A Study on Parabolic Partial Differential Equation in Finite Difference Method and Finite Element Method Using Mixed Initial Boundary Value Problem

P. Sowmiya[1] , M. S. Franklin Thamil Selvi[2], G. K. Revathi[3], M. Sakthipriya[4]

[1]Shri Sakthikailassh Womens college, Salem-636 003.Tamilnadu, India.

[2]Department of Mathematics, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

[3]Division of Mathematics, School of advanced sciences, Vellore Institute of Technology, Chennai 127, Tamilnadu, India. [4]Vaigai arts and science womens college,Valappady, Salem-636111, Tamilnadu, India.

[1]psowmiyababu@gmail.com, [2]thamizanand@gmail.com email,[3]gk_revathi@yahoo.co.in, [4]sakthipriyavel59@gmail.com.

Article Info

Page Number: 3647 - 3660

Publication Issue:

Vol 71 No. 4 (2022)

Article History

***Article Received**: 25 March 2022*

***Revised**: 30 April 2022*

***Accepted**: 15 June 2022*

***Publication**: 19 August 2022*

Abstract

In this article we inspect the parabolic boundary fee hassle in partial linear differential equation to discover out the Stability of the operator the use of mesh purposeful points. Also this paper examines the pure preliminary cost hassle with one dimension and additionally combined preliminary fee trouble with boundary conditions.

Keywords: Parabolic, finite, boundary, stability, mesh points.

1 INTRODUCTION:

Partial differential equation is a mathematical equation which make an analogy that arithmetic is to algebra and calculus is to differential equation. Calculus and its different equipment are used in order to clear up equation the place the unknown volume is a differentiable function. A differential equations is an equation which entails derivatives and the order of the equation is the best possible spinoff which happens in the equation. By altering impartial variables, equations in parabolic shape at each factor can be modified into a structure of analogues to the warmth equation and the answer varies due to the make bigger in time variable if there are n unbiased values $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n$ a familiar linear partial differential equation of $2nd$ order has the form

$$Lu = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + (lower\ order\ term) = 0$$

The classification relies upon upon the signature of the eigen values of the coefficient matrix $a_{i,j}$. The place as in parabolic partial differential equations Eigen values are all high-quality or all bad values. Finite distinction approach and finite component strategies in parabolic partial differential equation used to be already mentioned in the [1] to [7] the place as the numerical techniques for partial differential equations in [8] to [10].

Sometimes differential equations are very challenging to resolve analytically and usually wanted for compute simulations. In these instances finite distinction strategies are used to remedy the equations paper is to gain the classical numerical approach for parabolic partial differential equations which is a distinction approach the place the discrete trouble are received via changing derivatives with distinction quotients involving the mesh values of the unknown at finitely many factors the use of blended preliminary boundary price trouble to locate the steadiness of operators.

2 BASIC DEFINITION:

DEFINITION 2.1: Consider the *pure initial value problem*, there exists a solution $y = y(x, t)$ such that

$$\begin{matrix} \partial_t y = \partial_{xx}^2 y \quad in\, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \\ y(\cdot\,, 0) = v \quad in\, \mathbb{R} \end{matrix} \quad (1)$$

where $v$is a easy smooth bounded function. For instance, it has a special answer from the illustration,

$$y(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{u^2}{4t}} v(x-u)du = (E(t)v)(x)(2)$$

Here, $E(t)$ denotes the solution operator of (1).

DEFINITION 2.2: A finite distinction with a grid of mesh factors $(x,t) = (x_i, t_n)$for the numerical answer of (1). Here, $x_i = ih, t_n = nk$ the place i and n are integers $n \geq 0, h$ is the mesh width in x and k is the time steps with each h and k are small.

An equation which acquired by way of changing the derivatives in (1) through distinction quotients with an approximation answer Y_i^n at these mesh points.

For features on the grid, it described by means of the ahead and backward distinction quotients with admire to x.

$$\partial_x Y_i^n = h^{-1}(Y_{i+1}^n - Y_i^n)$$

and

$$\bar{\partial}_x Y_i^n = h^{-1}(Y_i^n - Y_{i-1}^n)$$

Similarly, these quotients with respect to t

$$\partial_t Y_i^n = k^{-1}(Y_i^{n+1} - Y_i^n)$$

DEFINITION 2.3: The ahead Euler technique is the easiest finite distinction scheme which is corresponding to (1).

$$\partial_t Y_i^n = \partial_x \bar{\partial}_x Y_i^n \quad for\ i, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0$$

$$Y_i^0 = v_i = v(x_i) \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}$ is the integers.The distinction equation can written as

$$\frac{Y_i^{n+1} - Y_i^n}{k} = \frac{Y_{i+1}^n - 2Y_i^n + Y_{i-1}^n}{h^2}$$

The mesh ratio outline as $\lambda = \frac{k}{h^2}$

$$Y_i^{n+1} = (E_k Y^n)_i = \lambda Y_{i-1}^n + (1-2\lambda)Y_i^n + \lambda Y_{i+1}^n(3)$$

which the neighborhood discrete answer operator E_k.

Let h and $k$is associated with the aid of $\lambda = \frac{k}{h^2} = constant$and it miss the dependence on h in the notation. The scheme (3)is known as explicit. Since in phrases of the values at $t = t_n$,it can categorical the answer at at $t = t_{n+1}$explicitly.

DEFINITION 2.4: A discrete maximum norm is for mesh features $v = v_i$, it defines by means of

$$\|v\|_{\infty,h} = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |v_i| (4)$$

Thus,

$$\|Y^{n+1}\|_{\infty,h} = \|E_k Y^n\|_{\infty,h} \leq \|Y^n\|_{\infty,h}$$

By repeated application, we get

$$\|Y^n\|_{\infty,h} = \|E_k^n v\|_{\infty,h} \leq \|v\|_{\infty,h} (5)$$

it is a discrete analogue of the estimate

$$\|y(\cdot,t)\|_C = \|E(t)v\|_C$$

$$\|y(\cdot,t)\|_C \leq \|v\|_C$$

$$\|y(\cdot,t)\|_C = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |v(x)| \quad \forall\, t \geq 0$$

for the non-stop problem. The steadiness of this operator is additionally recognized as the boundedness of the discrete answer operator.

DEFINITION 2.5: The finite distinction operators of the form

$$Y_i^{n+1} = (E_k Y^n)_i = \sum_p a_p Y_{i-p}^n \qquad \forall\, i, n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0 \qquad (6)$$

where $a_p = a_p(\lambda), \lambda = \frac{k}{h^2}$ and the sum is finite. The trigonometric polynomial is associate with this operator as

$$\tilde{E}(\xi) = \sum_p a_p e^{-jp\xi} \ (7)$$

The image or attribute polynomial of$E_k$which is applicable to the balance evaluation of this polynomial.

DEFINITION 2.6: The finite distinction strategies in the fourier evaluation is the image of a discrete answer operator. Let l_2- norm for measuring the mesh functions. In the house variable, $V = \{V_i\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ is a mesh feature and set

$$\|V\|_{2,h} = \left(h\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} V_i^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The set of mesh features normed with finite norm is described by means of $l_{2,h}$. A mesh feature its discrete fourier radically change as

$$\hat{V}(\xi) = h\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} V_i e^{-ji\xi}$$

where the sum is certainly convergent. The characteristic $\hat{V}(\xi)$ is 2π- periodic and V can be retrieved from $\hat{V}(\xi)$ by

$$V_i = \frac{1}{2\pi h}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \hat{V}(\xi)e^{ji\xi}\, d\xi$$

Using parseval′s relation

$$\|V\|_{2,h}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi h}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left|\hat{V}(\xi)\right|^2 d\xi = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\frac{\pi}{h}}^{\frac{\pi}{h}} \left|\hat{V}(h\xi)\right|^2 d\xi \ (8)$$

Let consistent component Con the right, this steadiness with recognize to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{2,h}$or balance in $l_{2,h}$,

$$\|E_k^n V\|_{2,h} \leq C\|V\|_{2,h} \quad for\ n \geq 0, h \in (0,1)(9)$$

DEFINITION 2.7: The preliminary price trouble in d house dimension, for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_d)$ a multi-index and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d$ the combined by-product of order$|\alpha|$.

