Some Properties of Wã-Interior and Wã-Closure in Topological Spaces

V. Mahadevan

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics,

Government College of Engineering (Autonomous)

(Affiliated to Anna University), Salem-11, Tamilnadu, India.

Article Info Page Number: 2087 - 2093 Publication Issue: Vol. 70 No. 2 (2021) **Abstract:** In this paper, the notion of $w\tilde{a}$ -interior is defined and some of its basic properties are studied. I introduce the concept of $w\tilde{a}$ -closure in topological spaces using the notions of $w\tilde{a}$ -closed sets, and we obtain some related results.

ArticleHistory Article Received: 15 October 2021 Revised: 24 November 2021 Accepted: 18 December 2021

Keywords and Phrases: w \tilde{a} -interior, w \tilde{a} -closure, $\tau w \tilde{a}$, sg-closed, w \tilde{a} -closed.

1. Introduction

Sheik John [7] introduced ω -closed sets in topological spaces. After the coming of these ideas, generalized topological spaces presented different types of generalized closed sets and concentrated on their major properties. Levine [4] introduced generalized closed sets in general topology as a generalization of closed sets. This idea was viewed as valuable and many outcomes overall generalized topology were gotten to the next level. Many researchers like Veerakumar [9] introduced \hat{g} -closed sets in topological spaces. Ravi and Ganesan [6] presented and \ddot{g} -closed sets in general topology as one more generalization of closed sets and demonstrated that the class of \ddot{g} -closed sets appropriately lies between the class of closed sets and the class of g-closed sets. Pious Missier et al. [5] presented the idea of g''-closed sets and concentrated on their most basic properties in topological spaces. In this paper, the notion of w \tilde{a} -interior is defined and some of its basic properties are studied. I introduce the concept of w \tilde{a} -closure in topological spaces using the notions of w \tilde{a} -closed sets, and we obtain some related results.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper (X, τ), (Y, σ) and (Z, η) (or X, Y and Z) represent topological spaces (briefly TPS) on which no separation axioms are assumed unless otherwise mentioned. For a subset P of a space X, cl(P), int(P) and P^c or X | P or X – P denote the closure of P, the interior of P and the complement of P, respectively.

We recall the following definitions which are useful in the sequel.

Definition 2.1

A subset P of a space X is called:

- (i) semi-open [3] if $P \subseteq cl(int(P))$;
- (ii) semi-preopen [1] if $P \subseteq cl(int(cl(P)))$;
- (iii) regular open [8] if P = int(cl(P)).

The complements of the above mentioned open sets are called their respective closed sets.

Definition 2.2

A subset P of a space X is called a

(i) semi-generalized closed (briefly sg-closed) [2] if $scl(P) \subseteq B$ whenever $P \subseteq B$ and B is semi-open in X.

(ii) ω -closed [10] if cl(P) \subseteq B whenever P \subseteq B and B is semi-open in X.

The complements of the above mentioned closed sets are called their respective open sets.

Definition 2.3

A subset \mathcal{N} of a TPS is called a weakly \tilde{a} -closed (briefly $w\tilde{a}$ -closed) [9] if $cl(int(\mathcal{N})) \subseteq B$ whenever $\mathcal{N} \subseteq B$ and B is sg-open in X.

The complements of the above mentioned closed set are called their respective open set.

3. $w\tilde{a}$ -INTERIOR

I introduce the following definition.

Definition 3.1

w \tilde{a} -int(P) is as the union of all w \tilde{a} -open sets contained in P, for all P \subseteq X, i.e., w \tilde{a} -int(P) = $\cup \{G : G \subseteq P \text{ and } G \text{ is } w\tilde{a}\text{-open}\}.$

Lemma 3.2

If for any $P \subseteq X$, then $int(P) \subseteq w\tilde{a}$ - $int(P) \subseteq P$.

Proof

It follows from Definition 3.1.

Proposition 3.3

If for any $P \subseteq X$, then

(i) $w\tilde{a}$ -int(P) is the largest $w\tilde{a}$ -open set contained in P.

(ii) P is w \tilde{a} -open iff w \tilde{a} -int(P) = P.

Proof:

(i) $w\tilde{a}$ -int(P) is an open set,By definition, $w\tilde{a}$ -int(P) \subseteq P. Let B be another open set \subseteq P. Then B \subseteq G \subseteq $w\tilde{a}$ -int(P) .So $w\tilde{a}$ -int(P) is the largest open set contained in P

(ii) $w\tilde{a}$ -int(P) = $\cup \{G : G \subseteq P \text{ and } G \text{ is } w\tilde{a}$ -open}. Then by definition itself, we can say that $w\tilde{a}$ -int(P) = P.

