

Families of Weakly Compatible Mappings Satisfying $(E.A)$ and Common Limit Range Properties

Rajesh Kumar^{1,2*}, Sanjay Kumar¹

¹Department of Mathematics

Deenbandhu Chhotu Ram University of Science and Technology, Murthal, Sonapat-131039,
Haryana (India)

²Department of Mathematics, Institute of Higher Learning, BPS Mahila Vishwavidyalaya,
Khanpur Kalan-131305, Sonipat, Haryana (India)

rkdubaldhania@gmail.com, sanjaymudgal2004@yahoo.com

Article Info

Page Number: 3634 - 3646

Publication Issue:

Vol 71 No. 4 (2022)

Article History

Article Received: 25 March 2022

Revised: 30 April 2022

Accepted: 15 June 2022

Publication: 19 August 2022

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to prove common fixed point theorems for families of weakly compatible mappings satisfying $(E.A)$ property, common limit range property and a weak contraction condition involving cubic terms of distance function. Our results generalize and extend the results by Kumar *et al.* [11]. Results are supported with relevant example.

Keywords and Phrases: Common fixed point, weak contraction, weakly compatible mappings, $(E.A)$ property, common limit range property.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Banach's fixed point theorem [14] states that if P is a contraction mapping of a complete metric space (M, d) into itself then P has a unique fixed point in M . Several authors explored some new type contraction and proved various fixed point theorems in order to generalize the Banach fixed point theorem (see [2],[6],[9],[12],[13]). In 1976, for generalization of Banach's fixed point theorem, Jungck [3] used the notion of commuting maps to prove a common fixed point theorem.

In 1982, Sessa [15] generalized the notion of commutativity to weak commutativity and proved some common fixed point theorems for weakly commuting mappings. Further, in 1996, Jungck [5] extended the notion of compatible mappings to a larger class of mappings

known as weakly compatible. Infact weakly compatible mappings relax the condition of continuity of the mappings.

Definition 1.1. [5] Let P and Q be two mappings from a metric space (M, d) into itself. If P and Q commute at their coincidence point, i.e., if $Pt = Qt$ for some $t \in M$ implies $PQt = QPt$, then P and Q are called weakly compatible.

In the general setting, the notion of property $(E.A)$, which requires the closedness of the subspace, was introduced by Aamri and El- Moutawakil [7].

Definition 1.2. [7] A pair of self-mappings P and Q on a metric space (M, d) is said to satisfy property $(E.A)$ if there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \in M$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Pu_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Qu_n = t$ for some $t \in M$.

Remark 1.3. [7] One can note that weakly compatibility and property $(E.A)$ are independent concepts.

In 2011, Sintunavarat and Kumam [17] introduced common limit range property (CLR_Q) as follows:

Definition 1.4. [17] A pair of self-mappings (P, Q) on a metric space (M, d) is said to satisfy common limit range property with respect to Q , denoted by (CLR_Q) , if there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \in M$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Pu_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Qu_n = t$ for some $t \in Q(M)$.

Thus, one can note that a pair (P, Q) satisfying the property $(E.A)$ along with the closedness of the subspace $Q(M)$ always enjoys the (CLR_Q) property with respect to the mapping Q (see Examples 2.16-2.17 of [8]).

Imdad *et al.* [8] extend this notion of common limit range property for two pairs of mappings.

Definition 1.5. [8] Two pairs (P, S) and (Q, T) of a metric space (M, d) are said to satisfy the common limit range property with respect to the mappings S and T , denoted by (CLR_{ST}) , if there exists sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ in M such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Pu_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Su_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Qv_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tv_n = t, \text{ for some } t \in S(M) \cap T(M).$$

In 2017, a new type of common limit range property is introduced by Popa [16].

Definition 1.6. [16] Let P, S and T be self mappings of a metric space (M, d) . The pair (P, S) is said to satisfy a common limit range property with respect to T , denoted by, $(CLR)_{(P,S)T}$, if there exist a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in M such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Pu_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Su_n = u, \text{ for some } u \in S(M) \cap T(M).$$

In this paper, we prove common fixed point theorems for families of weakly compatible mappings enjoying $(E.A)$ and $(CLR)_{(P,S)T}$ properties.

2. Main Results

In 2021, Kumar *et al.* [11] introduced a new weak contraction condition that involves cubic terms of distance function and proved common fixed point theorems for compatible mappings and its variants.

Theorem 2.1. [11] Let f, g, S and T be four mappings of a complete metric space (M, d) into itself satisfying the following conditions:

$$(C1) \quad f(M) \subset T(M), g(M) \subset S(M),$$

$$(C2) \quad d^3(fx, gy) \leq p \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}[d^2(Sx, fx)d(Ty, gy) + d(Sx, fx)d^2(Ty, gy)],\right. \\ \left. d(Sx, fx)d(Sx, gy)d(Ty, fx), d(Sx, gy)d(Ty, fx)d(Ty, gy)\right\} \\ - \phi(m(Sx, Ty)),$$

for all $x, y \in M$, where

$$m(Sx, Ty) = \max\{d^2(Sx, Ty), d(Sx, fx)d(Ty, gy), d(Sx, gy)d(Ty, fx), \\ \frac{1}{2}[d(Sx, fx)d(Sx, gy) + d(Ty, fx)d(Ty, gy)]\},$$

where p is a real number satisfying $0 < p < 1$ and $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function with $\phi(t) = 0$ iff $t = 0$ and $\phi(t) > 0$ for each $t > 0$.

(C3) One of f, g, S and T is continuous.

Assume that the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are compatible or compatible of type (A) or compatible of type (B) or compatible of type (C) or compatible of type (P), then f, g, S and T have a unique common fixed point in M .

Now we prove our main results for families of weakly compatible mappings enjoying $(E.A)$ property.

Theorem 2.2. Let $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_{2n}, P_0$ and P_1 be self mappings on a metric space (M, d) , satisfying the following conditions:

$$(C4) \quad Q_2(Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}) = (Q_4 \dots Q_{2n})Q_2, \quad Q_2Q_4(Q_6 \dots Q_{2n}) \\ = (Q_6 \dots Q_{2n})Q_2Q_4, \dots, Q_2 \dots Q_{2n-2}(Q_{2n}) = (Q_{2n})Q_2 \dots Q_{2n-2}; \\ P_1(Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}) = (Q_4 \dots Q_{2n})P_1, \quad P_1(Q_6 \dots Q_{2n}) = (Q_6 \dots Q_{2n})P_1, \dots, P_1Q_{2n} = Q_{2n}P_1, \\ Q_1(Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1}) = (Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1})Q_1, \quad Q_1Q_3(Q_5 \dots Q_{2n-1}) = (Q_5 \dots Q_{2n-1})Q_1Q_3, \\ \dots, \quad Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-3}(Q_{2n-1}) = (Q_{2n-1})Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-3};$$

$$P_0(Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1}) = (Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1})P_0, P_0(Q_5 \dots Q_{2n-1}) = (Q_5 \dots Q_{2n-1})P_0, \dots, P_0 Q_{2n-1} \\ = Q_{2n-1} P_0,$$

(C5) $P_0(M) \subset Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}(M)$ and the pair $(P_0, Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1})$ satisfies (E.A) property and $Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}(M)$ is a closed subset of M .

or

(C6) $P_1(M) \subset Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}(M)$ and the pair $(P_1, Q_2 \dots Q_{2n})$ satisfies (E.A) property and $Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}(M)$ is a closed subset of M .

$$(C7) d^3(P_0 x, P_1 y)$$

$$\leq p \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [d^2(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} x, P_0 x) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} y, P_1 y) \right. \\ \left. + d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} x, P_0 x) d^2(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} y, P_1 y)], \right. \\ \left. d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} x, P_0 x) d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} x, P_1 y) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} y, P_0 x), \right. \\ \left. d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} x, P_1 y) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} y, P_0 x) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} y, P_1 y) \right\} \\ - \phi(m(x, y)),$$

for all $x, y \in M$, where

$$m(x, y) = \max \{ d^2(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} x, Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} y), d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} x, P_0 x) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} y, P_1 y), \\ d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} x, P_1 y) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} y, P_0 x), \\ \frac{1}{2} [d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} x, P_0 x) d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} x, P_1 y) + \\ d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} y, P_0 x) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} y, P_1 y)],$$

where p is a real number satisfying $0 < p < 1$ and $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function with $\phi(t) = 0$ iff $t = 0$ and $\phi(t) > 0$ for each $t > 0$.

