# M-Ordering on Generalized Regular Neutrosophic Fuzzy Matrices

S. Princy Christinal Esther<sup>#1</sup>, M. M. Shanmugapriya<sup>#2</sup>

<sup>#1</sup>Ph.D Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Karpagam Academy of Higher Education,

Coimbatore-641021.

<sup>#2</sup>Professor, Department of Mathematics, Karpagam Academy of Higher Education,

#### Coimbatore-641021.

<sup>#1</sup>E-Mail: princyrajamani@gmail.com, <sup>#2</sup>E-Mail: priya.mirdu@gmail.com

Article Info	Abstract
Page Number: 1150 – 1158	The goal of this article is to announce a distinct kind of ordering termed as
Publication Issue:	m-ordering on m- regular Neutrosophic Fuzzy Matrix (NSFM) as an
Vol. 71 No. 3 (2022)	oversimplification of the minus partial ordering for regular fuzzy matrices.
	A set of comparable conditions for a pair of $m-\mbox{regular}$ NSVM to be under
	this ordering are attained. We show that this ordering is conserved under
	resemblance relation. Some properties under this ordering also were
Article History	discussed. Further, we prove that if any two NSFMs are under m-ordering
Article Received: 12 January 2022	then its enrolment work (T), the non-participation (F) work and the
Revised: 25 February 2022	indeterminacy work (I) fuzzy matrices are also under m- order.
Accepted: 20 April 2022	Keywords: Fuzzy Matrix, Neutrosophic Fuzzy Matrix(NSFM), m-
Publication: 09 June 2022	ordering, Regular NSFM, m-regular.

#### **1.Introduction**

Scientists in endless abundant fields choose with the equivocal, vague and remarkably lacking proof of showing wrong information. As a result, fuzzy set hypothesis was acquainted by L. A. Zadeh [12]. Then, the intuitionistic fuzzy sets were laid out by K. A. Atanassov [1, 2]. Evaluation of non-enrolment values are additionally not tediously workable for the vague explanation as in the event of participation esteems thus, there exists an indeterministic segment whereupon reluctance perseveres. As an impact, The neutrosophic set (NS) was declared by F. Smarandache [5,10,11] in 1999 where every component had three associated characterizing capacities, explicitly the enrolment work (T), the non-participation (F) work and the indeterminacy work (I) obvious on the universe of talk U, the three capacities are completely autonomous.

The issues with respect to a few sorts of hesitations can't tackled by the old-style grid hypothesis. That sort of issues is broken by utilizing fuzzy framework [4, 6]. In [4], Kim and Roush have perceived a model for fuzzy matrices comparable to that for Boolean Matrices by spreading the maximin procedure on fuzzy variable based math F = [0,1]. In [7], Meenakshi have determined different sorts of requesting on standard fuzzy matrices. Fuzzy matrices concurred with just participation values. These matrices can't contract nonparticipation values. Intuitionistic fuzzy matrices (IFMs) declared first time by Khan, Shyamal and Pal [8]. The less incomplete requesting on neutrosophic fuzzy matrices have concentrated by Sriram and et.al[3]. In [9], Poongodi et.al have given the new portrayal on Neutrosophic fuzzy matrices.

Here, we announce a distinct kind of ordering termed as m- ordering on m - regular Neutrosophic Fuzzy Matrix (NSFM) as an oversimplification of the minus partial ordering for regular fuzzy matrices. A set of comparable conditions for a pair of m – regular NSVM to be under this ordering are attained. We show that this ordering is conserved under resemblance relation. Some properties under this ordering also were discussed. Further, we prove that if any two NSFMs are under m-ordering then its enrollment work (T), the non-participation (F) work and the indeterminacy work (I) fuzzy matrices are also under m- order.

## 2. Preliminaries:

In this section, some elementary definitions and consequences desired are given.

## **Definition 2.1**

A matrix  $C \in F_n$  is known as to be regular that there exist a matrix  $U \in F_n$ , to such an extent that CUC=C. Then, at that point, U is called g-reverse of C. Let  $C\{1\} = \{U / CUC = C\}$ .  $F_n$  signifies the arrangement of all fuzzy matrices of order nxn.

