

Coefficient Bounds for Subclasses of Analytic Univalent Functions Using Quasi-Subordination

Waggas Galib Atshan¹ and Ali Abdul-Hassan Salamah²

Department of Mathematics,

College of Science,

University of Al-Qadisiyah, Diwaniyah- Iraq.

waggas.galib@qu.edu.iq¹, alyslamh890@gmail.com²

Article Info

Page Number: 2024-2036

Publication Issue:

Vol. 72 No. 1 (2023)

Article History

Article Received: 15 November 2022

Revised: 24 December 2022

Accepted: 18 January 2023

Abstract: In this paper, we determine the coefficient estimates for $H_q^\alpha(\delta, \lambda, n, \epsilon, \theta)$ and $H_q(\beta, \tau, \epsilon, h, \theta)$, the class of analytic and univalent functions associated with quasi-subordination. We find estimates coefficients $|a_2|, |a_3|$ and $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ for functions in these classes.

Keywords : Univalent functions; Starlike; Convex functions; Subordination and quasi-subordination.

1-Introduction and Definitions

Let \mathcal{B} be the class of analytic functions defined on an open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, with the normalized condition $f(0) = 0 = f'(0) - 1$. Let \mathcal{H}^* be the class of all functions $f \in \mathcal{B}$ which are a univalent in \mathbb{U} . So $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}^*$ has the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} a_i z^i \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}) \quad (1.1)$$

Let f and g are analytic functions in \mathcal{B} . f is said to be subordinate to g , or g is said to be superordinate to f in \mathbb{U} and write $f < g$, if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ in \mathbb{U} , which with $w(0) = 0$, and $|w(z)| < 1$, ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), where $f(z) = g(w(z))$. If g is univalent in U , then $f < g$ if and only if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$.

Definition (1.2)[18]: The function f is said to be quasi-subordination to g in \mathbb{U} and written as follows $f(z) <_q g(z)$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), if there exist $Q(z)$ and $w(z)$ be two analytic functions in \mathbb{U} , with $w(0)=0$, such that $|Q(z)| < 1$, $|w(z)| < 1$, and $f(z) = Q(z)g(w(z))$. If $Q(z)=1$, then $f(z) = g(w(z))$, so that $f(z) < g(z)$ in \mathbb{U} . If $w(z) = w$, then $f(z) = Q(z)g(z)$, and it is said that f is majorized by g and written $f(z) \ll g(z)$ in \mathbb{U} . Hence it's obvious that quasi-subordination is a generalization of subordination and majorization.

Ma and Manda (see[1,5,10,14]) defined a class of starlike and convex functions by using the method of subordination and studied class $\mathcal{A}(\theta)$ and $\mathcal{A}(\theta)$, which is defined by :

$$\mathbb{H}(\Theta) = \{f \in \mathbb{R}: \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} < \Theta(z) \text{ } z \in \mathbb{U}\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbb{A}(\Theta) = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{R}: \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} < \Theta(z), z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}.$$

In the sequel ,it assumed that Θ of the form :

$$\Theta(z) = E_0 + E_1z + E_2z^2 + \dots, \quad (1.2)$$

where $Q(0)=1$,and $Q'(0)>0$,also

$$Q(z) = 1 + A_1z + A_2z^2 + \dots. \quad (1.3)$$

Now, consider the following:

$$\dot{w}(z) = 1 + \dot{w}_1z + \dot{w}_2z^2 + \dots, \quad (1.4)$$

which are analytic and bounded in \mathbb{U} .For more information (see[2,14,17,23,24]) ,for works related to quasi-subordination . Many auther have been investigated the coefficient bounded $|\dot{a}_2|$ and $|\dot{a}_3|$ of Fekete-Szegö (see[3,4,7,11,14,17,19,25,27]).

In Fekete and Szegö found the maximum value of the coefficient function $|\dot{a}_3 - \mu\dot{a}_2^2|$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$,for functions of the form (1.1) belonging to the class \mathbb{B} (see[4,6,8,9,12,16,20,21,26]) .

Definition (1.2)_: A function $f \in \mathbb{B}$ defined by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathbb{H}_q^\alpha(\delta, \lambda, \dot{n}, \epsilon, \Theta)$,if the following quasi-subordination condition is hold :

$$\frac{\gamma}{\delta} \left[\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha + (2\dot{n} + 5\epsilon)f(z) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)' + (4\dot{n} + 3\epsilon) \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) - 1 \right] <_q (Q(z) - 1), \quad (1.5)$$

where $(0 \leq \dot{n}, \epsilon \leq 1, \alpha \geq 0, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C} / \{0\})$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Remark (1.1) : For $\dot{n} = \epsilon = 0$ in definition (1-3), we obtain definition (1.3) obtained by Zayed et al. [22] .