Then Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_{2n} , P_0 and P_1 have a unique common fixed point in M given that the pairs $(P_0, Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1})$ and $(P_1, Q_2 \dots Q_{2n-2})$ are weakly compatible.

Proof. Let $Q'_1 = Q_1 Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1}$ and $Q'_2 = Q_2 Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}$. First we assume that the pair $(P_0, Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1})$ satisfies (E.A) property, then there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in M such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_0 u_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n = u$, for some $u \in M$.

Since $P_0(M) \subset Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}(M)$, there exists a sequence $\{v_n\}$ in M such that $Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}(v_n) = P_0 u_n$. Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v_n = u$.

Step (i): First we show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_1 v_n = u$. On putting $x = u_n$ and $y = v_n$ in (C7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d^3(P_0 u_n, P_1 v_n) &\leq p \max\left\{\frac{1}{2} [d^2(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, P_0 u_n) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v_n, P_1 v_n) \right. \\
&\quad + d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, P_0 u_n) d^2(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v_n, P_1 v_n)], \\
&\quad d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, P_0 u_n) d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, P_1 v_n) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v_n, P_0 u_n), d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, \\
&\quad \left. - \phi(m(u_n, v_n))\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
m(u_n, v_n) &= \max\{d^2(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v_n), d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, P_0 u_n) \\
&\quad \cdot d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v_n, P_1 v_n), d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, P_1 v_n) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v_n, P_0 u_n), \\
&\quad \frac{1}{2} [d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, P_0 u_n) d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, P_1 v_n) + \\
&\quad d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v_n, P_0 u_n) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v_n, P_1 v_n)]\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d^3(u, P_1 v_n) &\leq p \max\left\{\frac{1}{2} [d^2(u, u) d(u, P_1 v_n) + d(u, u) d^2(u, P_1 v_n)], \right. \\
&\quad d(u, u) d(u, P_1 v_n) d(u, u), d(u, P_1 v_n) d(u, u) d(u, P_1 v_n)\} \\
&\quad \left. - \phi(m(u_n, v_n))\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
m(u_n, v_n) &= \{d^2(u, u), d(u, u) d(u, P_1 v_n), d(u, P_1 v_n) d(u, u), \\
&\quad \frac{1}{2} [d(u, u) d(u, P_1 v_n) + d(u, u) d(u, P_1 v_n)]\}.
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d^3(u, P_1 v_n) = 0$. Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_0 u_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_1 v_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v_n = u.$$

Now suppose that $Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}(M)$ is closed subset of M , then exists $v \in M$ such that

$$Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} v = u.$$

Step (ii): Now we show that $P_0 v = u$. Using (C7) with $x = v$ and $y = v_n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d^3(P_0 v, P_1 v_n) &\leq p \max\left\{\frac{1}{2} [d^2(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} v, P_0 v) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v_n, P_1 v_n) \right. \\
&\quad + d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} v, P_0 v) d^2(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v_n, P_1 v_n)], \\
&\quad d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} v, P_0 v) d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} v, P_1 v_n) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v_n, P_0 v), \\
&\quad d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} v, P_1 v_n) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v_n, P_0 v) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v_n, P_1 v_n)\} \\
&\quad \left. - \phi(m(v, v_n))\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
m(v, v_n) = \max\{ & d^2(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}v_n), d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_0v) \\
& .d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}v_n, P_1v_n), d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_1v_n)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}v_n, P_0v), \\
& \frac{1}{2}[d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_0v)d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_1v_n) + \\
& d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}v_n, P_0v)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}v_n, P_1v_n)] \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Approaching limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $d^3(P_0v, u) \leq 0$, i.e., $P_0v = u$. Hence $P_0v = Q_1Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1}v = u$. Since $P_0(M) \subset Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}(M)$, there exists $w \in M$ such that $P_0v = Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}w = u$.