# **Definition 2.2**

A matrix  $C \in F_n$  is known as right m – regular, assuming there exist a matrix  $U \in F_n$ , to such an extent that  $C^m \cup C = C^m$ , for some sure whole number m. U is named as right m - g inverse of C. Let  $C_r\{1^m\} = \{ \cup / C^m \cup C = C^m \}.$ 

## **Definition 2.3**

A matrix  $C \in F_n$  is known as left m – regular, assuming there exist a matrix  $V \in F_n$ , to such an extent that  $C \ V \ C^m = C^m$ , for some sure whole number m. V is named as left m - g inverse of C.

Let  $C = \{ V / C V C^m = C^m \}.$ 

#### **Definition 2.4**

For  $C \in F_{mn}$  and  $D \in F_{mn}$ ; the minus ordering denoted as  $\overline{\langle}$  is demarcated as  $C \overline{\langle} D \Leftrightarrow C^- C = C^- D$ and  $C C^- = D C^-$  for some  $C^- \in C\{1\}$ .

#### **Definition 2.5**

An neutrosophic fuzzy matrix (NSFM) C of order  $m \times n$  is defined as  $C = [X_{ij}, \langle c_{(ij)T}, c_{(ij)F}, c_{(ij)I} \rangle]_{mxn}$ , where  $c_{(ij)T}, c_{(ij)FF} c_{(ij)I}$  are called enrolment work (T), the non-participation (F) work and the indeterminancy work (I) of  $X_{ij}$  in C, which sustaining the condition  $0 \le (c_{(ij)T}, + c_{(ij)F}, + c_{(ij)I}) \le 3$ . For simplicity, we write  $C = [c_{ij}]_{mxn}$  where  $c_{ij} = \langle c_{(ij)T}, c_{(ij)F}, c_{(ij)I} \rangle$ . Let  $N_n$  symbolizes the arrangement of all nxn NSFM.

Let C and D be any two NSFMs. The accompanying activities are characterized for any two-component  $c_{ij} \in C$  and  $d_{ij} \in D$ , where  $a_{ij} = [c_{(ij)T,} c_{(ij)F,} c_{(ij)I}]$  and  $d_{ij} = [d_{(ij)T,} d_{(ij)F,} d_{(ij)I}]$  are in [0,1] with the end goal that  $0 \le (c_{(ij)T} + c_{(ij)F,} + c_{(ij)I}) \le 3$  and  $0 \le (d_{(ij)T,} + d_{(ij)F,} + d_{(ij)I}) \ge 3$ , then

 $c_{ij} + d_{ij} = [\max \{c_{(ij)T}, d_{(ij)T} \}, \max \{c_{(ij)F}, d_{(ij)F} \}, \min \{c_{(ij)I}, d_{(ij)I} \}]$ 

 $c_{ij} \cdot d_{ij} = [\min \{c_{(ij)T_i}, d_{(ij)T_i}\}, \min \{c_{(ij)F_i}, d_{(ij)F_i}\}, \max \{c_{(ij)I_i}, d_{(ij)I_i}\}]$ 

Here we shall track the elementary operations on NSFM.

For C =  $(c_{ij}) = [c_{(ij)T_i} c_{(ij)F_i} c_{(ij)I}]$  and D =  $(d_{ij}) = [d_{(ij)T_i} d_{(ij)FF} d_{(ij)I}]$  of order mxn, their total indicated as C + D is characterized as,

 $C + D = (c_{ij} + d_{ij}) = [(c_{(ij)T,+} + d_{(ij)T}), (c_{(ij)F} + d_{(ij)F}), (c_{(ij)I +} + d_{(ij)I}])] \qquad \dots (2.1)$ For C =  $(c_{ij})_{mxn}$  and D =  $(d_{ij})_{nxp}$  their product indicated as CD is characterized as, CD =  $(e_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{jk} \cdot d_{kj}$ =  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} (c_{(ik)T,-} d_{(kj)T}), \sum_{k=1}^{n} (c_{(ik)F} \cdot d_{(kj)F}), \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{(ik)I -} d_{(kj)I}) \qquad \dots (2.2)$ 

For C,  $D \in N_{mn}$ 

(i) If the row space of D contained in the row space of C then which is equivalent to D = UC for some  $U \in N_m$ 

i.e.  $\mathcal{R}(D) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(C) \Leftrightarrow D = UC$  for some  $U \in N_m$ 

(ii) If the column space of D contained in the column space of C then which is equivalent to D = CV for some  $V \in N_n$ 

i.e.  $\mathcal{C}(D) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(D) \Leftrightarrow D = CV$  for some  $V \in N_n$ 

# Lemma 2.7

For  $C \in N_{mn}$  and  $D \in N_{nm}$ , the following hold.