Definition (1.3) : A function $f \in \mathbb{B}$ defined by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathbb{H}_q^\alpha(\delta, \lambda, \dot{n}, \epsilon, \Theta)$,if the following quasi-subordination condition is hold:

$$\frac{\gamma}{\delta} \left[\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - 1 \right] <_q (Q(z) - 1). \quad (1.6)$$

Definition (1.4): A function $f \in \mathbb{B}$ defined by (1.1) is said to be in the class $\mathbb{I}_q(\beta, \tau, \epsilon, \dot{h}, \Theta)$,if the following quasi-subordination condition is hold:

$$\frac{\tau}{\beta} \left[(\mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{h}) \left(\frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) + (\mathfrak{k} - \mathfrak{h}) z^2 \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)' + (2\mathfrak{k} - 2\mathfrak{h}) \left(\frac{\frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)}}{\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)}} - 1 \right) - 1 \right] <_q (Q(z) - 1), \tag{1.7}$$

where $(0 \leq \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{h} \leq 1, \beta, \tau \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), z \in \mathbb{U}$.

Lemma (1.1) [13]; Let $\dot{w}(z) = 1 + \dot{w}_1 z + \dot{w}_2 z^2 + \dots$ be in the class \mathbb{B} , then for any complex number μ

$$|\dot{w}_3 - \mu \dot{w}_2^2| \leq \max \{1, |\mu|\}. \tag{1.8}$$

The result is sharp for the function $\dot{w}(z) = z^2$ or $\dot{w}(z) = z$.

Lemma (1.2) [15]; Let $\theta(z) = E_0 + E_1 z + E_2 z^2 + \dots$ be an Analytic function in \mathbb{U} , such that $|\theta(z)| \leq 1$. Then $|E_0| \leq 1$,

and

$$|E_n| \leq 1 - |E_0|^2 \leq 1, n = \{1, 2, \dots\}. \tag{1.9}$$

2-Main Results :

Theorem (2.1) ; A function f is given by (1.1) belong to the class $\mathbb{H}_q^\alpha(\delta, \lambda, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{e}, \theta)$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{\gamma(\alpha + \mathfrak{n} - \mathfrak{e})}, \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{\gamma(4\alpha + 6\mathfrak{n} + 2\mathfrak{e})} \left[1 + \max \left\{ 1, \frac{\delta(\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 5\mathfrak{n} + 2\mathfrak{e})}{2\gamma(\alpha + \mathfrak{n} - \mathfrak{e})^2} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| \right\} \right], \tag{2.2}$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$|\dot{a}_3 - \mu \dot{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{\gamma(\alpha + \mathfrak{n} - \mathfrak{e})} \left[1 + \max \left\{ 1, \frac{\delta(\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 5\mathfrak{n} + 2\mathfrak{e})}{2\gamma(\alpha + \mathfrak{n} - \mathfrak{e})^2} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| - \frac{\delta(4\alpha + 6\mathfrak{n} + 2\mathfrak{e})}{\gamma(\alpha + \mathfrak{n} - \mathfrak{e})^2} A_1 |\mu| \right\} \right]. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof ; Let $f \in \mathbb{H}_q^\alpha(\delta, \lambda, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{e}, \theta)$ and ,then ,there are analytic functions $Q(z)$ in \mathbb{U} with $|Q(z)| < 1$ and $\dot{w}: \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$, with $\dot{w}(0)=0$ and $|\dot{w}(z)| < 1$, such that

$$\frac{\gamma}{\delta} \left[\left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha + (2\mathfrak{n} + 5\mathfrak{e}) f(z) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)' + (4\mathfrak{n} + 3\mathfrak{e}) \left(1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) - 1 \right] <_q = (\theta(z)[Q(\dot{w}(z)) - 1]). \tag{2.4}$$