Proposition 3.4

For any subsets A and B of X,

(i) If $M \subseteq N$, then $w\tilde{a}$ -int(M) $\subseteq w\tilde{a}$ -int(N).

- (ii) $w\tilde{a}$ -int $(M \cap N) = w\tilde{a}$ -int $(M) \cap w\tilde{a}$ -int(N).
- (iii) $w\tilde{a}\text{-int}(M \cup N) \supseteq w\tilde{a}\text{-int}(M) \cup w\tilde{a}\text{-int}(N).$

(vi) $w\tilde{a}$ -int(X) = X and $w\tilde{a}$ -int(ϕ) = ϕ .

Proof:

(i) Let $x \in w\tilde{a}$ -int(M), there exit a real number r>0 such that $G \subseteq M \subseteq N$, $x \in w\tilde{a}$ -int(N). Thus $w\tilde{a}$ -int(M) $\subseteq w\tilde{a}$ -int(N).

(ii) Since $M \cap N \subseteq M$, by (i) $w\tilde{a}$ -int $(M \cap N) \subseteq w\tilde{a}$ -int(M), since $M \cap N \subseteq N$, By (i) $w\tilde{a}$ -int $(M \cap N) \subseteq w\tilde{a}$ -int(N). So $w\tilde{a}$ -int $(M \cap N) \subseteq w\tilde{a}$ -int(N). By definition $w\tilde{a}$ -int $(M) \subseteq M$ and $w\tilde{a}$ -int $(M) \subseteq N$. Thus $w\tilde{a}$ -int $(M) \cap w\tilde{a}$ -int $(N) \subseteq M \cap N$. But $w\tilde{a}$ -int $(M \cap N)$ is the largest open set contained in $M \cap N$. So $w\tilde{a}$ -int $(M) \cap w\tilde{a}$ -int $(N) \subseteq w\tilde{a}$ -int $(M \cap N)$. Thus $w\tilde{a}$ -int $(M \cap N) = w\tilde{a}$ -int $(M) \cap w\tilde{a}$ -int $(M) \cap W\tilde{a}$ -int $(M \cap N) = w\tilde{a}$ -int $(M) \cap w\tilde{a}$ -int(N).

(iii) Since $M \subseteq M \cup N$, $B \subseteq M \cup N$, by (i) $w\tilde{a}$ -int($M) \subseteq w\tilde{a}$ -int($M \cup N$) and

 $w\tilde{a}$ -int(N) $\subseteq w\tilde{a}$ -int(M \cup N). Thus $w\tilde{a}$ -int(M \cup N) $\supseteq w\tilde{a}$ -int(M) $\cup w\tilde{a}$ -int(N).

(iv) we can directly get this by definition.

4. wã-CLOSURE

In this paper, I define $w\tilde{a}$ -closure of a set and we prove that $w\tilde{a}$ -closure is a Kuratowski closure operator on X.

Definition 4.1

wã-closure of P is defined to be the intersection of all wã-closed sets containing P , for all P $\subseteq X$.

Otherwise, $w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P) = $\cap \{F : P \subseteq F \in w\tilde{a}C(X)\}$.

Lemma 4.2

If for any $P \subseteq X$, $P \subseteq w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P) \subseteq cl(P).

Proof

By definition, $w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P) is the smallest $w\tilde{a}$ -closed containing P, we have any closed set is $w\tilde{a}$ -closed containing P. Thus $P \subseteq w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P) \subseteq cl(P).

Remark 4.3

Both containment relations in Lemma 4.2 may be proper as seen from the following example.

Example 4.4

Let $X = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ and $\tau = \{\phi, \{w_1, w_2\}, X\}$. Let $P = \{w_2\}$. Then $w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P) = $\{w_2, w_3\}$ and cl(P) = X. so $P \subset w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P) \subset cl(P).

Lemma 4.5

For any $P \subseteq X$, ω -cl(P) $\subseteq w\tilde{\alpha}$ -cl(P), where ω -cl(P) is given by ω -cl(P) = $\cap \{F : P \subseteq F \in \omega C(X)\}$.

Proof

Since, any w \tilde{a} -cld is ω -cld and by definition, w \tilde{a} -cl(P) is the smallest w \tilde{a} -cld containing P. Thus for any P \subseteq X, ω -cl(P) \subseteq w \tilde{a} -cl(P)

Remark 4.6

The above lemma 4.5 may be as seen from the following example.

Example 4.7

Let X = {w₁, w₂, w₃, w₄} with $\tau = \{\phi, \{w_1\}, \{w_2, w_3\}, \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}, X\}$. Then w $\tilde{a}C(X) = \{\phi, \{w_4\}, \{w_1, w_4\}, \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}, X\}$ and $\omega C(X) = \{\phi, \{w_4\}, \{w_1, w_4\}, \{w_2, w_4\}, \{w_3, w_4\}, \{w_1, w_2, w_4\}, \{w_2, w_3, w_4\}, X\}$. Let P = {w₁, w₂, w₄}. Then w \tilde{a} -cl(P) = X and ω -cl(P) ={w₁, w₂, w₃}. So, ω -cl(P) \subset w \tilde{a} -cl(P).

Theorem 4.8

w \tilde{a} -closure is a Kuratowski closure operator on X.

Proof

(i) $w\tilde{a}$ -cl(ϕ) = ϕ .

(ii) $P \subseteq w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P), by Lemma 4.2.

(iii) Let $P_1 \cup P_2 \subseteq F \in w\tilde{a}C(X)$, then $P_i \subseteq F$ and by definition, $w\tilde{a}$ -cl $(P_i) \subseteq F$ for i = 1, 2. Therefore $w\tilde{a}$ -cl $(P_1) \cup w\tilde{a}$ -cl $(P_2) \subseteq \cap \{F : P_1 \cup P_2 \subseteq F \in w\tilde{a}C(X)\} = w\tilde{a}$ -cl $(P_1 \cup P_2)$. To prove the reverse inclusion, let $x \in w\tilde{a}$ -cl $(P_1 \cup P_2)$ and suppose that $x \notin w\tilde{a}$ -cl $(P_1) \cup w\tilde{a}$ -cl (P_2) . Then there exists $w\tilde{a}$ -closed sets F_1 and F_2 with $P_1 \subseteq F_1$, $P_2 \subseteq F_2$ and $x \notin F_1 \cup F_2$. We have $P_1 \cup P_2 \subseteq F_1 \cup F_2$ and $F_1 \cup F_2$ is an $w\tilde{a}$ -closed set such that $x \notin F_1 \cup F_2$. Thus $x \notin w\tilde{a}$ -cl $(P_1 \cup P_2)$ which is a contradiction to $x \in w\tilde{a}$ -cl $(P_1 \cup P_2)$. Hence $w\tilde{a}$ -cl $(P_1 \cup P_2) = w\tilde{a}$ -cl $(P_1) \cup w\tilde{a}$ -cl (P_2) .

(iv) Let $P \subseteq F \in w\tilde{a}C(X)$. Then by Definition 4.1, $w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P) $\subseteq F$ and $w\tilde{a}$ -cl(G Ω -cl(P)) \subseteq F. Since $w\tilde{a}$ -cl($w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P)) \subseteq F, we have $w\tilde{a}$ -cl($w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P)) $\subseteq \cap \{F : A \subseteq F \in w\tilde{a}C(X)\} = w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P). By Lemma 4.2, $w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P) $\subseteq w\tilde{a}$ -cl($w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P)) and therefore, $w\tilde{a}$ -cl($w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P)) $= w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P). Hence, $w\tilde{a}$ -closure is a Kuratowski operator on X.

Lemma 4.9

For an $x \in X$, $x \in w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P) if and only if $V \cap P \neq \phi$ for any $w\tilde{a}$ -open set V containing x.

Proof

Let $x \in w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P) for any $x \in X$. To prove $V \cap P \neq \phi$ for any $w\tilde{a}$ -open set V containing x. Prove this by contradiction. Suppose there exists a $w\tilde{a}$ -open set V contains x such that $V \cap P = \phi$. Then $P \subset V^c$ and V^c is $w\tilde{a}$ -cld. We have $w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P) $\subset V^c$. This implies that $x \notin w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P) which is a contradiction. Hence $V \cap P \neq \phi$ for all $w\tilde{a}$ -open set V contains x.

Conversely, let $V \cap P \neq \phi$ for all w \tilde{a} -open set V contains x. To prove $x \in w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P). We prove this by contradiction. Suppose $x \notin w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P). Then there exists a w \tilde{a} -closed set F containing P such that $x \notin F$. Then $x \in F^c$ and F^c is w \tilde{a} -open. Also $F^c \cap P = \phi$, which is a contradiction. Thus $x \in w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P).

Definition 4.10

Let $\tau w \tilde{a}$ be the topology on X generated by $w \tilde{a}$ -closure. Otherwise $\tau w \tilde{a} = \{U \subseteq X : w \tilde{a} - cl(U^c) = U^c\}$.

Theorem 4.11

For any topology τ on X, $\tau \subseteq \tau w \tilde{a} \subseteq \tau_{\omega}$, where $\tau_{\omega} = \{U \subseteq X : \omega \text{-cl}(U^c)\} = U^c$. **Proof**

It follows from Definition 4.1 and Lemma 4.5.

The following two Propositions are easy consequences from definitions.

Proposition 4.12

For any $P \subseteq X$, the following hold:

(i) $w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P) is the smallest $w\tilde{a}$ -cld contains P.

(ii) P is w \tilde{a} -cld if and only if w \tilde{a} -cl(P) = P.

Proposition 4.13

For any two subsets M and N of X, the following hold:

(i) If $M \subseteq N$, then $w\tilde{a}$ -cl(M) $\subseteq w\tilde{a}$ -cl(N).

 $(ii) \qquad w \tilde{a} \text{-cl}(M \cap N) \subseteq w \tilde{a} \text{-cl}(M) \cap w \tilde{a} \text{-cl}(N).$

Proof

From the Definition 4.1.

Theorem 4.14

Let P be any subset of X. Then

- (i) $(w\tilde{a}\text{-int}(P))^c = w\tilde{a}\text{-cl}(P^c).$
- (ii) $w\tilde{a}$ -int(P) = $(w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P^c))^c.
- (iii) $w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P) = $(w\tilde{a}$ -int(P^c))^c.

Proof

(i) Let $x \in (w\tilde{a}\text{-int}(P))^c$. Then $x \notin w\tilde{a}\text{-int}(P)$. Then, all $w\tilde{a}\text{-open set } U$ contains x is such that $U \not\subseteq P$. Thus, all $w\tilde{a}\text{-open set } U$ contains x is such that $U \cap P^c \neq \phi$. By Lemma 4.9, $x \in w\tilde{a}\text{-cl}(P^c)$ and therefore $(w\tilde{a}\text{-int}(P))^c \subseteq w\tilde{a}\text{-cl}(P^c)$.

Conversely, If $x \in w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P^c) then by Lemma 4.9, all $w\tilde{a}$ -open set U contains x is such that U $\cap P^c \neq \phi$. That is, all $w\tilde{a}$ -open set U contains x is such that U $\not\subseteq P$. This shows by Definition 3.1, $x \notin w\tilde{a}$ -int(P). That is, $x \in (w\tilde{a}$ -int(P))^c and so $w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P^c) $\subseteq (w\tilde{a}$ -int(P))^c. Thus $(w\tilde{a}$ -int(P))^c = $w\tilde{a}$ -cl(P^c).

- (ii) Taking complement of (i), we get the answer.
- (iii) We get this by replacing P by P^c in (i).

References

- [1] Andrijevic, D.: Semi-preopen sets, Mat. Vesnik, 38 (1986), 24-32.(1)
- [2] Bhattacharya, P. and Lahiri, B. K.: Semi-generalized closed sets in topology, Indian J. Math., 29(3) (1987), 375-382.(5)
- [3] Levine N.: Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70 (1963), 36-41.(10)
- [4] Levine N.: Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 19 (1970), 89-96. (11)

- [5] Pious Missier, S., Ravi, O. and. Herin Wise Bell, P.: g^m-closed sets in topology (communicated).(15)
- [6] Ravi, O. and Ganesan, S.: *g* -closed sets in topology, International Journal of Computer Science and Emerging Technologies, 2(3) (2011), 330-337.(16)
- [7] Sheik John, M.: A study on generalizations of closed sets and continuous maps in topological and bitopological spaces, Ph. D Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, September 2002.(17)
- [8] Stone, M. H.: Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 41 (1937), 374-481.(18)
- [9] H. Thasleem rani, On some properties of $w\tilde{a}$ -closed sets in topological spaces, Indian Journal of Natural Sciences, (Accepted).(19)
- [10] Veera Kumar M. K. R. S.: \hat{g} closed sets in topological spaces, Bull. Allahabad Math. Soc., 18 (2003), 99-112. (20)