

Intuitionistic Fuzzy Generalized $\# \alpha$ - Connectedness in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces

¹J. Christy Jenifer and ²V. Kokilavani

¹Research Scholar, ²Assistant Professor & Head,
Department of Mathematics,
Kongunadu Arts and Science College(Autonomous),
Coimbatore-641 029.
Email :¹christijeni94@gmail.com

Article Info

Page Number: 3732 - 3737

Publication Issue:

Vol 71 No. 4 (2022)

Abstract

The at most aim of this prospectus is to initiate the idea of connectedness in Intuitionistic fuzzy $g^{\# \alpha}$ -closed set and explore its properties.

Article History

Article Received: 25 March 2022

Revised: 30 April 2022

Accepted: 15 June 2022

Publication: 19 August 2022

Keywords: IFT , IFG $^{\# \alpha}$ - CS, IFG $^{\# \alpha}$ - connected space.

1. INTRODUCTION

The idea of fuzzy sets was initiated by Zadeh[7]. Atanasov[1] generalized this concept to intuitionistic fuzzy sets using the concept of fuzzy sets. In 1997 Coker[2] initiated intuitionistic fuzzy topology using the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces also he interpreted C_5 connected space in intuitionistic fuzzy topology. Later in 2011 intuitionistic fuzzy C_5 connected between two sets was explained by Santhi.R[5] and S.S. Thakur[6], initiated the concept of GO connected space in intuitionistic fuzzy topology. In 2012 Santhi.R[3], interpolated intuitionistic fuzzy generalized semi-pre connected space. Kokilavani. V introduced the concept of IFG $^{\# \alpha}$ - closed sets and IFG $^{\# \alpha}$ - continuous functions. In this prospectus, we initiated the concept of IFG $^{\# \alpha}$ - connected space and explore its properties.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.2. [2] An intuitionistic fuzzy topology (IFT in short) on X is a family τ of IFSs in X satisfying the following axioms.

- (1) $0_\sim, 1_\sim \in \tau$
- (2) $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau$, for any $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$
- (3) $\bigcup G_i \in \tau$ for any family $\{G_i \mid i \in J\} \subseteq \tau$

In this case the pair (X, τ) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space.

Throughout this section we denote connected space by Cntd space.

Definition 2.2. [2] An IFTS (X, τ) is proposed to be an IFC5-Cntd space if the only IFSs which are both IFOS are $0_\sim$ and $1_\sim$.

Definition 2.3. [6] An IFTS (X, τ) is proposed to be an IFGO-Cntd space if the only IFSs which are both IFGOS and IFGCS are $0_\sim$ and $1_\sim$.

Definition 2.4. [5] An IFTS (X, τ) is an IFC5-Cntd among two IFSs A and B if there is no IFOS E in (X, τ) such that $A \subseteq E$ and $E_{q^c} \subseteq B$.

\

Definition 2.5. [3] An IFTS (X, τ) is proposed to be an intuitionistic fuzzy generalized semi-pre Cntd space if the only IFSs which are both an IFgsp- open sets and an IFgsp-closed set are $0_\sim$ and G_1 .

Definition 2.6. An IFS C in (E, τ) is proposed to be $IFG^{\# \alpha}$ CS if $\alpha cl(C) \subseteq U$, whenever $C \subseteq U$ and U is an IFGOS in (E, τ) .

3. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Generalized $^{\# \alpha}$ - Cntd in IFTS

In this segment we have initiated $IFG^{\# \alpha}$ -Cntd and establish its properties.

Definition 3.1. An IFTS (E, τ) is proposed to be $IFG^{\# \alpha}$ -($IFG^{\# \alpha}$) Cntd on condition that IFSs has a pair $IFG^{\# \alpha}$ OS and $IFG^{\# \alpha}$ CS are $0_\sim$ and J_1 .

Theorem 3.2. Every $IFG^{\# \alpha}$ -Cntd is IFC5-Cntd but, reverse implication is not possible.

Proof: Consider (E, τ) an $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ - Cntd. Assume (E, τ) by no means IFC5- Cntd, here we obtain a actual pair of IFOS and IFCS of IFS D in (E, τ) . Here D is the pair of $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ and $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ in (E, τ) . This signifies here (E, τ) by no means an $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ - Cntd. This is a contravention to our assumption. Consequently (E, τ) should be IFC5-Cntd.

