Mathematical Statistician and Engineering Applications
ISSN: 2094-0343
DOI: https://doi.org/10.17762/msea.v70i2.2158

Establish the Relationship Between Green Disclosures and Corporate Financial Performance

CS Ruchitra Kaparwan
Department of Commerce, Graphic Era Hill University, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India 248002

Article Info
Page Number: 1053-1060
Publication Issue:
Vol. 70 No. 2 (2021)

Abstract: Management of environmental performance may be enhanced with the use of environmental reporting. The qualitative and quantitative criteria that must be considered to determine the depth and breadth of such reporting. The purpose of the research is to ascertain whether environmental disclosures have an impact on the bottom line of businesses. 58 businesses from the Nifty 100 Index's manufacturing and non-financial services sectors were chosen for the research. The aforementioned 58 firms' annual reports, company websites, sustainability reports, etc. served as data sources. The research found no statistically significant connection between green disclosures and net profit margin. Green disclosures and earnings per share have no meaningful correlation. Return on capital employed is positively correlated with green disclosures, whereas return on assets is negatively correlated with green disclosures. Environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance is measured using the Thomson Reuters Eikon ESG disclosure score, whereas financial performance is measured using the return on assets (ROA) and tobin's q ratio.

Keywords environment, financial performance, Green Disclosure, CFP, environmental information disclosure

Article History
Article Received:18 October 2021
Revised: 20 November 2021
Accepted: 22 December 2021

Introduction

A significant challenge for businesses has been and will continue to be environmental concerns. Due to the significant environmental effect their activities have, it is important to examine the correlation between a company's environmental performance and its bottom line. Environmental information disclosure (EID) is gaining traction as a viable strategy for enhancing business environmental performance management. As was said above, there is less of a clear connection between EID and financial success than there is between the exchange of financial information. Is there a link between caring for the environment and economic prosperity? The answer to this question may be found by investigating the correlation between EID and company profits.

The depletion of natural resources and the deterioration of the environment are widespread and increasing problems. There has been a dire need for laws protecting the environment since the effects of unchecked urbanization and industrialisation became apparent on a global scale. Stakeholder pressure has intensified as a result of a heightened public understanding of globalization's consequences. A successful business is lucrative, but a sustainable business is profitable without harming the environment. Demand from society and broad environmental regulations make environmental performance a big challenge for corporations. By sustainability reporting, businesses make an effort to satiate the needs of their stakeholders.

ISSN: 2094-0343
DOI: https://doi.org/10.17762/msea.v70i2.2158

Literature Review

Qichun Wu et.al (2021) Businesses now have an incentive to lessen their impact on the environment because to modern environmental concerns. One way to do this is through decreasing carbon emissions and other pollutants by decreasing resource use. Effective material usage necessitates that the organization engage in ecologically responsible practices, as well as enhance its environmental management system, to satisfy stakeholder demands. Previous articles have shown varying outcomes (good, negative, and no effect). The research here focuses on the factors measured and the findings of these articles. Corporate Environmental Performance (CEP) and Corporate Financial Performance (CFP) have been shown to have a mixed association, according to a meta-analysis of 63 separate empirical investigations. There is a favorable correlation between a company's environmental performance and its bottom line. This finding demonstrates how many different factors in the environment may affect the outcome. The results are proving that environmental management and pollution have a greater impact on a company's bottom line than does environmental disclosure. Yet, there is little direct proof that different financial factors may produce varying degrees of association.

Shanyong Wang et.al (2020) Due to the far-reaching effects of business operations on the natural world, more and more organizations are being pressured to provide environmental data. Yet, there is some uncertainty as to whether or not a company's bottom line will improve after disclosing environmental information. Moreover, the process by which such disclosure affects profitability has gotten little consideration. This study investigated the link between environmental information disclosure and stock price performance, as well as the moderating roles played by the stock's visibility (as measured by analyst coverage and institutional ownership) and its liquidity. STATA Software was used to conduct a panel analysis on data gathered from 289 publicly traded Chinese companies. The findings demonstrated that the sharing of environmental information had a beneficial (direct) effect on financial outcomes. Disclosures about the environment may also have an effect on analyst coverage and liquidity. Analyst coverage and liquidity moderate the association between environmental information disclosure and financial performance, but institutional ownership does not. The findings informed a discussion of their potential applications and suggestions for further study.