- (i) The row space of CD, which is contained in the row space of C, i.e.  $\Re(CD) \subseteq \Re(C)$
- (ii) The column space of CD, which is contained in the column space of D, i.e.  $\mathcal{C}(CD) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(D)$

## Lemma: 2.8

For  $C=[C_T, C_F, C_I] \in N_{mn}$  and  $D=[D_T, D_F, D_I] \in N_{nm}$ , the following hold.

- (i)  $C^{T} = [C_{T}^{T}, C_{F}^{T}, C_{I}^{T}]$
- (ii)  $CD = [C_TD_T, C_FD_F, C_ID_I]$

#### 3. m -Ordering on m – Regular Neutrosophic Fuzzy Matrices.

In this part, we announce a distinct kind of ordering termed as m- ordering on m - regular Neutrosophic Fuzzy Matrix (NSFM) as an oversimplification of the minus partial ordering for regular fuzzy matrices. A set of comparable conditions for a pair of m – regular NSVM to be under this ordering are attained. We show that this ordering is conserved under resemblance relation. Some properties under this ordering also were discussed. Further, we prove that if any two NSFMs are under m-ordering then its enrolment work (T), the non-participation work(F) and the indeterminacy work (I) fuzzy matrices are also under m- order.

#### 3.1. Right m - Regular Neutrosophic Fuzzy Matrices

A matrix C=[C<sub>T</sub>, C<sub>F</sub>, C<sub>I</sub>]  $\in$  N<sub>n</sub> is known to be right m – regular if the matrix satisfies the equation C<sup>m</sup> U C = C<sup>m</sup> where U  $\in$  N<sub>n</sub> and m be any sure whole number. Let C{1<sup>m</sup><sub>r</sub>}={ U / C<sup>m</sup> U C = C<sup>m</sup> }.

#### 3.2. Left m -Regular Neutrosophic Fuzzy Matrices

A matrix  $C=[C_T, C_F, C_I] \in N_n$  is known to be left m – regular if the matrix satisfies the equation  $CVC^m = C^m$ , where  $V \in N_n$  and m be any positive integer. Let  $C\{1_\ell^m\} = \{V / C V C^m = C^m\}$ .

In the following example, we illustrated that right m - g inverse and left m - g inverse both are distinct.

Example 3.3 [0, 0, 1][0.2, 0.5, 0] [0, 0, 0]Let us consider C = [0, 0, 1][0.5, 1, 0] $[0.3, 0.5, 0] \in (N)_{3U3}$ . [0.1, 0.5, 1] [0, 0, 0][0, 0, 0]For this C, C<sup>2</sup> =  $\begin{bmatrix} [0, 0, 1] & [0.2, 0.5, 0] & [0.2, 0.5, 0] \\ [0.1, 0.5, 1] & [0.5, 1, 0] & [0.3, 0.5, 0] \end{bmatrix}$ [0.1, 0.5, 0] [0, 0, 0][0, 0, 1]  $\mathbf{C}^{3} = \begin{bmatrix} [0.1, 0.5, 1] & [0.2, 0.5, 0] & [0.2, 0.5, 0] \\ [0.1, 0.5, 1] & [0.5, 1, 0] & [0.3, 0.5, 0] \end{bmatrix}$  $[0, 0, 1] \qquad [0.1, 0.5, 0] \quad [0.1, 0.5, 0]$ [0.4, 0.5, 1] [0, 0, 0] [0.3, 0.5, 0]For U = [0.2, 0.5, 1] [0.5, 1, 0] [0, 0, 0][0, 0, 1] [0.4, 0.5, 0] [0, 0, 0]

 $C^3 \cup C = C^3$ . Hence C is 3 – regular NSFM. For m = 3,  $C^3 \cup C = C^3$  but C  $\cup C^3 \neq C^3$ .

# 3.4. m -Ordering

For  $C \in (N)_n^{(m)}$ , the set of all m-regular fuzzy matrices and  $D \in N_n$ ; the m - ordering symbolized as  $C \stackrel{m}{\leq} D$  is defined as

$$C \stackrel{m}{\leq} D \Leftrightarrow C^{m} U = D^{m} U \text{ for some } U \in C\{1_{r}^{k}\}$$
  
and  $V C^{m} = V D^{m} \text{ for some } V \in C\{1_{\ell}^{k}\}.$ 

In all-purpose, if  $U \in C\{1_r^m\}$ , then U need not be a g – inverse of C<sup>m</sup>. This is showed in the succeeding illustration.