Example 3.3. Let $E=\{p,q\}$, $J_1= \langle e, (0.2_p, 0.2_q), (0.8_p, 0.8_q) \rangle$ and $J_2 = \langle e, (0.8_p, 0.7_q), (0.2_p, 0.3_q) \rangle$. Here $\tau= \{0_\sim, J_1, J_2, 1_\sim\}$ a IFTs on E . We have (E, τ) an IFC5- Cntd but by no means $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ - Cntd, Considering IFS $C = \langle e, (0.7_p, 0.6_q), (0.3_p, 0.6_q) \rangle$ is both an $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ OS and an $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ CS in (E, τ) .

Theorem 3.4. Every $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ -Cntd is IFGO-Cntd but, reverse implication is not possible.

Proof: Consider (E, τ) an $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ - Cntd. Assume (E, τ) is by no means IFGO- Cntd, here remains a actual IFS D which has the pair IFGOS and IFGCS in (E, τ) . Then D is the pair of $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ and $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ in (E, τ) . It signifies here (E, τ) by no means an $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ - Cntd. This is a contravention to our assumption. Consequently (E, τ) should be IFGO-Cntd.

Example 3.5. Consider $E=\{p,q\}$, $J_1= \langle e, (0.2_p, 0.2_q), (0.8_p, 0.8_q) \rangle$ and $J_2 = \langle e, (0.8_p, 0.7_q), (0.2_p, 0.3_q) \rangle$. Here $\tau= \{0_\sim, J_1, J_2, 1_\sim\}$ a IFTs on E . We have (E, τ) an IFGO-Cntd but by no means $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ - Cntd, Considering IFS $C = \langle e, (0.7_p, 0.6_q), (0.3_p, 0.6_q) \rangle$ is both an $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ OS and an $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ CS in (E, τ) .

Theorem 3.6. If $h: (E, \tau) \rightarrow (F, \sigma)$ is $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ - continuous and (E, τ) is $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ - Cntd, then (F, σ) is IFC5-Cntd.

Proof: Consider (E, τ) an $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ -Cntd. Assume (F, σ) by no means an IFC5- Cntd, then we obtain a actual pair of IFOS and IFCS of IFS C in (F, σ) . Considering h an $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ -continuous, $h^{-1}(C)$ is a pair of $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ and $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ in (E, τ) . This is a contravention to our assumption. Consequently (F, σ) is IFC5- Cntd.

Theorem 3.7. If $h: (E, \tau) \rightarrow (F, \sigma)$ is $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ -irresolute onto mapping and (E, τ) an $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ - Cntd, then (F, σ) is also an $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ -Cntd.

Proof: Assume (F, σ) by no means an $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ -Cntd, then we obtain a actual pair of $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ OS and $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ CS IFS D in (F, σ) . Considering h an $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ - irresolute, $h^{-1}(D)$ is pair of $IFG^{\neq}_\alpha$ OS and

$\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ CS in (E, τ) . But this is a contravention to our assumption. Consequently (F, σ) is $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ -Cntd.

Proposition 3.8. An IFT $S(E, \tau)$ is an $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ -Cntd on the condition that we obtain no zero $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ OSs C and D in (E, τ) in order that $C = D^c$.

Proof: Necessity: Consider C and D be $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ OSs in (E, τ) in order that $C \neq 0_{\sim}$, $D \neq 0_{\sim}$ and $C = D^c$. Consequently D^c is $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ CS. Considering $D \neq 0_{\sim}$, $C = D^c \neq 1_{\sim}$. Considering C an actual IFS with a pair of $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ OS and $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ CS in (E, τ) . Consequently (E, τ) by no means an $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ -Cntd. But this is contravention to our assumption. Thus we obtain no non-zero $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ OS C and D in (E, τ) in order that $C = D^c$.

Sufficiency: Let C be both an $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ OS and $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ CS in (E, τ) such that $1_{\sim} \neq C \neq 0_{\sim}$. Now let $D = C^c$. Then D is an $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ OS and $D \neq 1_{\sim}$. Considering $D^c = C \neq 0_{\sim}$, which is a contravention to our assumption. Consequently (E, τ) is an $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ -Cntd.

Proposition 3.9. An IFT $S(E, \tau)$ is $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ -Cntd on the condition that we obtain no non-zero $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ OSs C and D in (E, τ) in order that $D = C^c$, $D = (\alpha\text{cl}(C))^c$ and $C = D^c$.

Proof: Necessity: Suppose that we obtain IFSs C and D in order that $C \neq 0_{\sim}$, $D \neq 0_{\sim}$, $D = C^c$, $D = (\alpha\text{cl}(C))^c$ and $C = (\alpha\text{cl}(D))^c$. