==\mathrm{d})$
9
10
11
RT_b++
Flag_B $\leftarrow 1$
break
else
RN_b $\leftarrow$ move_c(RN_b)

## (Cross priority Path)

$1 \quad$ RN_c $\leftarrow S$
2 RN_c $\leftarrow$ move_c(RN_c)
3 RT_c++
4 if (Flag_F > Flag_B)
5 while (1)

| 6 | if (RN_c == d) then break |
| :---: | :---: |
| 7 | else |
| 8 | RN_c $\leftarrow$ move_f( $\mathrm{RN}_{\text {_ }}$ c $)$ |
| 9 | RT_c++ |
| 10 else |  |
| 11 | while (1) |
| 12 | if ( RN _c $==\mathrm{d}$ ) then break |
| 13 | else |
| 14 | RN_c $\leftarrow$ move_b(RN_c) |
| 15 | RT_c++ |

When $\mathrm{n}=5$, and when the start node is 0 and the target node is 1 , the front priority path is as follows.

$$
0 \rightarrow 1
$$

When $\mathrm{n}=5$, the start node 0 and the target node 1 are as follows. It decreases and reaches the target node while checking the node through $E_{C}$ in the middle.

$$
\begin{gathered}
(31 \rightarrow 15 \rightarrow 31) \rightarrow(30 \rightarrow 14 \rightarrow 30) \rightarrow(29 \rightarrow 13 \rightarrow 29) \rightarrow(28 \rightarrow 12 \rightarrow 28) \\
\rightarrow(27 \rightarrow 11 \rightarrow 27) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow(18 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 18) \rightarrow 17 \rightarrow 1
\end{gathered}
$$

When $\mathrm{n}=5$, when the start node 0 and the target node 1 are 1 , the Cross priority path is as follows. After first executing the edge $E_{C}$, an increase operation is performed when the target node is greater than $2^{n}$, and a decrease operation is performed when the target node is smaller.

$$
0 \rightarrow 16 \rightarrow 15 \rightarrow 14 \rightarrow 13 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1
$$

## Optimal Length

In this section, we mathematically prove the formula that computes the Optimal Routing Path Length. In a graph with $n$ bits, the optimal length from the single starting node $S$ to the destination node D when the section is divided into two subparts according to the routing algorithm is as follows.

Section A: $\mid S-\left(D\right.$ or $\left.D^{\prime}\right) \mid$
Section B: $\mid C(S)-\left(D\right.$ or $\left.D^{\prime}\right) \mid$
In Fig. 1, we assume that the starting node $S$ is 0 and the destination node $D$ is 15 . According to the formula $D^{\prime}=2^{n}-D, D^{\prime}$ becomes 1 , and it is affiliated in Section A. Therefore, substituting ( $0-1$ ), the absolute value is 1 , which leads the optimal length also being 1 . Assuming that the start node S is 0 and the target node 1 is 1 , and the number of bits n is up to 21 (number of nodes: $2^{21}$ ), the time for n for all cases is shown in the following graph.


Figure 3. The value of time for n
As a result of implementing which is a parallel path algorithm in C language, it was found that the optimal length obtained from the routing algorithm and the length of the edge of the optimal path obtained through Parallel Path match. Through this, we derived the result that the optimal length exists among the three non-overlapping paths of the Parallel Path.

As a result of implementing a parallel path algorithm through programming using C language, it was found that the optimal length obtained from the routing algorithm matched the length of the edge of the optimal path obtained through Parallel Path. Through this, we derive the result that the optimal length exists among the three non-overlapping paths of the Parallel Path.

Theorem 5. The diameter of $C G_{n}$ is $2^{n-2}$.
Proof of Theorem 5. According to Theorem 3, the distance is equivalent when the initial node is 0 , and the destination node is $2^{n}$. Note that the case of less than $2^{n}$ was divided into Section A and Section B. Since there is an edge $E_{c}$ that connects the $2^{n-1}$ nodes with the farthest distance on the cycle $H_{n}$, the node with the farthest distance from node 0 is $2^{n-2}$ and $2^{n-1}$ in Section B. According to the algorithm, the $2^{n-2}$ applies the edge $E_{f}$ and $2^{n-1}$ implements the edge $E_{b}$, followed by utilizing $E_{b}$ to reach the destination node. In this case, the distance to $2^{n-2}$ and $2^{n-1}$ is equivalent to $2^{n-2}$. Therefore, the diameter of $C G_{n}$ is $2^{n-2}$.

Example 8. When $n=5$, set the initial node as 0 , and the destination node as 7 .
Since destination node 7 is associated with Section A, only increment operation is performed to reach the destination node.

$$
0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 7
$$

Therefore, the diameter of $C G_{5}$ is 8 .
Graph cycle properties
According to the graph definition, it is obvious that the target node becomes the starting node by moving from the starting node to the edge $E_{f}$ (or $E_{b}$ ) $2^{n}$ times. Therefore, $C G_{n}$ has a

Hamiltonian cycle. To describe this, we analyzed the length of various cycles present in $C G_{n}$ and their relationship .

Property 1. if the cycle existing in $C G_{n}$ is Cycle ${ }_{n}$ and the length of Cycle $_{n}$ is $m_{n}$, it is $4 \leq$ $m_{n} \leq 2^{n}$. (However, when $m_{n}<2^{n-1}$, there is no odd-length cycle.)