#### 3.5. Example

Let C = 
$$\begin{pmatrix} [0.3, 0.5, 0.1] & [0.7, 1, 0] \\ [0.5, 1, 0.7] & [0, 0, 0] \end{pmatrix}$$
  
For this C, C<sup>2</sup> =  $\begin{pmatrix} [0.5, 0.7, 0.1] & [0.3, 0.5, 0] \\ [0.3, 0.5, 0.7] & [0.5, 1, 0] \end{pmatrix}$   
For U =  $\begin{pmatrix} [0.3, 1, 0.1] & [0.7, 0.9, 0] \\ [0.5, 0.8, 0.7] & [0, 0, 0] \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $C^{2} U C = C^{2}. \text{ Hence } U \in C \{1_{r}^{2}\} \text{ and } C \text{ is } 2 - \text{regular, but}$   $C^{2} U C^{2} = \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0.3, 0.3, 0.1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0.5, 0.5, 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0.5, 0.5, 0.7 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} 0.3, 0.5, 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \neq C^{2},$  Vol. 71 No. 3 (2022) http://philstat.org.ph

Therefore, U is not in C <sup>2</sup>{1}. Thus, U is a 2-g- inverse of C but U is not a g – inverse for C<sup>2</sup>. **Lemma.3.6.** For C=[C<sub>T</sub>, C<sub>F</sub>, C<sub>I</sub>]  $\in$  (N)<sub>n</sub><sup>(m)</sup> and D= [D<sub>T</sub>, D<sub>F</sub>, D<sub>I</sub>]  $\in$  (N)<sub>n</sub>; the following are equivalent.

i. 
$$C_T \stackrel{m}{\leq} D_T \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad C_T^m = D_T^m U_T C_T = C_T V_T D_T^m \text{ for some } U_T, V_T \in C_T \{1^m\}$$

ii.  $C_F \stackrel{m}{\leq} D_F \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad C_F \stackrel{m}{=} D_F \stackrel{m}{=} U_F C_F = C_F V_F D_F \stackrel{m}{=} \text{ for some } U_F, V_F \in C_F \{1^m\}$ 

iii.  $C_I \stackrel{m}{\leq} D_I \iff C_I \stackrel{m}{=} D_I \stackrel{m}{=} U_I C_I = C_I V_I D_I \stackrel{m}{=} \text{ for some } U_I, V_I \in C_F \{1^m\}$ 

# Proof: (i)

 $\begin{array}{ccc} C_{T} \stackrel{m}{<} D_{T} \Rightarrow & C_{T} \stackrel{m}{=} U_{T} = D_{T} \stackrel{m}{=} U_{T} & \text{where } U_{T} \in C_{T} \{1_{r}^{m}\} \\ & \text{and} & V_{T} \ C_{T} \stackrel{m}{=} V_{T} \ D_{T} \stackrel{m}{} & \text{where } V_{T} \in C_{T} \{1_{\ell}^{m}\} \end{array}$ 