Step (iii): Next we show that $Q_2Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}w = u$. On using (C7) with $x = v$ and $y = w$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d^3(P_0v, P_1w) \leq p \max\{ & \frac{1}{2}[d^2(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_0v)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}w, P_1w) \\
& + d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_0v)d^2(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}w, P_1w)], \\
& d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_0v)d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_1w)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}w, P_0v), \\
& d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_1w)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}w, P_0v)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}w, P_1w)\} \\
& - \phi(m(v, w)),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
m(v, w) = \max\{ & d^2(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}w), d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_0v) \\
& .d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}w, P_1w), d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_1w)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}w, P_0v), \\
& \frac{1}{2}[d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_0v)d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_1w) + \\
& d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}w, P_0v)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}w, P_1w)] \}.
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $d^3(u, P_1w) \leq 0$, i.e., $P_1w = u$ and hence $P_0v = Q_1Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1}v = P_1w = Q_2Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}w = u$. Since the pairs $(P_0, Q_1Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1})$ and $(P_1, Q_2Q_4 \dots Q_{2n})$ are weakly compatible, we have

$$Q_1Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1}u = Q_1Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1}(P_0v) = P_0(Q_1Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1}v) = P_0u$$

and

$$Q_2Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u = Q_2Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}(P_1w) = P_1(Q_2Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}w) = P_1u.$$

Step (iv): In this step, we prove that $P_0v = P_1u$. Suppose that $P_0v \neq P_1u$. On putting $x = v$ and $y = u$ in (C7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d^3(P_0v, P_1u) \leq p \max\{ & \frac{1}{2}[d^2(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_0v)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_1u) \\
& + d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_0v)d^2(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_1u)],
\end{aligned}$$

$$d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_0v)d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_1u)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_0v), \\ d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_1u)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_0v)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_1u)\} \\ -\phi(m(v, u)),$$

where

$$m(v, u) = \max\{d^2(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u), d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_0v) \\ \cdot d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_1u), d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_1u)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_0v), \\ \frac{1}{2}[d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_0v)d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}v, P_1u) + \\ d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_0v)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_1u)\}.$$

On simplification, we have $d^3(P_0v, P_1u) \leq -\phi(d^2(P_0v, P_1u))$, a contradiction. Thus, we have $P_0v = P_1u = u$, i.e., $P_1u = Q_2Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u = u$.

Further on putting $x = y = u$ in (C7), we have $P_0u = u$ hence $P_0u = Q_1Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1}u = u$.

Step (v): On putting $x = u$ and $y = Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u$ in (C7) and using condition (C4) and $Q'_1 = Q_1Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1}$ and $Q'_2 = Q_2Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}$, we have

$$d^3(P_0u, P_1Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u) \\ \leq p \max\{\frac{1}{2}[d^2(Q'_1u, P_0u)d(Q'_2Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_1Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u) \\ + d(Q'_1u, P_0u)d^2(Q'_2Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_1Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u)], \\ d(Q'_1u, P_0u)d(Q'_1u, P_1Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u)d(Q'_2Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_0u), \\ d(Q'_1u, P_1Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u)d(Q'_2Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_0Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u) \\ \cdot d(Q'_2Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_1u)\} - \phi(m(u, Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u)),$$

where

$$m(u, Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u) = \max\{d^2(Q'_1u, Q'_2Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u), d(Q'_1u, P_0u) \\ \cdot d(Q'_2Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_1u), d(Q'_1u, P_1Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u)d(Q'_2Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_0u), \\ \frac{1}{2}[d(Q'_1u, P_0u)d(Q'_1u, P_1Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u) + \\ d(Q'_2Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_0u)d(Q'_2Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_1Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u)\}.$$

On simplification, we get $d^3(u, Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u) \leq -\phi(d^2(u, Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u))$, i.e., $Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u = u$.

Hence $Q_2Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}u = Q_2u = u$. Continuing like this, we have

$$P_0u = Q_2u = Q_4u = \dots = Q_{2n}u = u.$$

Therefore,

$$P_0u = P_1u = Q_1u = Q_2u = \dots = Q_{2n-1}u = Q_{2n}u = u.$$

Hence u is a common fixed point of the given mappings. Uniqueness follows easily from condition (C7). Similarly, the proof holds for the condition (C6). This completes the proof.