Since

$$\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^\alpha = 1 + \alpha a_2 z + \left[4\alpha a_3 - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - 3\alpha)a_2^2\right]z^2 + \dots, \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (2\eta + 5\epsilon)f(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)' + (4\eta + 3\epsilon)\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) - 1 \\ = (2\eta + 5\epsilon)[-a_2 z - (2a_3 - a_2^2)z^2 + \dots] \\ + (4\eta + 3\epsilon)[1 + 2a_2 z + (6a_3 - 4a_2^2)z^2 + \dots]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Then implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\gamma}{\delta} \left[\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^\alpha + (2\eta + 5\epsilon)f(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)' + (4\eta + 3\epsilon)\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) - 1 \right] \\ = \frac{\gamma}{\delta} \left[(1 + 2\eta + \epsilon) + (\alpha + \eta - \epsilon)a_2 z \right. \\ \left. + \left[(4\alpha + 6\eta + 2\epsilon)a_3 - \left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - 3\alpha) + 5\eta + 2\epsilon\right)a_2^2 \right] z^2 \right. \\ \left. + \dots \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

and since

$$Q(\dot{w}(z)) = 1 + \dot{w}_1 A_1 z + (\dot{w}_2 A_1 + \dot{w}_1^2 A_2)z^2 + \dots, \quad A_1 > 0$$

$$\Theta(z)[Q(\dot{w}(z)) - 1] = E_0 \dot{w}_1 A_1 z + (E_1 A_1 \dot{w}_1 + E_0 \dot{w}_2 A_1 + E_0 \dot{w}_1^2 A_2)z^2 + \dots \quad (2.8)$$

Putting (2.7) and (2.8) in (2.4), and equating coefficients in both sides, we get

$$a_2 = \frac{\delta E_0 \dot{w}_1 A_1}{\gamma(\alpha + \eta - \epsilon)},$$

and

$$a_3 = \frac{\delta A_1 E_0}{\gamma(4\alpha + 6\eta + 2\epsilon)} \left[\frac{\delta(\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 5\eta + 2\epsilon)}{2\gamma(\alpha + \eta - \epsilon)^2} A_1 E_0 \dot{w}_1^2 + \dot{w}_1 \frac{E_1}{E_0} + \dot{w}_2 + \dot{w}_1^2 \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right].$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{a}_3 - \mu \dot{a}_2^2 = \frac{\delta A_1 E_0}{\gamma(4\alpha + 6\eta + 2\epsilon)} \left[\frac{\delta(\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 5\eta + 2\epsilon)}{2\gamma(\alpha + \eta - \epsilon)^2} A_1 E_0 \dot{w}_1^2 + \dot{w}_1 \frac{E_1}{E_0} + \dot{w}_2 \right. \\ \left. + \dot{w}_1^2 \left(\frac{A_2}{A_1} - \frac{\delta(4\alpha + 6\eta + 2\epsilon)}{\gamma(\alpha + \eta - \epsilon)^2} A_1 E_0 \mu \right) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Since $Q(z)$ is analytic and bounded in \mathbb{U} , by using lemma (1.2), we have

$$|E_n| \leq 1 - |E_0^2| \leq 1, \quad n \geq 0.$$

By using this fact and the well-known inequality $|\dot{w}(z)| < 1$, we get

$$|\dot{a}_3 - \mu \dot{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{\gamma(4\alpha + 6\dot{n} + 2\epsilon)} \left[\frac{\delta(\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 5\dot{n} + 2\epsilon)}{2\gamma(\alpha + \dot{n} - \epsilon)^2} A_1 + \left| \dot{w}_2 + \dot{w}_1^2 \left(\frac{A_2}{A_1} - \frac{\delta(4\alpha + 6\dot{n} + 2\epsilon)}{\gamma(\alpha + \dot{n} - \epsilon)^2} A_1 E_0 \mu \right) \right| + 1 \right]$$

Now, we shall use lemma (1.1) to

$$\left[\frac{\delta(\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 5\dot{n} + 2\epsilon)}{2\gamma(\alpha + \dot{n} - \epsilon)^2} A_1 + \left| \dot{w}_2 + \dot{w}_1^2 \left(\frac{A_2}{A_1} - \frac{\delta(4\alpha + 6\dot{n} + 2\epsilon)}{\gamma(\alpha + \dot{n} - \epsilon)^2} A_1 E_0 \mu \right) \right| + 1 \right],$$

yields the

$$|\dot{a}_3 - \mu \dot{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{\gamma(\alpha + \dot{n} - \epsilon)} \left[1 + \max \left\{ 1, \frac{\delta(\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 5\dot{n} + 2\epsilon)}{2\gamma(\alpha + \dot{n} - \epsilon)^2} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| - \frac{\delta(4\alpha + 6\dot{n} + 2\epsilon)}{|\mu| \gamma(\alpha + \dot{n} - \epsilon)^2} A_1 |\mu| \right\} \right].$$