Now,

 $C_T^m = (C_T^m U_T) C_T = D_T^m U_T C_T$  where  $U_T \in C_T \{1_r^m\}$  $C_T^{m} = C_T (V_T C_T^{m}) = C_T V_T D_T^{m}$  where  $V_T \in C_T \{1_{\ell}^m\}$  $C_T^m = D_T^m U_T C_T = C_T V_T D_T^m$  where  $U_T, V_T \in C_T \{1^m\}$ Thus  $C_T^m = D_T^m U_T C_T = C_T V_T D_T^m$  where  $U_T, V_T \in C_T \{1^m\}$  holds. Let  $Z_T = U_T C_T U_T$  for  $U_T \in C_T\{1_r^k\}$  $C_T {}^m Z_T C_T = C_T {}^m (U_T C_T U_T) C_T = (C_T {}^m U_T C_T) U_T C_T = C_T {}^m U$  $\Rightarrow$  Z<sub>T</sub>  $\in$  C<sub>T</sub> {1<sup>m</sup><sub>n</sub>} Equally,  $C_T Z_T C_T^m = C_T^m$  for  $Z_T = V_T C_T V_T$  for  $V_T \in C_T\{1_{\rho}^m\}$  $\Rightarrow$  Z<sub>T</sub>  $\in$  C<sub>T</sub> {1<sup>*m*</sup><sub>*ρ*</sub>} Thus, for  $U \in C_T \{1^m\}$ ,  $Z = U_T C_T U_T \in C_T \{1^m_r\}$  when  $U_T \in C_T \{1^m_r\}$  and  $Z = U_T C_T U_T \in C_T \{1^m_r\}$  $C_{T} \{1_{\rho}^{m}\}$  when  $U_{T} \in C_{T} \{1_{\rho}^{m}\}.$ Now,  $C_T^m Z_T = C_T^m (U_T C_T U_T) = (C_T^m U_T C_T) U_T$  $= C_T^m U_T = (D_T^m U_T C_T) U_T = D_T^m (U_T C_T U_T) = D_T^m Z$  $C_T^m Z_T = D_T^m Z_T$  for some  $Z_T \in C_T\{1_r^m\}$ . Hence Correspondingly,  $Z_T C_T^m = Z_T D_T^m$  for some  $Z_T \in C_T\{1_{\ell}^m\}$ . Henceforth  $C_T \stackrel{m}{\leq} D_T$ . Thus (i) holds. In the same way,  $C_F \stackrel{m}{\leq} D_F \qquad \Leftrightarrow \quad C_F \stackrel{m}{=} D_F \stackrel{m}{=} U_F C_F = C_F V_F D_F \stackrel{m}{=} \text{ for some } U_F, V_F \in C_F$  $\{1^{m}\}$  $C_I \stackrel{m}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}} D_I \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad C_I \stackrel{m}{\underset{\sim}{=}} D_I \stackrel{m}{\underset{\sim}{\to}} U_I C_I = C_I V_I D_I \stackrel{m}{\underset{\sim}{\to}} \text{ for some } U_I, V_I \in C_I \{l^m\}$ 

Proof of (ii) and (iii) are like that of proof of (i).

**Lemma 3.7.** For C,  $D \in (N)_n^{(m)}$ 

(i) If D is right m-regular and  $R(C^m) \subseteq R(D^m)$  then  $C^m = C^m D^- D$  for every right m-g inverse  $D^-$  of D.

(ii) If D is left m-regular and  $C(C^m) \subseteq C(D^m)$  then  $C^m = D D^-C^m$  for every left m-g inverse D  $^-$  of D.

# **Proof:**

(i)  $R(C^m) \subseteq R(D^m) \Rightarrow C^m = U D^m$  $= U D^m D^- D$  $= C^m D^- D$ 

(By Lemma (2.6)) for every  $D \in D\{1_r^m\}$ .

Thus (i) holds.

(ii) 
$$C(C^{m}) \subseteq C(D^{m}) \Rightarrow C^{m} = D^{m} V$$
 (BV Lemma (2.6))  
=  $D D^{-} D^{m} V$  for every  $D^{-} \in D \{1_{\ell}^{m}\}$ .  
=  $D D^{-} C^{m}$ 

Thus (ii) holds.

**Theorem 3.8.** For C=[C<sub>T</sub>, C<sub>F</sub>, C<sub>I</sub>], D=[D<sub>T</sub>, D<sub>F</sub>, D<sub>I</sub>]  $\in$  (N)<sub>n</sub><sup>(m)</sup>,

- if  $C_T \stackrel{m}{\leq} D_T$  then  $R(C_T \stackrel{m}{}) \subseteq R(D_T \stackrel{m}{})$ ,  $C(C_T \stackrel{m}{}) \subseteq C(D_T \stackrel{m}{})$  and  $C_T \stackrel{m}{} U D_T = C_T \stackrel{m}{} = D_T V C_T \stackrel{m}{}$ (i)  $\text{ for each } U \in D_T \ \! \{1^m_{\ r}\} \text{ and for each } V \in D_T \ \! \{1^m_{\ \ell}\}.$
- if  $C_F \stackrel{m}{\leq} D_F$  then  $R(C_F \stackrel{m}{}) \subseteq R (D_F \stackrel{m}{}), C(C_F \stackrel{m}{}) \subseteq C (D_F \stackrel{m}{})$  and  $C_F \stackrel{m}{} U D_F = C_F \stackrel{m}{} = D_F V C_F \stackrel{m}{}$ (ii) for each  $U \in D_F \{1_r^m\}$  and for each  $V \in D_F \{1_\ell^m\}$ .