Now we prove the following theorem for families of mappings which is a slight generalization of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ and $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^{2p}$ be two families of self-mappings on a metric space (M, d) . Suppose that there exists a fixed $\beta \in J$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} (C8) \quad & Q_2(Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}) = (Q_4 \dots Q_{2n})Q_2, \quad Q_2Q_4(Q_6 \dots Q_{2n}) \\ & = (Q_6 \dots Q_{2n})Q_2Q_4, \\ & \dots, \quad Q_2 \dots Q_{2n-2}(Q_{2n}) = (Q_{2n})Q_2 \dots Q_{2n-2}; \\ & P_\beta(Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}) = (Q_4 \dots Q_{2n})P_\beta, \quad P_\beta(Q_6 \dots Q_{2n}) = (Q_6 \dots Q_{2n})P_\beta, \dots, P_\beta Q_{2n} = Q_{2n}P_\beta, \\ & Q_1(Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1}) = (Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1})Q_1, \quad Q_1Q_3(Q_5 \dots Q_{2n-1}) = (Q_5 \dots Q_{2n-1})Q_1Q_3, \\ & \dots, \quad Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-3}(Q_{2n-1}) = (Q_{2n-1})Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-3}; \\ & P_\alpha(Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1}) = (Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1})P_\alpha, \quad P_\alpha(Q_5 \dots Q_{2n-1}) = (Q_5 \dots Q_{2n-1})P_\alpha, \dots, P_\alpha Q_{2n-1} \\ & = Q_{2n-1}P_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

(C9) $P_\alpha(M) \subset Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}(M)$ and the pair $(P_\alpha, Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1})$ satisfies (E.A) property and $Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}(M)$ is a closed subset of M .

or

(C10) $P_\beta(M) \subset Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}(M)$ and the pair $(P_\beta, Q_2 \dots Q_{2n})$ satisfies (E.A) property and $Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}(M)$ is a closed subset of M .

$$\begin{aligned} (C11) \quad & d^3(P_\alpha x, P_\beta y) \\ & \leq p \max\left\{\frac{1}{2}[d^2(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}x, P_\alpha x)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}y, P_\beta y) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}x, P_\alpha x)d^2(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}y, P_\beta y)], \right. \\ & \quad d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}x, P_\alpha x)d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}x, P_\beta y)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}y, P_\alpha x), \\ & \quad d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}x, P_\beta y)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}y, P_\alpha x)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}y, P_\beta y)\} \\ & \quad \left. - \phi(m(x, y)), \right. \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in M$, where

$$\begin{aligned} m(x, y) = \max\{ & d^2(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}x, Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}y), d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}x, P_\alpha x)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}y, P_\beta y), \\ & d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}x, P_\beta y)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}y, P_\alpha x), \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} [d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}x, P_\alpha x)d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}x, P_\beta y) + \\ dQ_2 \dots Q_{2n}y, P_\alpha x dQ_2 \dots Q_{2n}y, P_\beta y],$$

where p is a real number satisfying $0 < p < 1$ and $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function with $\phi(t) = 0$ iff $t = 0$ and $\phi(t) > 0$ for each $t > 0$.

Then all Q_i and P_α have a unique common fixed point in M given that the pairs $(P_\alpha, Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1})$ and $(P_\beta, Q_2 \dots Q_{2n-2})$ are weakly compatible.

Proof. Let $P_{\alpha 0}$ be a fixed element in $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$. By Theorem 2.2 with $P_\alpha = P_{\alpha 0}$ and $P_1 = P_\beta$ it follows that there exists some $u \in M$ such that

$$P_\beta u = P_{\alpha 0} u = Q_2 Q_4 \dots Q_{2n} u = Q_1 Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1} u = u.$$

Let $\alpha \in J$ be arbitrary. Then from condition (C11), we have

$$d^3(P_\alpha u, P_\beta u) \leq p \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [d^2(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}u, P_\alpha u)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_\beta u) \right. \\ \left. + d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}u, P_\alpha u)d^2(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_\beta u)], \right. \\ \left. d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}u, P_\alpha u)d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}u, P_\beta u)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_\alpha u), \right. \\ \left. d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}u, P_\beta u)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_\alpha u)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_\beta u) \right\} \\ - \phi(m(u, u)),$$

where

$$m(u, u) = \max \{ d^2(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}u, Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u), d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}u, P_\alpha u)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_\beta u), \\ d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}u, P_\beta u)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_\alpha u), \\ \frac{1}{2} [d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}u, P_\alpha u)d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1}u, P_\beta u) \\ + d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_\alpha u)d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n}u, P_\beta u)] \}$$

and hence

$$d^3(P_\alpha u, u) \leq p \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2} [d^2(u, P_\alpha u)d(u, u) + d(u, P_\alpha u)d^2(u, u)], \right. \\ \left. d(u, P_\alpha u)d(u, u)d(u, P_\alpha u), \right. \\ \left. d(u, u)d(u, P_\alpha u)d(u, u) \right\} - \phi(d^2(u, u)),$$

which implies that $d^3(P_\alpha u, u) \leq 0$, i.e., $P_\alpha u = u$ for each $\alpha \in J$. Uniqueness follows easily.