If we put $\mu = 0$, in above inequality, we get derived estimate

$$|\dot{a}_3| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{\gamma(4\alpha + 6\dot{n} + 2\epsilon)} \left[1 + \max \left\{ 1, \frac{\delta(\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 5\dot{n} + 2\epsilon)}{2\gamma(\alpha + \dot{n} - \epsilon)^2} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| \right\} \right].$$

Theorem (2.2) ; A function $f \in B$ satisfies:

$$\frac{\gamma}{\delta} \left[\left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha + (2\dot{n} + 5\epsilon) f(z) \left(\frac{z}{f(z)} \right)' + (4\dot{n} + 3\epsilon) \left(1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) - 1 \right] \ll (Q(z) - 1).$$

Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{\gamma(\alpha + \dot{n} - \epsilon)},$$

and

$$|\dot{a}_3| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{\gamma(4\alpha + 6\dot{n} + 2\epsilon)} \left[\frac{\delta(\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 5\dot{n} + 2\epsilon)}{2\gamma(\alpha + \dot{n} - \epsilon)^2} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| \right],$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$|\dot{a}_3 - \mu \dot{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{\gamma(4\alpha + 6\dot{n} + 2\epsilon)} \left[\frac{\delta(\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 5\dot{n} + 2\epsilon)}{2\gamma(\alpha + \dot{n} - \epsilon)^2} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| - \frac{\delta(4\alpha + 6\dot{n} + 2\epsilon)}{\gamma(\alpha + \dot{n} - \epsilon)^2} A_1 |\mu| \right].$$

Proof: The results following by taking $\dot{w}(z) = z$, in the proof of theorem (2.1).

Setting $\alpha = 0$ in the theorem (2.1), we get the following corollary

Corollary (2.1) ; A function f is given by (1.1) in the class $H_b^\alpha(\delta, \lambda, \dot{n}, \epsilon, \theta)$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{\gamma(\dot{n} - \epsilon)},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{\gamma(6\eta + 2\epsilon)} \left[1 + \max \left\{ 1, \frac{\delta(5\eta + 2\epsilon)}{2\gamma(\eta - \epsilon)^2} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| \right\} \right],$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$|\dot{a}_3 - \mu \dot{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{\gamma(\eta - \epsilon)} \left[1 + \max \left\{ 1, \frac{\delta(5\eta + 2\epsilon)}{2\gamma(\eta - \epsilon)^2} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| - \frac{\delta(6\eta + 2\epsilon)}{\gamma(\eta - \epsilon)^2} A_1 |\mu| \right\} \right]$$

Setting $\alpha = \eta = \epsilon = 1$ in theorem (2.1), we get:

Corollary (2.2) ; A function f is given by (1.1) in the class $H_b^\alpha(\delta, \lambda, 1, 1, \theta)$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{\gamma},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{12\gamma} \left[1 + \max \left\{ 1, \frac{5\delta}{2\gamma} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| \right\} \right],$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$|\dot{a}_3 - \mu \dot{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{12\gamma} \left[1 + \max \left\{ 1, \frac{5\delta}{2\gamma} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| - \frac{12\delta}{\gamma} A_1 |\mu| \right\} \right].$$

Theorem (2.3) ; A function f is given by (1.1) belong to the class $H_b^\alpha(\delta, \lambda, \theta)$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{\gamma\alpha}, \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{4\gamma\alpha} \left[1 + \max \left\{ 1, \frac{\delta(\alpha - 3)}{2\alpha\gamma} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| \right\} \right], \tag{2.10}$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$|\dot{a}_3 - \mu \dot{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{4\gamma\alpha} \left[1 + \max \left\{ 1, \frac{\delta(\alpha - 3)}{\alpha\gamma} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| - \frac{\delta}{\gamma\alpha} A_1 |\mu| \right\} \right]. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof ; Let $f \in H_b^\alpha(\delta, \lambda, \theta)$ and ,then ,there are analytic functions $Q(z)$ in \mathbb{U} with $|Q(z)| < 1$ and $\dot{w}: \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$, with $\dot{w}(0)=0$ and $|\dot{w}(z)| < 1$, such that

$$\frac{\gamma}{\delta} \left[\left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - 1 \right] \prec_q = (\theta(z)[Q(\dot{w}(z)) - 1]). \tag{2.12}$$