$$D^\alpha = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{\alpha_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_d}\right)^{\alpha_d}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t y = P(D)y = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq M} P_\alpha D^\alpha y \quad for\ x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \geq 0 \\ y(x,0) = V(x) \quad in\ \mathbb{R}^d \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where v is sufficiently clean and small for massive$|x|$.In general, $P(D)$ is a $2nd$ order elliptic operator

$$P(D)y = \sum_{i,k=1}^{d} p_{ik}\,\partial^2_{x_i,x_k} y + \sum_{i=1}^{d} p_i \partial_{x_i} y + p_0 y \qquad (11)$$

where p_{ik} is a symmetric fantastic precise consistent$d \times d$ matrix with actual elements. The *attribute polynomial* of $P(D)$ as

$$P(\xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|\le M} P_\alpha \xi^\alpha$$

and (10) is parabolic (of order M) if

$$Re\, P(j\xi) \le -C|\xi|^m + C \quad \forall\, C > 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d (12)$$

where $|\xi| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \xi_i^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

DEFINITION 2.8: For the numerical answer of (10), mesh sizes h and k in area and time. The mesh ratio $\lambda = kh^{-M}$ is stored constant.Consider an *express single step* schemes

$$Y^{n+1}(x) = \sum_{\beta} a_\beta Y^n(x - \beta h) = A_k Y^n(x)$$

Here,$\beta = \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_d$ has integer aspects and the sum be finite, $a_\beta = a_\beta(k,h) = a_\beta(\lambda h^M, h)$ are $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ matrices.

DEFINITION 2.9:A shape of the technique as

$$Y_i^{n+1} = \sum_p a_p Y_{i-p}^n \qquad for\ i = 1, \cdots, M-1$$

Here,$a_p \neq 0$ for some $|p| > 1$, it is impossible. Uses mesh factors outdoor this interval for some indoors mesh factor of Ωof the equation.For the ahead euler method, the steadiness requirement $k \le \frac{h^2}{2}$is pretty restrictive, so its has the equal order of magnitude as h and k.

Implicit backward euler scheme is described in the form

$$\bar{\partial}_t Y_i^{n+1} = \partial_x \bar{\partial}_x Y_i^{n+1} \qquad for\ i = 1, \cdots, M-1, n \ge 0$$
$$Y_0^{n+1} = Y_M^{n+1} = 0 \qquad for\ n > 0\,(13)$$
$$Y_i^0 = V_i = v(x_i) \qquad for\ i = 1, \cdots, M$$

For Y^n in the form

$$(1+2\lambda)Y_i^{n+1} - \lambda(Y_{i-1}^{n+1} + Y_{i+1}^{n+1}) = U_i^n \quad ; j = 1, \cdots, M-1$$

$$Y_0^{n+1} = Y_M^{n+1} = 0$$

The dedication of $U^{n+1}$is a linear machine of equations.

DEFINITION 2.10: The operator B_{kh} on l_h^0 with the *finite dimensional area* l_h^0 of $(M+1)$ vectors $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^M$ with $V_0 = V_M = 0$ has the form

$$(B_{kh}V)_i = (1+2\lambda)V_i - \lambda(V_{i-1} + V_{i+1})$$

$$(B_{kh}V)_i = V_i - k\partial_x\bar{\partial}_x V_i \quad , \quad i = 1, \cdots, M-1 \qquad (14)$$

Thus,

$$B_{kh}Y^{n+1} = Y^n \quad \Longrightarrow Y^{n+1} = B_{kh}^{-1}Y^n$$

Here,$E_k$is the neighborhoodanswer operator $Y^{n+1} = E_k Y^n$.

DEFINITION 2.11: The *steadiness estimate* as the form

$$\|Y^n\|_{\infty,h} = \|E_k^n V\|_{\infty,h} \le \|V\|_{\infty,h} (15)$$

In most norm, the answer operator E_k^n is secure and it convergence of Y^n to $y(t_n)$.For the *truncation error*,

$$\tau_i^n = \bar{\partial}_t y_i^{n+1} - \partial_x\bar{\partial}_x y_i^{n+1} = O(k+h^2) \quad as\ k, h \to 0 \qquad (16)$$

$for\ i = 1, \cdots, M-1$. The latter expression does now not minimize to $O(h^2)$.

DEFINITION 2.12: Spectrum of a household of operators $\{E_k\}$the placeE_k is described on a ordinary house$\mathcal{N}_k$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_k$, the place$k$ is a small tremendous parameter.The *spectrum*$\sigma(\{E_k\})$ consists of the complicated quantity$\mathbb{Z}$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently small k, there exists $Y_k \in \mathcal{N}_k, Y_k \ne 0$ such that

$$\|E_k Y_k - ZY_k\|_k \le \varepsilon\|Y_k\|_k (17)$$

3.MAIN RESULT

In the essential result, we mentioned the parabolic boundary fee trouble the use of starting and mixture solutions.