My Hanh Doan et.al (2020) This study provides a conceptual and empirical summary of the literature on the relationship between corporate environmental performance and corporate environmental reporting, with the goals of (a) determining whether disclosure is a reliable indicator of performance and (b) determining whether variable measurement characteristics influence empirical outcomes. Meta-analysis and systematic reviews of the literature are utilized to create these credible results. The analysis included 251 effect sizes from 62 trials for a total of 56,387 observations. This study demonstrates a small and negative association between environmental performance and environmental reporting, lending credence to the sociopolitical perspective that poor environmental performers have more motives to raise their degree of transparency than good performers. Simultaneously, this study validates the diversity of prior research and the impact of measuring techniques on empirical results.

Shahid Ali et.al (2019) The focus of this research is on the connection between local institutional contexts and CSR performance (CSRP). Sub-national institutional settings (SNICs) modify the connection between CSRP and business financial performance (CFP). In this investigation, we used ordinary least square (OLS) regression as our primary method of analysis for all companies listed on the Shenzhen and Shanghai stock exchanges for A shares in China between 2010 and 2015. The validity of the research is checked using propensity score matching (PSM), and any endogeneity problems are dealt with. We provide convincing evidence that SNICs positively affect CSRP on a statistically significant scale. Cross-listed firms, as well as corporations in the more developed area, benefit more from this correlation than SOEs do. The correlation between CSRP and CFP is favorable, while SNICs dampen this effect. Companies that are not state-owned enterprises (SOEs), are not cross-listed, and are based in developing countries have a better association with FDI. The results have consequences for both government agencies and private businesses. Companies that make CSR investments are more likely to succeed in what they set out to do (i.e., financial performance). The research suggests that legislators, executives, and managers shouldn't implement blanket CSR rules based on a single model. Instead, they should assess the impact of regional growth, listing in many jurisdictions, and ownership structure all at once. Because of their low social performance, firms from less developed regions that are not cross-listed and are not SOEs should be encouraged by the government and policymakers to improve their transparency and the regulatory environment. Other developing economies, particularly those experiencing exceptional government interventions, may learn from this research as well.

Shri Narayan Pandey et.al (2016) The environment has become a pressing political and economic concern on a global scale. The globe is struggling with the conflicting goals of fostering economic growth and safeguarding the environment. At this stage, sustainable development requires accurate assessment of environmental impacts on economic growth. The primary goal of this research is to determine whether and to what extent the company's environmental expenditures have a bearing on its capacity to turn a profit. This study makes use of secondary data gleaned from various online sources and company annual reports spanning five years, from 2010-2011 to 2014-2015. Data for the dependent variable, environmental cost, and the independent variables, profits per share (EPS), return on capital employed (ROCE), and price to earnings ratio (P/E), have been gathered for the five businesses in the research. The company annual reports served as the primary source for environmental cost, while company and database websites provided information for the independent variables and controls. Firm size (as measured by market capitalization) and the price-to-book value ratio serve as the independent variables in this study. The sample data was analyzed using regression analysis, and the results showed that the company's environmental spending was not significantly correlated with its financial results. It has also been discovered that larger corporations devote a greater portion of their resources to environmental causes. The results show that corporations' capacity to generate profits is unaffected by environmental expenditures. Hence, businesses have little incentive to invest in environmental protection. Tariff exemptions or tax breaks might be offered to environmentally concerned businesses by the government to encourage them to invest in conservation efforts.

Green Disclosure Index:

The majority of environmental reporting is qualitative. Reporting a company's non-financial environmental initiatives shows that the company cares about the environment, which is welcomed by the company's stakeholders. In an effort to make reporting methods more comparable and efficient, several organizations, like the United Nations Global Compact, the Global Reporting Initiative, etc., have contributed to the development of standardized reporting mechanisms and disclosure criteria. With the establishment of the GRI principles, there has been a rise in the amount of information available and a better structure for presenting of information. The Green Disclosure Index is an index of 19 environmental impact indicators developed in accordance with the GRI's principles.

Table 1: Indicators of Green Disclosure

Sr. No.	Indicators of Green Disclosure
1	Compliance with environmental standards, EMS ISO 14001
2	Expenditure/investment on pollution control equipment
3	Information relating to present / potential litigation, provision, fine
4	Environmental policy / goal / sustainability roadmap
5	Training education for environmental protection/ Environmental initiatives
6	Environmental audits/External assurance
7	Awards for environmental protection
8	Conservation of energy
9	Conservation of natural resources/ remediation, clean-up, restoration
10	Conservation of Bio-diversity/tree plantation/ sapling
11	Conservation of water/rainwater harvesting/Water management
12	Information regarding Carbon emission/ Air emission / CO2/Greenhouse gas
13	Reduce consumption of materials
14	Waste management and disposal information
15	Use of renewable energy/biofuel/ solar/windmill
16	Recycling waste
17	Green supply chain
18	Product development and innovation/Green products
19	Environment-friendly production processes

Corporate Financial Performance:

Making money and expanding the company's income is always priority number one. The success of a corporation during the last fiscal year may be gauged by looking at its financial performance. In this analysis, we have concentrated on numerical measures of performance that are sensitive to the outcomes and outputs of business activities. These are the signs that:

a) Return on capital utilized is a measure of a company's capital use efficiency. A high ROCE indicates that investments are profitable. The gap between total assets and current liabilities is known as working capital.
b) Earnings per share may be calculated by dividing the total profit available to shareholders by the total number of outstanding shares. Profits are divided among shareholders and reported as earnings per share (EPS).
c) This financial ratio compares a company's profit to its total assets and provides a measure of its profitability. It is determined by contrasting the firm's net assets with its total assets.
d) The NPM calculates how much money a business can make in proportion to its sales volume or income. Adjustments are made to direct and indirect costs of commodities produced or services rendered to arrive at the net profit.

Methods

Seventy firms outside of financial services and manufacturing were included in the study's population from the Nifty 100 Index. The Nifty 100 Index tracks the performance of the 100 largest firms listed on India's National Stock Exchange. Companies with a significant market share are included in the index, and their performance is used as a benchmark. Convenience sampling was used to identify 58 participants for the research. Multiple regression analysis was used to examine the connection between eco-friendly disclosures and company profits. The correlation was analyzed using a least-squares model. The model that was utilized to examine the connection was:

GDI= f (EPS, Net Profit Margin, ROA, ROCE)

Green Disclosure Index (GDI) values are averages of environmental performance metrics across firms. The student t-test was used to assess hypotheses with a 5% threshold of significance. To assess the model's overall significance, an F-test was conducted.

Data Analysis

This analysis relies on previously collected data. The study analyzed data from annual reports, sustainability reports, and corporate responsibility reports of 70 businesses from the Nifty 100 Index, of which 58 are manufacturing enterprises. Using the following equations, we were able to calculate information about the independent variables:

Table 2: Measurement of variables

1. ROCE	Earnings before interest and tax/capital employed Where Capital employed = (Total assets – current liabilities)
2. EPS	Net profit after tax and after making divided payments / Number of equity shares
3. ROA	Net Profit after tax / Total assets Where Total assets = Fixed assets plus net working capital
4. NPM	Net profit after tax/ Total Net Sales * 100

Based on the indicators of disclosure indicated above, the research incorporates green disclosure data gathered from a variety of sources, such as annual reports, sustainability reports, business responsibility reports, websites, and other relevant sites. The disclosures were coded using a method that has been used in previous investigations. In circumstances where the firm did not reveal an indication, a score of 0 was assigned. Disclosure of qualitative information was given a score of 1 if it was broad in scope, and a score of 2 if it was detailed. Quantitative green disclosure information was worth three points. For the purpose of correlating green disclosure ratings with 2016-2017 financial success, we collected data from 58 sample firms and averaged the results.

The acquired data was utilized to determine the mean, standard deviation, variance, etc. with the assistance of E-Views. The following are descriptive statistics, another name for this method:

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics of dependant and independent variables

	GDI	EPS	Net Profit Margin	ROA	ROCE
Mean	1.412069	64.02534	99.77362	12.11276	16.78155
Median	1.421053	20.99000	14.15500	9.885000	14.39500
Maximum	2.947368	573.7500	5002.250	76.78000	82.47000
Minimum	0.157895	-7.300000	-5.590000	-4.230000	-6.720000
Std. Dev.	0.816374	116.7333	655.0888	11.60770	14.99655
Skewness	0.066403	3.236754	7.414968	3.066207	1.916089
Kurtosis	1.776920	13.51857	55.99408	17.71327	8.551075
Jarque-Bera	3.657773	368.6542	7318.391	614.0432	109.9584
Probability	0.160592	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000
Sum	81.90000	3713.470	5786.870	702.5400	973.3300
Sum Sq. Dev.	37.98859	776719.3	24461056	7680.110	12819.09

According to the data, the average EPS is 64.03, with a wide range of 116.73. The greater variety among businesses is seen here. The greatest dispersion can be seen in the Net profit margin, with a standard deviation of 655.09 from the mean of 99.7. There is not much variation around the mean Return on Asset (12.11) of 11.62 units, suggesting that ROA is very consistent throughout the sample of companies. The standard deviation of Return on Capital Employed is 14.99, which is below the 16.78 average.