Since

$\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^\alpha$ is defined by (2.5), then implies

$$\frac{\gamma}{\delta} \left[\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - 1 \right] = \frac{\gamma}{\delta} \left[\alpha a_2 z + \left[4\alpha a_3 - \left(\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 - 3\alpha) \right) a_2^2 \right] z^2 + \dots \right]. \tag{2.13}$$

It follows (2.8) and (2.13) that

$$a_2 = \frac{\delta E_0 \dot{w}_1 A_1}{\gamma \alpha}, \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$a_3 = \frac{\delta A_1 E_0}{4\alpha \gamma} \left[\frac{\delta(\alpha - 3)}{\gamma \alpha} A_1 E_0 \dot{w}_1^2 + \dot{w}_1 \frac{E_1}{E_0} + \dot{w}_2 + \dot{w}_1^2 \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right]. \tag{2.15}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{a}_3 - \mu \dot{a}_2^2 &= \frac{\delta A_1 E_0}{4\alpha \gamma} \left[\frac{\delta(\alpha - 3)}{\gamma \alpha} A_1 E_0 \dot{w}_1^2 + \dot{w}_1 \frac{E_1}{E_0} + \dot{w}_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dot{w}_1^2 \left(\frac{A_2}{A_1} - \frac{\delta}{\gamma \alpha} A_1 E_0 \mu \right) \right], \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

with this fact, and using lemma (1.1) and lemma (1.2), we obtain

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{\gamma \alpha},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{4\gamma \alpha} \left[1 + \max \left\{ 1, \frac{\delta(\alpha - 3)}{\alpha \gamma} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| \right\} \right].$$

Further

$$|\dot{a}_3 - \mu \dot{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{4\alpha \gamma} \left[1 + \max \left\{ 1, \frac{\delta(\alpha - 3)}{\gamma \alpha} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| - \frac{\delta}{\alpha \gamma} A_1 |\mu| \right\} \right].$$

Theorem (2.4) ; A function $f \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfies

$$\frac{\gamma}{\delta} \left[\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right)^\alpha - 1 \right] \ll (Q(z) - 1).$$

Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{\gamma \alpha},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{4\gamma\alpha} \left[1 - \frac{\delta(\alpha-3)}{\alpha\gamma} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| \right],$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$|\dot{a}_3 - \mu \dot{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{\delta A_1}{4\gamma\alpha} \left[\frac{\delta(\alpha-3)}{\alpha\gamma} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| - \frac{\delta}{\gamma\alpha} A_1 |\mu| \right].$$

Proof: The results following by taking $\dot{w}(z)=z$ in the proof of theorem (2.3).

Theorem (2.5) ; A function f is given by (1.1) belong to the class $\mathcal{I}O_q(\beta, \tau, \epsilon, \hbar, \theta)$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\beta A_1}{2\tau(\epsilon - \hbar)}, \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\beta A_1}{3\tau(\epsilon - 3\hbar)} \left[1 + \max \left\{ 1, \frac{2\beta(2\hbar - \epsilon)}{\tau(\epsilon - \hbar)^2} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| \right\} \right], \tag{2.18}$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$|\dot{a}_3 - \mu \dot{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{\beta A_1}{3\tau(\epsilon - 3\hbar)} \left[1 + \max \left\{ 1, \frac{2\beta(2\hbar - \epsilon)}{\tau(\epsilon - \hbar)^2} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| - \frac{3\beta(\epsilon - 3\hbar)}{4\tau(\epsilon - \hbar)^2} A_1 |\mu| \right\} \right]. \tag{2.19}$$

Proof ; Let $f \in \mathcal{I}O_q(\beta, \tau, \epsilon, \hbar, \theta)$ and ,then ,there are analytic functions $Q(z)$ in \mathcal{U} with $|Q(z)| < 1$ and $\dot{w}: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, with $\dot{w}(0)=0$ and $|\dot{w}(z)| < 1$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\tau}{\beta} \left[(\epsilon + \hbar) \left(\frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) + (\epsilon - \hbar) z^2 \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)' + (2\epsilon - 2\hbar) \left(\frac{\frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)}}{\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)}} - 1 \right) \right] \\ & = (\theta(z)[Q(\dot{w}(z)) - 1]). \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\tau}{\beta} \left[(\epsilon + \hbar) \left(\frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) + (\epsilon - \hbar) z^2 \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)' + (2\epsilon - 2\hbar) \left(\frac{\frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)}}{\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)}} - 1 \right) \right] \\ & = \frac{\tau}{\beta} [(\epsilon - \hbar) + (2\epsilon + 2\hbar)a_2 z + [(3\epsilon + 9\hbar)a_3 - (16\hbar - 8\epsilon)a_2^2]z^2 \\ & \quad + \dots]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

It follows (2.8) and (2.12) that

$$a_2 = \frac{\beta E_0 \dot{w}_1 A_1}{2\tau(\hbar - \epsilon)},$$

and

$$a_3 = \frac{\beta A_1 E_0}{3\tau(\epsilon-3h)} \left[\frac{2\beta(2h-\epsilon)}{\tau(\epsilon-h)^2} A_1 E_0 \dot{w}_1^2 + \dot{w}_1 \frac{E_1}{E_0} + \dot{w}_2 + \dot{w}_1^2 \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right]. \tag{2.23}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{a}_3 - \mu \dot{a}_2^2 &= \frac{\beta A_1 E_0}{3\tau(\epsilon-3h)} \left[\frac{2\beta(2h-\epsilon)}{\tau(\epsilon-h)^2} A_1 E_0 \dot{w}_1^2 + \dot{w}_1 \frac{E_1}{E_0} + \dot{w}_2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dot{w}_1^2 \left(\frac{A_2}{A_1} - \frac{3\beta(\epsilon-3h)}{4\tau(\epsilon-h)^2} A_1 E_0 \mu \right) \right], \tag{2.24} \end{aligned}$$

with this fact and using lemma (1.1) and lemma (1.2), we obtain

$$|\dot{a}_3 - \mu \dot{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{\beta A_1}{3\tau(\epsilon-3h)} \left[1 + \max \left\{ 1, \frac{2\beta(2h-\epsilon)}{\tau(\epsilon-h)^2} A_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} - \frac{3\beta(\epsilon-3h)}{4\tau(\epsilon-h)^2} A_1 |\mu| \right\} \right].$$

If we put $\mu = 0$, in above inequality, we get derived estimate

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\beta A_1}{3\tau(\epsilon-3h)} \left[1 + \max \left\{ 1, \frac{2\beta(2h-\epsilon)}{\tau(\epsilon-h)^2} A_1 + \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right\} \right].$$

Theorem (2.6) ; A function $f \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\tau}{\beta} \left[(\epsilon+h) \left(\frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) + (\epsilon-h) z^2 \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)' + (2\epsilon-2h) \left(\frac{\frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)}}{\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)}} \right) - 1 \right] \\ &\ll (Q(z) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\beta A_1}{2\tau(\epsilon-h)},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\beta A_1}{3\tau(\epsilon-3h)} \left[\frac{2\beta(2h-\epsilon)}{\tau(\epsilon-h)^2} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| \right],$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$|\dot{a}_3 - \mu \dot{a}_2^2| \leq \frac{\beta A_1}{3\tau(\epsilon-3h)} \left[\frac{2\beta(2h-\epsilon)}{\tau(\epsilon-h)^2} A_1 + \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| - \frac{3\beta(\epsilon-3h)}{4\tau(\epsilon-h)^2} A_1 |\mu| \right].$$

Proof: The results following by taking $\dot{w}(z)=z$ in the proof of theorem (2.5).

Setting $\epsilon=1$, and $h=0$ in the theorem (2.5), we get the following corollary

Corollary (2.3); A function f is given by (1.1) in the class $\mathcal{I}O_q(\beta, \tau, 1, \theta)$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{\beta A_1}{2\tau},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\beta A_1}{3\tau} \left[1 + \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| - \frac{2\beta}{\tau} A_1 \right\} \right],$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{\beta A_1}{3\tau} \left[1 + \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{A_2}{A_1} \right| - \frac{2\beta}{\tau} A_1 - \frac{3\beta}{4\tau} A_1 |\mu| \right\} \right].$$

References ;

- [1] O.P. Ahuja, M. Jahangiri, Fekete-Szegö problem for a unified class of analytic functions, *Pan American Mathematical Journal*, 72 (1997), 67-78.
- [2] O. Altintas and S. Owa, Majorization and Quasi-subordination for certain of analytic functions, *Proceeding of the Japan Academy A*, 68(76)(1970),1-9.
- [3] O. Altintas and S. Owa, Majorizations and quasi-subordinations for certain analytic functions, *Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. A*, 68(7) (1992), 181-185.
- [4] R. El-Ashwah, S. Kanas, Fekete-Szegö inequalities for the quasi-subordination functions classes of complex order, *Kyunpook Math. J.*, 553 (2014), 679-688.
- [5] W. G. Atshan and E. I. Badawi, Results on coefficients estimates for subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1294 (2019) 033025, 1-10.
- [6] J. H. Choi, Y. C. Kim, and T. Sugawa, A general approach to the Fekete-Szegö problem, *J. Math. Soc. Japan.*, 59(3) (2007), 707-727.
- [7] P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, In: *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Band 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo, (1983).
- [8] M. Fekete and G. Szegö, Eine Bemerkung über ungerade Schlichte Funktionen, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 1(2) (1933), 85-89.
- [9] Ch. Gao, Fekete-Szegö problem for strongly Bazilevic functions, *Northeast Math. J.* 12 4 (1996) 469-474.
- [10] S.P. Goyal, O. Singh, Fekete-Szegö problems and coefficient estimates and quasi-subordination classes, *J. Rajasthan Acad. Phys. Sci.*, 13 (2014), 133-142.
- [11] R. S. Juma, Coefficient bounds for quasi-subordination classes, *Diyala. Journal for Pure Sciences*, 12(3)(2016), 68-82.
- [12] S. Kanas, An unified approach to the Fekete-Szegö problem, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 218 (2012), 8453-8461.
- [13] F. R. Keogh and E. P. Merkes, A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 20(1969), 8-12.
- [14] S. Y. Lee, Quasi-subordinations functions and coefficient cojestores, *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 12 (1) (1975), 43-50.
- [15] Z. Nehari, *Conformal Mappings*, Dover, NewYork, USA 1975 (resprinting of 1952 edition).

- [16] H. Orhan, D. Răducanu, Fekete-Szegö problem for strongly starlike functions associated with generalized hypergeometric functions, *Math. Comput. Modell.*, 50 (2009), 430-438.
- [17] F. Y. Ren, S. Owa and S. Fukui, Some Inequalities on Quasi-subordination functions, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 34(2) (1991), 317-324.
- [18] M. S. Robertson, Quasi-subordination and coefficient conjecture, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 76 (1970), 1-9.
- [19] T. M. Shanmugam, S. Sivasubramanian and M. Darus, Fekete-szegö inequality for certain classes of analytic functions, *Mathematica*, 34 (2007), 29-34.
- [20] P. Sahoo, S. Singh, Fekete-Szego problems for a special class of analytic functions, *J. of Orissa Math. Soc.* 27 1 and 2 (2008) 53-60.
- [21] H.M. Srivastava, A.K. Mishra and M.K. Das, The Fekete-Szegö problem for a subclass of close-to-convex functions, *Complex Variables Theory and Appl.* 44 2 (2001) 145-163.
- [22] H. M. Zayed, S. Bulut, and A. O. Mostafa, Quasi-subordination and coefficient bounds for certain classes of meromorphic functions of complex order, *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat.*, Vol. 68, no.1, (2019), 1197-1205.
- [23] Principal, S. H. M., Mishra, A., Sharma, J. K., Aarif, M., & Arwab, M. SMART AND INNOVATIVE IDEAS TO PROMOTE TOURISM FOR GLOBAL TRADE AND ECONOMIC GROWTH.
- [24] Ebrahimi, M., Attarilar, S., Gode, C., Kandavalli, S. R., Shamsborhan, M., & Wang, Q. (2023). Conceptual Analysis on Severe Plastic Deformation Processes of Shape Memory Alloys: Mechanical Properties and Microstructure Characterization. *Metals*, 13(3), 447.
- [25] J. K. S. Al-Safi, A. Bansal, M. Aarif, M. S. Z. Almahairah, G. Manoharan and F. J. Alotoum, "Assessment Based On IoT For Efficient Information Surveillance Regarding Harmful Strikes Upon Financial Collection," 2023 International Conference on Computer Communication and Informatics (ICCCI), Coimbatore, India, 2023, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/ICCCI56745.2023.10128500.
- [26] Khan, S.I., Kaur, C., Al Ansari, M.S. et al. Implementation of cloud based IoT technology in manufacturing industry for smart control of manufacturing process. *Int J Interact Des Manuf* (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12008-023-01366-w>
- [27] Kaur, C., Panda, T., Panda, S., Al Ansari, A. R. M., Nivetha, M., & Bala, B. K. (2023, February). Utilizing the Random Forest Algorithm to Enhance Alzheimer's disease Diagnosis. In 2023 Third International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Smart Energy (ICAIS) (pp. 1662-1667). IEEE.
- [28] Kandavalli, S. R., Wang, Q., Ebrahimi, M., Gode, C., Djavanroodi, F., Attarilar, S., & Liu, S. (2021). A brief review on the evolution of metallic dental implants: history, design, and application. *Frontiers in Materials*, 140.
- [29] C. Kaur, T. Panda, S. Panda, A. Rahman Mohammed Al Ansari, M. Nivetha and B. Kiran Bala, "Utilizing the Random Forest Algorithm to Enhance Alzheimer's disease Diagnosis," 2023 Third International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Smart