Next, we prove a theorem for any even number of weakly compatible mappings satisfying common limit range property.

Theorem 2.4. Let $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_{2n}, P_0$ and P_1 be self mappings on a metric space (M, d) , satisfying the conditions (C4), (C7) and

(C12) the pair (P_0, Q'_1) and Q'_2 satisfy $(CLR)_{(P_0, Q'_1)Q'_2}$ property.

Then $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_{2n}, P_0$ and P_1 have a unique common fixed point in M given that the pairs (P_0, Q'_1) and (P_1, Q'_2) are weakly compatible, where $Q'_1 = Q_1 Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1}$ and $Q'_2 = Q_2 Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}$.

Proof. Since the pair (P_0, Q'_1) and Q'_2 satisfy $(CLR)_{(P_0, Q'_1)Q'_2}$ property, there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in M such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_0 u_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q'_1 u_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_1 Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n = u,$$

for some $u \in Q'_1 \cap Q'_2 = Q_2 Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}(M) \cap Q_1 Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1}(M)$.

Since $u \in Q_2 Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}(M)$, this gives $u = Q_2 Q_4 \dots Q_{2n} v$, for some v in M .

We show that $u = P_1 v$. On putting $x = u_n$ and $y = v$ in (C7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^3(P_0 u_n, P_1 v) \leq p \max \{ & \frac{1}{2} [d^2(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, P_0 u_n) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v, P_1 v) \\ & + d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, P_0 u_n) d^2(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v, P_1 v)], \\ & d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, P_0 u_n) d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, P_1 v) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v, P_0 u_n), \\ & d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, P_1 v) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v, P_0 u_n) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v, P_1 v) \} \\ & - \phi(m(u_n, v)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m(u_n, v) = \max \{ & d^2(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v), d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, P_0 u_n) \\ & \cdot d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v, P_1 v), d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, P_1 v) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v, P_0 u_n), \\ & \frac{1}{2} [d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, P_0 u_n) d(Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1} u_n, P_1 v) + \\ & d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v, P_0 u_n) d(Q_2 \dots Q_{2n} v, P_1 v)] \}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limits as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and on simplification, we have $d^3(u, P_1 v) \leq 0$, i.e., $P_1 v = u$ and hence $u = P_1 v = Q_2 Q_4 \dots Q_{2n} v$.

Also $u \in Q_1 Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1}(M)$ which gives $u = Q_1 Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1} w$, for some $w \in M$.

If we put $x = w$ and $y = v$ in condition (C7), on simplification we get, $P_0 w = u$.

Hence $P_0 w = Q_1 Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1} w = P_1 v = Q_2 Q_4 \dots Q_{2n} v = u$.

Due to weakly compatibility of the given mappings, we obtain

$$P_0 u = P_0 Q_1 Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1} w = Q_1 Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1} P_0 w = Q_1 Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1} u$$

and

$$P_1 u = P_1 Q_2 Q_4 \dots Q_{2n} v = Q_2 Q_4 \dots Q_{2n} P_1 v = Q_2 Q_4 \dots Q_{2n} u.$$

Further using steps (iv) and (v) of Theorem 2.2, we get u is a unique common fixed of the given mappings.

The following theorem is a slight generalization of Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 2.5. Let $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ and $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^{2p}$ be two families of self-mappings on a metric space (M, d) . Suppose that there exists a fixed $\beta \in J$ such that conditions (C8) and (C11) are satisfied. Further,

(C13) the pair $(P_\alpha, Q_1 Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1})$ and $Q_2 Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}$ satisfy $(CLR)_{(P_\alpha, Q_1 Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1}) Q_2 Q_4 \dots Q_{2n}}$ property.

Then all Q_i and P_α have a unique common fixed point in M given that the pairs $(P_\alpha, Q_1 \dots Q_{2n-1})$ and $(P_\beta, Q_2 \dots Q_{2n-2})$ are weakly compatible.