THEOREM 3.1: Let Y^n and y be the answer(3) and (1) and take $\frac{k}{h^2} = \lambda \le \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exist a steady$C$ such that

$$\|Y^n - y^n\|_{\infty,h} \leq Ct_n h^2 |v|_{C^4} \qquad for\ t_n \geq 0$$

PROOF: Take

$$Z^n = Y^n - y^n.$$

Then,

$$\partial_t Z_i^n - \partial_x \bar{\partial}_x Z_i^n = -\tau_i^n$$

Hence,

$$Z_i^{n+1} = (E_k Z^n)_i = k\tau_i^n (18)$$

By repeated utility this yields

$$Z_i^n = (E_k Z^0)_i = k\left(\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} E_k^{n-1-l}\tau^l\right)_i$$

Since,

$$Z_i^0 = Y_i^0 - y_i^0 = v_i - v_i = 0$$

Using stability estimate (5) and truncation error estimate

$$\|\tau^n\|_{\infty,h} \leq Ch^2|v|_{C^4} \quad \forall\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2} (19)$$

Then,

$$\|Z^n\|_{\infty,h} \leq k\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\left\|E_k^{n-1-l}\tau^l\right\|_{\infty,h}$$

$$\|Z^n\|_{\infty,h} \leq k\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\|\tau^l\|_{\infty,h}\ [by\ (5)]$$

$$\|Y^n - y^n\|_{\infty,h} \leq nkCh^2|v|_{C^4}[by\ 19]$$

Take $nk = t_n, t_n \geq 0$, we have

$$\therefore\ \|Y^n - y^n\|_{\infty,h} \leq Ct_n h^2|v|_{C^4} \quad \forall t_n \geq 0$$

Hence proved.

THEOREM 3.2: In (4),the steadiness of the operator E_k in(6) with recognize to the discrete maximum-norm then

$$\left|\tilde{E}(\xi)\right| \leq 1 \quad for\ \xi \in \mathbb{R} \qquad (20)$$

PROOF: LetE_k is stable, we get

$$\left|\tilde{E}(\xi_0)\right| > 1 \quad for\ some\ \xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$$

Then,

$$v_i = e^{ji\,\xi_0}\epsilon, \quad \|v\|_{\infty,h} = \epsilon \qquad (21)$$

Now,

$$Y_i^{'} = \epsilon \sum_p a_p e^{j\,(i-p)\xi_0}$$

$$Y_i^{'} = \epsilon \sum_p a_p e^{ji\,\xi_0} e^{-jp\,\xi_0}$$

$$Y_i^{'} = \sum_p a_p e^{-jp\,\xi_0}\left(e^{ji\,\xi_0}\epsilon\right)$$

$$Y_i^{'} = \tilde{E}(\xi_0)v_i[by\ (7)\ and\ (8)]$$

By repeated utility this yields

$$\|Y^n\|_{\infty,h} = \left|\tilde{E}(\xi_0)\right|^n \epsilon$$

$$\|Y^n\|_{\infty,h} \to \infty \quad as\ n \to \infty$$

This contradicts to the stability.

$$\therefore\ \left|\tilde{E}(\xi)\right| \le 1 \quad for\ \xi \in \mathbb{R}$$

Hence claimed.

THEOREM 3.3:A critical and ample circumstance for balance of the operator E_k^n in $l_{2,h}$ is a Von Neumann′s condition (20).

PROOF: We have,

$$(E_kV)^n(\xi) = h\sum_i \sum_p a_p V_{i-p} e^{-ji\xi}$$

$$(E_kV)^n(\xi) = \sum_p a_p e^{-jp\xi}\ h\sum_i V_{i-p}\ e^{-ji\xi}\ e^{jp\xi}$$

$$(E_kV)^n(\xi) = \sum_p a_p e^{-jp\xi}\ h\sum_i V_{i-p}\ e^{-j\,(i-p)\xi}$$

$$(E_kV)^n(\xi) = \tilde{E}(\xi)\hat{V}(\xi)[by\ (3.8)\ and\ (3.9)]$$

Hence,

$$(E_k^n V)^\wedge(\xi) = \tilde{E}(\xi)^n \hat{V}(\xi)$$

Using Parseval′s relation (8), the steadiness of E_k in $l_{2,h}$ is equal to

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left|\tilde{E}(\xi)\right|^{2n} \left|\hat{V}(\xi)\right|^2 d\xi \leq C^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left|\hat{V}(\xi)\right|^2 d\xi \quad for\ n \geq 0$$

For all admissible $\hat{V}$

$$\left|\tilde{E}(\xi)\right|^n \leq C \quad for\ n \geq 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$$

Take $C = 1$ as constant

$$\therefore \left|\tilde{E}(\xi)\right|^n \leq 1$$

The proof is completed.