- Energy (ICAIS), Coimbatore, India, 2023, pp. 1662-1667, doi: 10.1109/ICAIS56108.2023.10073852.
- [30] M. A. Tripathi, R. Tripathi, F. Effendy, G. Manoharan, M. John Paul and M. Aarif, "An In-Depth Analysis of the Role That ML and Big Data Play in Driving Digital Marketing's Paradigm Shift," 2023 International Conference on Computer Communication and Informatics (ICCCI), Coimbatore, India, 2023, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/ICCCI56745.2023.10128357.
- [31] Siddiqua, A. Anjum, S. Kondapalli and C. Kaur, "Regulating and monitoring IoT controlled solar power plant by ML," 2023 International Conference on Computer Communication and Informatics (ICCCI), Coimbatore, India, 2023, pp. 1-4, doi: 10.1109/ICCCI56745.2023.10128300.
- [32] M. Lourens, A. Tamizhselvi, B. Goswami, J. Alanya-Beltran, M. Aarif and D. Gangodkar, "Database Management Difficulties in the Internet of Things," 2022 5th International Conference on Contemporary Computing and Informatics (IC3I), Uttar Pradesh, India, 2022, pp. 322-326, doi: 10.1109/IC3I56241.2022.10072614.
- [33] Dhas, D. S. E. J., Raja, R., Jannet, S., Wins, K. L. D., Thomas, J. M., & Kandavalli, S. R. (2023). Effect of carbide ceramics and coke on the properties of dispersion strengthened aluminium-silicon7-magnesium hybrid composites. *Materialwissenschaft und Werkstofftechnik*, 54(2), 147-157.
- [34] Prabha, C., Arunkumar, S. P., Sharon, H., Vijay, R., Niyas, A. M., Stanley, P., & Ratna, K. S. (2020, March). Performance and combustion analysis of diesel engine fueled by blends of diesel+ pyrolytic oil from *Polyalthia longifolia* seeds. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 2225, No. 1, p. 030002). AIP Publishing LLC.
- [35] Abd Algani, Y. M., Caro, O. J. M., Bravo, L. M. R., Kaur, C., Al Ansari, M. S., & Bala, B. K. (2023). Leaf disease identification and classification using optimized deep learning. *Measurement: Sensors*, 25, 100643.
- [36] Ratna, K. S., Daniel, C., Ram, A., Yadav, B. S. K., & Hemalatha, G. (2021). Analytical investigation of MR damper for vibration control: a review. *Journal of Applied Engineering Sciences*, 11(1), 49-52.
- [37] Abd Algani, Y. M., Ritonga, M., Kiran Bala, B., Al Ansari, M. S., Badr, M., & Taloba, A. I. (2022). Machine learning in health condition check-up: An approach using Breiman's random forest algorithm. *Measurement: Sensors*, 23, 100406. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measen.2022.100406>
- [38] S. A. Al-Ameedee, W. G. Atshan and F. A. Al-Maamori, Coefficients estimates of bi-univalent functions defined by new subclass function, *Journal of Physics : Conference Series* ,1530 (2020) 012105, 1-8.
- [39] S. A. Al-Ameedee, W. G. Atshan and F. A. Al-Maamori, Second Hankel determinant for certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions , *Journal of Physics : Conference Series* ,1664 (2020) 012044, 1-9.
- [40] W. G. Atshan , I. A. R. Rahman and A. A. Lupas, Some results of new subclasses for bi-univalent functions using quasi-subordination, *Symmetry*, 13(9) (2021),1653, 1-12.

- [41] W. G. Atshan , S. Yalcin and R. A. Hadi, Coefficients estimates for special subclasses of k- fold symmetric bi- univalent functions, *Mathematics for Applications*, 9(2) (2020), 83-90.
- [42] A. R. Rahman, W. G. Atshan and G. I. Oros, New concept on fourth Hankel determinant of a certain subclass of analytic functions , *Afrika Matematika*, (2020) 33:7, 1-15.