(iii) if 
$$C_I \stackrel{m}{\leq} D_I$$
 then  $R(C_I^m) \subseteq R(D_I^m)$ ,  $C(C_I^m) \subseteq C(D_I^m)$  and  $C_I^m U D_I = C_I^m = D_I V C_I^m$  for each  $U \in D_I \{1_r^m\}$  and for each  $V \in D_I \{1_\ell^m\}$ .

## **Proof:**

(i) If 
$$C_T \stackrel{m}{<} D_T \Rightarrow C_T^m = C_T V D_T^m = D_T^m U C_T$$
 (By Lemma (3.6))  
 $\Rightarrow C_T^m = V D_T^m = D_T^m U$ , where  $V = C_T V$  and  $U = U C_T$   
 $\Rightarrow R(C_T^m) \subseteq R(D_T^m)$  and  $C(C_T^m) \subseteq C(D_T^m)$  (By Lemma (2.6))  
 $\Rightarrow C_T^m U D_T = C_T^m = D_T V C_T^m$  for each  $U \in D_T\{1_r^m\}$  and for each  $V \in D_T\{1_\ell^m\}$   
(By Lemma 3.6)

(By Lemma 3.6)

Proof of (ii) and (iii) are like that of proof of (i).

**Theorem 3.9.** For C,  $D \in (N)_n^{(m)}$ , the following hold.

(i) 
$$C \stackrel{m}{\leq} C$$

- $C \stackrel{m}{\leq} D$  and  $D \stackrel{m}{\leq} C$  then  $C^{m} = D^{m}$ (ii)
- $C \stackrel{m}{\leq} D$  and  $D \stackrel{m}{\leq} E$  then  $C \stackrel{m}{\leq} E$ (iii) **Proof**: (i)  $C_{\leq}^{m} C$  is trivial. (ii)  $C_{\leq}^{m} D \Rightarrow C^{m} = D^{m} U C$  for  $U \in C \{1_{r}^{m}\}$  (By Lemma 3.6)  $D \stackrel{m}{\leq} C \Rightarrow D^{m} = D V C^{m}$  for  $V \in C \{1^{m}_{\ell}\}$  (By Lemma 3.6) Now,  $C^{m} = D^{m} U C = (D V C^{m})U C = D V (C^{m} U C) = D V C^{m} = D^{m}$ Hence,  $C \stackrel{m}{\leq} D$  and  $D \stackrel{m}{\leq} C \implies C^{m} = D^{m}$ (iii)  $C \stackrel{m}{\leq} D \implies C^{m} = C^{m} D^{-} D = D D^{-} C^{m}$  (By Theorem (3.8) and Lemma (3.6))

 $D \stackrel{m}{\leq} E \implies D^{m} = E^{m} D^{-} D = D D^{-}E^{m}$  (By Theorem (3.5) and Lemma (3.6)) Let  $Z = D^- D U$  for  $D^- \in D \{1_r^m\}$  and  $U \in C \{1_r^m\}$ Then,  $C^m Z C = (C^m D^- D) U C = C^m U C = C^m$ Therefore,  $Z \in C \{1_r^m\}$ If  $Z = V D D^{-}$  for  $D^{-} \in D \{1_{\ell}^{m}\}$  and  $V \in C \{1_{\ell}^{m}\}$  then it follows that  $C Z C^{m} = C^{m}$ Therefore,  $Z \in C \{1_{\ell}^{m}\}.$ Since  $C \stackrel{m}{\leq} D$  and  $D \stackrel{m}{\leq} E$ , then we have  $C^m Z = C^m (D^- D U)$  $= (C^{m}D^{-}D)U$  $= \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{U}$ (BV Theorem (3.8)) $= \mathbf{D}^{m} \mathbf{U}$  $= (E^m D^- D) U$ (BV Lemma (3.6)) = (DD<sup>-</sup> E<sup>m</sup>) U  $= E^m (D^- D U)$ for some  $Z \in C \{1_r^m\}$ .  $= E^m Z$ 

and  $Z C^m = Z C^m$  for some  $Z \in C \{1_\ell^m\}$  can be proved in a similar manner. Hence,  $Z \in C \{1^m\}$  with  $C^m Z = E^m Z$  and  $Z C^m = Z E^m$ . Therefore  $C \stackrel{m}{\leq} E$ .