Proof. Proof of the Theorem 2.5 follows from the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 2.6. Let f, g, S and T are self-mappings on a metric space (M, d) satisfying (C2) and the following conditions

(C14) $f(M) \subset T(M)$ and the pair (f, S) satisfies $(E.A)$ property and $S(M)$ is a closed subset of M .

or

(C15) $g(M) \subset S(M)$ and the pair (g, T) satisfies $(E.A)$ property and $T(M)$ is a closed subset of M .

Then f, g, S and T have a unique common fixed point in M provided that the pairs (f, S) and (g, T) are weakly compatible.

Proof. If we take $P_0 = f, P_1 = g, Q_1 = S, Q_2 = T$ and $Q_3 = Q_4 = \dots = Q_{2n} = I$ (Identity Map) in Theorem 2.2, we get required result.

Remark 2.7. Corollary 2.6 is a generalization of Theorem 2.1 in the sense that conditions of completeness of space and continuity of the mappings are relaxed. Theorems 2.2-2.5 generalize and extend Theorem 2.1 for families of weakly compatible mappings.

Now we give an example in support of our theorems.

Example 2.8. Let $M = [0, 1]$ and d be usual metric on M . Define

$$P_\alpha(x) = \frac{x^3}{1+x^3} \text{ for each } \alpha \in J \text{ and all } x \in M,$$

$Q_i(x) = x^{\sqrt[n]{3}}$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$ and all $x \in M$. —

Then $Q_2 Q_4 \dots Q_{2n} x = x^3$, $Q_1 Q_3 \dots Q_{2n-1} x = x^3$. —

Let $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function defined by $\phi(t) = \frac{t}{30}$, for $t \geq 0$. Then all the conditions of Theorems 2.2-2.5 are satisfied for $p = \frac{9}{10}$ and 0 is the unique common fixed point of the mappings.

References

- [1] B.E. Rhoades, *Some theorems on weakly contractive maps*, Nonlinear Anal., 47 (2001), 2683-2693.
- [2] B., L j, Ciric, *Generalized contractions and fixed point theorems*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.), 12(26), (1971), 19-26.
- [3] G. Jungck, *Commuting mappings and fixed points*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 83 (1976), 261-263.
- [4] G. Jungck, *Compatible mappings and common fixed points*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 9 (1986), 771-779.
- [5] G. Jungck, B.E. Rhoades, *Fixed points for set valued functions without continuity*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 29 (1998), 227-238.
- [6] J. Ali, M. Imdad, *An implicit function implies several contraction conditions*, Sarajevo J. Math., 4(17), (2008), 269-285.
- [7] M. Aamri and D. El Moutawakil, *Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 270 (2002), 181-188.
- [8] M. Imdad, BD Pant, S. Chauhan, *Fixed point theorems in Menger spaces using the (CLR_{ST}) property and applications*, J. Nonlinear Anal. Optim. 3(2), 225-237 (2012).
- [9] P.P. Murthy, *Important tools and possible applications of metric fixed point theory*. Proceedings of the Third World Congress of Nonlinear Analysts, Part 5 (Catania, 2000). Nonlinear Anal. 47(5), (2001), 3479-3490.
- [10] R.P. Agarwal, R.K. Bisht and N. Shahzad, *A comparison of various non-commuting conditions in metric fixed point theory and their applications*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 1 (2014), 1-33.
- [11] R. Kumar and S. Kumar, *Fixed points for weak contraction involving cubic terms of distance function*, J. Math. Comput. Sci., 11 (2021), 1922-1954.

- [12] R.P. Pant, *Noncompatible mappings and common fixed points*, Soochow J. Math., 26(1), 29-35 (2000).
- [13] R.P. Pant, *Discontinuity and fixed points*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 240(1), (1999), 280-283.
- [14] S. Banach, *Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraites et leurs applications*, Fundam. Math., 3(1922), 133-181.
- [15] S. Sessa, *On a weak commutativity conditions of mappings in fixed point consideration*, Publ. Inst. Math., 32(46) (1982), 146-153.
- [16] V. Popa, *Fixed point theorem for two pairs of mappings satisfying a new type of common limit range property*, Filomat, 31:11(2017), 3181-3192.
- [17] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, *Common fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces*, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Journal of applied Mathematics, Volume (2011), Article ID 637958 (2011).