THEOREM 3.4: Let Y^n and y^n be the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t y = \partial_{xx}^2 y && in\ \Omega = (0,1), t > 0 \\ &y(0,t) = y(1,t) = 0 && for\ t > 0 \quad (22) \\ &y(\cdot, 0) = v && in\ \Omega \end{aligned}$$

and (13). Then

$$\|Y^n - y^n\|_{\infty,h} \leq C t_n (h^2 + k) \max_{t \in I_n} |y(\cdot, t)|_{\mathcal{C}^4} \qquad \forall t_n \geq 0$$

PROOF: Take,

$$Z^n = Y^n - y^n$$

$$Z^{n+1} = Y^{n+1} - y^{n+1}$$

$$B_{kh} Z^{n+1} = B_{kh} Y^{n+1} - B_{kh} y^{n+1}$$

$$B_{kh} Z^{n+1} = Y^n - \left(y^{n+1} - k \partial_x \bar{\partial}_x y^{n+1}\right)$$

$$B_{kh} Z^{n+1} = Y^n - y^n - k\left[\bar{\partial}_t y^{n+1} - \partial_x \bar{\partial}_x y^{n+1}\right]$$

$$B_{kh} Z^{n+1} = Z^n - k\tau^n$$

$$Z^{n+1} = B_{kh}^{-1} Z^n - B_{kh}^{-1} k \tau^n$$

Let τ^n to be an element of l_h^0. Thus,

$$Z^{n+1} = E_k Z^n - k E_k \tau^n$$

Hence

$$Z^n = -\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} E_k^{n-l}\tau^l$$

Using the estimate truncation error,

$$\|\tau^n\|_{\infty,h} \le C(h^2+k)\max_{t\in I_{n-1}}|y(\cdot,t)|_{C^4}$$

By (15), we get

$$\|Z^n\|_{\infty,h} \le k\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\left\|E_k^{n-l}\tau^l\right\|_{\infty,h}$$

$$\|Z^n\|_{\infty,h} \le k\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\|\tau^l\|_{\infty,h}$$

$$\|Z^n\|_{\infty,h} \le nk\|\tau^l\|_{\infty,h}$$

$$\|Y^n - y^n\|_{\infty,h} \le nkC(h^2+k)\max_{t\in I_{n-1}}|y(\cdot,t)|_{C^4}$$

Take, $nk = t_n$

$$\therefore \quad \|Y^n - y^n\|_{\infty,h} \le t_n C(h^2+k)\max_{t\in I_{n-1}}|y(\cdot,t)|_{C^4}$$

Hence proved.

THEOREM 3.5: Let Y^n and y^n be the solution of (13) and (22). Then

$$\|Y^n - y^n\|_{2,h} \le Ct_n(h^2+k^2)\max_{t\le t_n}|y(\cdot,t)|_{C^6} \qquad \forall t_n \ge 0$$

PROOF: Take,

$$Z^n = Y^n - y^n$$

$$Z^{n+1} = Y^{n+1} - y^{n+1}$$

$$Z^{n+1} = E_k Y^n - B_{kh}^{-1}B_{kh}y^{n+1}[by\ Y^{n+1} = E_k Y^n]$$

We know that,

$$B_{kh}y^{n+1} = k\tau^n$$

Now,

$$Z^{n+1} = E_k Y^n - B_{kh}^{-1}k\tau^n$$

$$Z^n = -\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} E_k^{n-1-l} B_{kh}^{-1} \tau^l$$

The Crank-Nicolson operator E_k^n and boundedness of B_{kh}^{-1} using steadiness condition

$$\|Z^n\|_{2,h} \leq k \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \left\| E_k^{n-1-l} B_{kh}^{-1} \tau^l \right\|_{2,h}$$

$$\|Z^n\|_{2,h} \leq k \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \|\tau^l\|_{2,h}$$

$$\|Z^n\|_{2,h} \leq nk \|\tau^l\|_{2,h}$$

By truncation error, we get

$$\|Z^n\|_{2,h} \leq Cnk(h^2 + k^2) \max_{t \leq t_n} |y(\cdot, t)|_{C^6}$$

Take, $nk = t_n$

$$\therefore \quad \|Y^n - y^n\|_{2,h} \leq Ct_n(h^2 + k^2) \max_{t \leq t_n} |y(\cdot, t)|_{C^6} \quad \forall t_n \geq 0$$

Hence claimed.