4. Properties of m -Ordering on m – Regular Neutrosophic Fuzzy Matrices. Proposition 4.1. For C,  $D \in (N)_n^{(m)}$ ,  $C \stackrel{m}{\leq} D \Leftrightarrow C \stackrel{T}{\leq} D^T$ 

# Proof.

 $C \stackrel{m}{\leq} D \Leftrightarrow C^{m} C^{-} = D^{m} C^{-}$  for some  $C^{-} \in C \{1_{r}^{m}\}$ and  $C^{-}C^{m} = C^{-}D^{m}$  for some  $C^{-} \in C\{1_{\ell}^{m}\}$  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{C} \{\mathbf{1}_r^m\} \Leftrightarrow (\mathbf{C})^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbf{C} \{\mathbf{1}_{\ell}^m\}.$  $C^m C^- = D^m C^ \Leftrightarrow (C^m C^{-})^T = (D^m C^{-})^T$  $\Leftrightarrow (C^{-})^{T} (C^{m})^{T} = (C^{-})^{T} (D^{m})^{T}$  $\Leftrightarrow (C^{T})^{-}(C^{m})^{T} = (C^{T})^{-}(D^{m})^{T}$  $C^{m}C^{-} = D^{m}C^{-} \iff (C^{T})^{-}(C^{m})^{T} = (C^{T})^{-}(D^{m})^{T}$ Thus. Similarly,  $C^{-}C^{m} = C^{-}D^{m} \iff (C^{m})^{T}(C^{T})^{-} = (D^{m})^{T}(C^{T})^{-}$ Hence  $C \stackrel{m}{\leq} D \Leftrightarrow C \stackrel{T}{\leq} D^{T}$ **Proposition 4.2.** C,  $D \in (N)_n^{(m)}$ ,  $C \stackrel{m}{\leq} D \Leftrightarrow RCR^T \stackrel{m}{\leq} RDR^T$  for few permutation matrix R. **Proof:** Since C is m- regular, it can be verified that  $RCR^{T}$  is m- regular and  $RC^{T}R^{T}$  is a m-g inverse RCR<sup>T</sup> for each m-g inverse C<sup>-</sup> of C. of Now,  $(RCR^{T})^{-}(RCR^{T})^{m} = R C^{-}R^{T}R C^{m}R^{T}$  $= \mathbf{R} \mathbf{C}^{-} (\mathbf{R}^{T} \mathbf{R}) \mathbf{C}^{m} \mathbf{R}^{T}$  $= R (C C^{m}) R^{T}$  $= R(C D^{m})R^{T}$  $= (\mathbf{R} \mathbf{C}^{-} \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{T}})(\mathbf{R} \mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{T}})$  $= (R C R^{T})^{-} (R D R^{T})^{m}$ Vol. 71 No. 3 (2022)

http://philstat.org.ph

Hence  $(R C R^{T})^{-} (R C R^{T})^{m} = (R C R^{T})^{-} (R D R^{T})^{m}$ Similarly  $(R C R^{T})^{m} (R C R^{T})^{-} = (R D R^{T})^{m} (R C R^{T})^{-}$ Hence  $(R C R^{T})^{m} (R D R^{T})$ 

Conversely, if (R C R<sup>T</sup>)  $\stackrel{m}{\leq}$  (R D R<sup>T</sup>), then by the Preceding part,

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{R} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{T}}) \mathbf{R} \stackrel{\mathrm{m}}{\leq} \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{R} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{T}}) \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{D}$$

Thus  $C \leq D$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** For C,  $D \in (N)_n^{(m)}$ , if  $C \stackrel{m}{\leq} B$  with  $B^m$  is idempotent, then  $C^m$  is idempotent. **Proof:** Since  $C \stackrel{m}{\leq} D$ , By Lemma (3.6)  $C^{2m} = C^m C^m$ 

 $C^{2m} = C^{m} C^{m}$ = (C V D<sup>m</sup>) (D<sup>m</sup>U C) = C V (D<sup>2m</sup>) U C = (C V D<sup>m</sup>)U C = C<sup>m</sup> U C = C<sup>m</sup>

#### Remark 4.4

In the above Proposition (4.3), if C  $\stackrel{\text{m}}{\leq}$  D with C idempotent then D need not be idempotent. This is demonstrated in the following.