Correlation Results

The Pearson correlation matrix (PCM) and the variance inflation factor shown before provide a bivariate analysis of the data presented in Table 4. The ESG score has been shown to have no relationship with either ROA or Tobin's Q. Several of the other variables are also significant at the 0.01 level, suggesting a correlation between their growth. This is to be anticipated given that both variables have some common financial information; nevertheless, the fact that they are presented in two independent regression models alleviates any concerns. Previous studies have indicated that the larger the firm, the higher its ESG score, and a further observation supports this idea: ESG and Size tend to rise together. Nonetheless, as can be shown in Table 4 (IVF), there are no multicollinearity issues since the correlation is below the threshold.

Table 4. Pearson Correlation Matrix

	ROA	Tobin's Q	ESG	Leverage	Size
ROA	1				
Tobin's Q	0.213 ***	1			
ESG	0.034	0.58	1		
Leverage	−0.195 ***	−0.33	0.052	1	
Size	−0.058	−0.270 ***	0.466 ***	−0.32	1

*** = Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.

Conclusion

The time has come for a heightened awareness of environmental issues. The analysis found no statistically significant correlation between green disclosures and either net profit margin or EPS. On the other hand, green disclosures tend to increase ROCE by a substantial margin. There is a negative correlation between green disclosures and return on assets. The government and other agencies should encourage and create legislation that enable businesses, corporations, and factories learn about and reap the benefits of green practices, since doing so is an integral component of responsible and sustainable operations. The second constraint mentioned above may be addressed by the sustainability taxonomy, which may then transform the ESG score into a generic phrase accurately reflecting a company's CSR initiatives. It has also been suggested that the distinct outcomes of environmental, social, and governance projects be studied. This has been done by researchers in the past, but never for Norwegian businesses. Nonetheless, it will be fascinating to see how much farther this profession grows in both popularity and importance in the years to come.

Reference

1. Qichun Wu et.al **"**A review paper related to the various variable's measurements on the relationship between environmental performance and financial performance" doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1127/1/012019
2. Shanyong Wang et.al **"**Does environmental information disclosure contribute to improve firm financial performance? An examination of the underlying mechanism" https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136855 0048-9697/© 2020
3. Ali, S.; Zhang, J.; Usman, M.; Khan, F.U.; Ikram, A.; Anwar, B. Sub-National Institutional Contingencies and Corporate Social Responsibility Performance: Evidence from China. Sustainability 2019, 11, 5478. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11195478
4. My Hanh Doan et.al "The relationship between environmental performance and environmental disclosure: A meta-analysis" https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.13002
5. Shri Narayan Pandey et.al "Exploring the Association between Environmental Cost and Corporate Financial Performance: A Study of Selected NIFTY Companies" Volume XXXII November 2016
6. Wang, X., Xu, X., & Wang, C. (2013). Public pressure, social reputation, inside governance and firm environmental information disclosure: The evidence from Chinese listed manufacturing firms. Nankai Business Review, 16(2), 82–91. Wen, S., &

7. Zhou, L. (2017). The influencing mechanism of carbon disclosure on financial performance—"Inverted U-shaped" moderating role of media governance. Management Review, 29(11), 183–195.
8. Yang, Y., Li, Y., & Shen, H. (2011). Green financial policies, corporate governance and environmental disclosure: A case study of 502 listed firms in heavy pollution industry. Financial and Trade Research, 22(5), 131–139.
9. Yao, S., Yang, J., & Liang, H. (2016). Firm location, spatial heterogeneity of environmental regulation and selective disclosure of environmental information. Management Review, 28(6), 192–204.
10. Zavyalova, A., Pfarrer, M. D., Reger, R. K., & Shapiro, D. L. (2012). Managing the message: The effects of firm actions and industry spillovers on media coverage following wrongdoing. Academy of Management Journal, 55(5), 1079–1101. https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2010.0608
11. Raza, S. A., & Jawaid, S. T. (2014). Foreign capital inflows, economic growth and stock market capitalization in Asian countries: An ARDL bound testing approach. Quality & Quantity, 48(1), 375–385. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-012-9774-4
12. Salama, A. (2005). A note on the impact of environmental performance on financial performance. Structural Change and Economic Dynamics, 16(3), 413–421. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. strueco.2004.04.005
13. King, A. A., Lenox, M., & Terlaak, A. (2005). The strategic use of decentralized institutions: Exploring certification with ISO 14001 management standard. The Making of the Classical Theory of Economic Growth Routledge, 48(6), 1327–1347
14. Iatridis, G., & Alexakis, P. (2012). Evidence of voluntary accounting disclosures in the Athens stock market. Review of Accounting and Finance, 11(1), 73–92. https://doi.org/10.1108/ 14757701211201830
15. Ho, S. S. M., & Wong, K. S. (2001). A study of the relationship between corporate governance structures and the extent of voluntary disclosure. Journal of International Accounting Auditing & Taxation, 10(2), 139–156. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1061-9518(01)00041-6