THEOREM 3.6: A vital circumstance for the household$\{E_k\}$ to be secure in the feel that

$$E_k^n \|V\|_k \leq C\|V\|_k \qquad \forall nk \geq T$$

is that $\sigma(\{E_k\})$ is contained in the closed unit disk.

PROOF: Assume $z \in \sigma(\{E_k\})$, $|z| > 1, K$ such that $\|\bar{\tau}_k\|_k \leq K$ for small k and w be arbitrary, n is so large.

$$|z|^n \geq 2w$$

Take ε so small,

$$\varepsilon \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} K^i < \frac{1}{2}$$

Let Y_k be a unit vector in $\mathcal{N}_k$ satisfying (17)

$$\phi_k = E_k Y_k - zY_k$$

$$\|\phi_k\|_k < \varepsilon$$

Then,

$$E_k Y_k = zY_k + \phi_k$$

$$E_k^n Y_k = z^n Y_k + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} z^{n-1-i} E_k^i \phi_k$$

and

$$\|E_k^n\|_k \geq \|E_k^n Y_k\|_k$$

$$\|E_k^n\|_k \geq |z|^n - \varepsilon \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |z|^{n-1-i} K^i$$

$$\|E_k^n\|_k \geq |z|^n \left(1 - \varepsilon \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} K^i\right)$$

$$\|E_k^n\|_k \geq |z|^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

$$\|E_k^n\|_k \geq |z|^n \frac{1}{2} > w$$

Since w is arbitrary $\Longrightarrow$ $\{E_k\}$ cannot be stable.This is contradiction.The proof is completed.

4. FINDING

The finite thing technique has performed brilliant success in many fields of science and technology. But evaluation of finite distinction method. For parabolic partial differential equation has a two simple issues such as pure and combined preliminary boundary fee problem. Thus by way of the usage of mesh values we discover out the balance of operators and additionally solved the answer for pure preliminary fee hassle with the aid of the use of one dimensional values and for blended preliminary price hassle by means of the usage of boundary situation at the stop points. Hence the prerequisites are additionally solved via the use of mesh values to discover the balance of operators.

5. CONCLUSION

In numerical analysis, finite distinction techniques are classification of numerical strategies for fixing differential equations with the aid of approximating derivatives with finite differences. Finite thing strategies are these which are popularly used in differential equation for fixing numerical values. Thus we made an strive to resolve the preliminary price issues the usage of mesh values. Hence similarly research can be prolonged to discover the balance of operators the usage of hyperbolic.

REFERENCES

1. Christian Clason,(25 sep 2017).Finite Element methods lecture notes, arXiv: 1709.08618v1[math.NA].
2. Dietrich Braess,(2007). Finite Element - Theory,Fast solvers and Application in Elasticity Theory,Cambridge university press,New York.
3. Pavel Solin,(2006). Partial differential equations and the finite element method, Wiley Interscience, A John wiley and sons Inc., publication.
4. Stig Larsson, Vidar Thomee(2003). Partial Differential equation using numerical method, Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
5. Susanne C. Brenner,L. Ridgway Scott,(2008). Texts in applied mathematics:The mathematical theory of finite element methods, Third edition,springer publication.
6. Vidar Thomee,(1997). Galerkin Finite element methods for parabolic problems, Second edition, Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
7. Vidar Thomee,(1990). Finite Difference methods for linear parabolic equation, Elsevier science publishers B.V (North-Holland).
8. Volker John,(2013). Numerical methods for partial differential equation, Summer semester.
9. Wenjun Yao, Zhichang Guo, Jiebaosun (2018). Periodic boundary value problems for two classes of nonlinear fractional differential equations, Springer(1).
10. Zhilin Li, Zhonghua Qiao, Tao Tang,(2018). Numerical solution of differential equations:Introduction to finite difference and finite element methods, Cambridge university press,New york.