# 4.5. Example

Consider C =  $\begin{bmatrix} [0.5, 1, 0.4] & [0.5, 1, 0] \\ [0.5, 1, 0.9] & [0.5, 1, 0] \end{bmatrix}$  and D =  $\begin{bmatrix} [0, 0, 1] & [0.5, 1, 0.5] \\ [0.5, 1, 0] & [0, 0, 1] \end{bmatrix} \in ($ For this D, D<sup>2</sup> =  $\begin{bmatrix} [0.5, 1, 0.5] & [0, 0, 1] \\ [0, 0, 1] & [0.5, 1, 0.5] \end{bmatrix}$ Here C<sup>2</sup>= C and for C = C, C  $\stackrel{2}{\leq}$  D, but D is not idempotent. Since D<sup>2</sup>  $\neq$  D. **Proposition 4.6.** For C, D  $\in (N_n^{(m)}, \text{ if } C \stackrel{m}{\leq} D \text{ then } D^m = 0 \text{ implies } C^m = 0.$ **Proof:** Since C  $\stackrel{m}{\leq}$  D  $\Rightarrow$  C<sup>m</sup> = C V D<sup>m</sup> (By Lemma (3.6)) = 0 **Proof:** Since D  $\stackrel{m}{\leq}$  C  $\Rightarrow$  D<sup>m</sup> = D V C<sup>m</sup> (By Lemma (3.6)) = 0

#### **Conclusion:**

Ordering moralities are essential for classifying and grading real world problems. This article affords a distinct type of ordering called m-ordering which has extensive application in neutrosophic fuzzy matrices. This paper is an extension of minus ordering in regular fuzzy matrices to m-ordering on m-regular neutrosophic fuzzy matrices.

# **References:**

- 1. K. Atanassov, "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems" Vol. 20, pp.87-96, 1986.
- 2. K. Atanassov, "Operations over interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets", Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol. 64, pp.159-174, 1994.

- 3. M.Kavitha, P. Murugadas and S.Sriram, "Minus Ordering on Fuzzy Neutrosophic Soft
- 4. Matrices", International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol.120 No. 6, pp. 1111-1121 2018.
- 5. K.H.Kim and F.W.Roush, "Generalized fuzzy matrices, Fuzzy sets and systems", Vol.4, pp. 293 315, 1980.
- 6. Chaudhary, D. S. (2022). Analysis of Concept of Big Data Process, Strategies, Adoption and Implementation. International Journal on Future Revolution in Computer Science & Amp; Communication Engineering, 8(1), 05–08. https://doi.org/10.17762/ijfrcsce.v8i1.2065
- 7. Mamouni Dhar, Said Broumi, and Florentin Smarandache, "A Note on Square Neutrosophic
- Goar, V. K. ., and N. S. . Yadav. "Business Decision Making by Big Data Analytics". International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication, vol. 10, no. 5, May 2022, pp. 22-35, doi:10.17762/ijritcc.v10i5.5550.
- 9. Fuzzy Matrices", Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol. 3, 2014.
- Hoda, S. A. ., and D. A. C. . Mondal. "A Study of Data Security on E-Governance Using Steganographic Optimization Algorithms". International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication, vol. 10, no. 5, May 2022, pp. 13-21, doi:10.17762/ijritcc.v10i5.5548.
- 11. AR.Meenakshi and C.Inbam, "The Minus Partial Order in Fuzzy Matrices", The
- 12. Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics vol.12, No.3, pp.695 700, 2004.
- 13. AR.Meenakshi, "Fuzzy Matrix, Theory and Applications", MJP Publishers, Chennai, 2008.
- 14. [8] M. Pal, S. K. Khan and A. K. Shyamal, "Intuitionistic fuzzy matrices", Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Vol. 8(2), pp.51-62, 2002.
- 15. P.Poongodi, S.Princy Christinal Esther and M.M.Shanmugapriya, "On Regular Nuetrosophic
- V. N. Patil and D. R. Ingle, "A Novel Approach for ABO Blood Group Prediction using Fingerprint through Optimized Convolutional Neural Network", Int J Intell Syst Appl Eng, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 60–68, Mar. 2022.
- 17. Fuzzy Matrices", Indian Journal of Natural Sciences, Vol.13(71), pp.40932-40938, 2022.
- Rakhal Das, Florentin, Smarandache, and Binod Chandra Tripathy, "Neutrosophic Fuzzy Matrices and Some Algebraic Operations", Neutrosophic sets and systems, Vol 32, pp:401-409, 2020.
- F. Smarandache, "Neutrosophic set, A generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets", Inter. J. Pure Appl. Math. Vol.24, pp. 287-297, 2005.
- 20. L.A.Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets, Information and Control", Vol.8, pp.338-353, 1965.