Proof of property 1.
case1) $m_{n}=$ even:
When starting node moves $\alpha$ times to the edge $E_{f}$, and starting node move once to the edge $E_{c}$, a cycle with a length of $2 \alpha+2$ that symmetrically moves the edge $E_{b} \alpha$ times and moves to the edge $E_{c}$ to return to the starting node. Since the range of $\alpha$ is $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2^{n-1}-1$, Cycle $_{n}$ where $m_{n}$ is an even number is $4,6,8, \cdots, 2^{n-2}, 2^{n-1}, 2^{n}$.
case2) $m_{n}=$ odd:
2-1) $m_{n}<2^{n-1}$
We can make the shortest cycle when $m_{n}=4$. This is because the path of moving to the edge $E_{f}$ (or $E_{b}$ ) and again moving to the edge $E_{c}$ is the path that has a shortest distance with a symmetry. When $m_{n}<2^{n-1}$, the cycle cannot be generated without symmetry. That is, when $m_{n}$ is less than $2^{n-1}$, there is no odd-length cycle.

$$
\text { 2-2) } m_{n}=2^{n-1}+1
$$

The odd-length cycle of $C y c l e_{n}$ exists from the cycle with $m_{n}=2^{n-1}+1$. A cycle in which $m_{n}=2^{n-1}+1$ is moved to the edge $E_{c}$ and then again moved to the edge $E_{f}$ (or $E_{b}$ ) $2^{n-1}$ times.

$$
\text { 2-3) } m_{n}>2^{n-1}+1
$$

Move once to edge $E_{c}$ and then $\alpha\left(1<\alpha<2^{n-1}-1\right)$ times to edge $E_{f}$ (or $E_{b}$ ). Move back to edge $E_{c}$ once and then to edge $E_{f}$ (or $E_{b}$ ) $\beta\left(1<\beta<2^{n-1}-\alpha\right)$ times. Move to the edge $E_{c}$ once again and then to the edge $E_{f}$ (or $\left.E_{b}\right) \gamma\left(\gamma=2^{n-1}-\alpha-\beta\right)$ times. In this way, edge $E_{c}$ is used even times for symmetry except for the first time, and it can move up to $2^{n-1}-2$ times, so it can move a total of $2^{n-1}-1$. The total length of the cycle is $2^{n-1}-1+\alpha+\beta+\gamma$, and since it is $\alpha+\beta+\gamma=2^{n-1}$, it is odd as $2^{n-1}-1+2^{n-1}$, or $2\left(2^{n-1}\right)+1$.

Thus, $m_{n}$ of the cycle Cycle ${ }_{n}$ present in CGn is $4 \leq m_{n} \leq 2^{n}$.


Figure 4. Cycle $_{\mathrm{n}}$ with odd $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}}$
when $\mathrm{n}=4$, Cycle $_{4}$ is as follows.
$\mathrm{Cycle}_{4}$ has a cycle of $m_{4}=\{4,6,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16\}$. Depending on property 1 , the even-length cycle is $m_{4}=\{4,6,8,10,12,14,16\}$ and the odd-length cycle is $m_{4}=\{9,11$, $13,15\}$. In Figure 5, the case of $m_{4}=\{4,9,11\}$ is confirmed sequentially.


Figure 5. Cycle ${ }_{4}$ with $\mathrm{m}_{4}=\{4,9,11\}$

```
Algorithm 3: Cycle \(_{\boldsymbol{n}}\) Algorithm
Input: Starting node S, Destination node D
Output: int CN
\(\operatorname{int} \mathrm{CN}, E_{c}, E_{f}, E_{b}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{E}^{\prime}\)
```

```
\(\mathrm{CN} \leftarrow \mathrm{S}\)
```

$\mathrm{CN} \leftarrow \mathrm{S}$
if (CN \% $2==0$ )
if (CN \% $2==0$ )
$\mathrm{X} \leftarrow(\mathrm{CN}) / 2-1$
$\mathrm{X} \leftarrow(\mathrm{CN}) / 2-1$
$E_{c}$
$E_{c}$
for ( $\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{i}++$ )
for ( $\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{i}++$ )
$E_{f}$
$E_{f}$
$E_{c}$
$E_{c}$
for ( $\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{i}++$ )
for ( $\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{i}++$ )
$E_{b}$
$E_{b}$
else
else
for $(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{CN} ; \mathrm{i}++)$
for $(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{CN} ; \mathrm{i}++)$
12
E

```
        E
```

| 13 | $\mid E_{f}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 14 | for $\left(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i} \leq 2^{n}-\mathrm{CN} ; \mathrm{i}++\right)$ |
| 15 | $\mid E_{f}$ |
| 16 | if $(\mathrm{CN} \% 2=1)$ |
| 17 | for $\left(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{CN}-2^{n-1} ; \mathrm{i}++\right)$ |
| 18 | $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ |
| 19 | $E_{f}$ |
| 20 | for $\left(\mathrm{i}=1 ; \mathrm{i} \leq 2 \times 2^{n-1}-\mathrm{CN} ; \mathrm{i}++\right)$ |
| 21 | $E_{f}$ |

## Conclusions

In this paper, we proposed an interconnection network structure with a constant degree of three and analyzed its theoretical property. We defined the novel three-constant degree graph $C G_{n}$, also proposed the shortest path routing algorithm in propounded $C G_{n}$. The ndimensional $C G_{n}$ proposed in this paper represents a node address with n bits of a binary number, with a numerical degree of three, the $2^{n}$ number of nodes, and a diameter of $2^{n-2}$. We show that the Hamilton cycle exists in internal $C G_{n}$, also has a maximum fault-tolerance. This novel graph topology and its property offer the viability of future implementation of a parallel processor system to enable high-performance computing operations, as well as providing insights and a reference to be applied in a real-world network system.
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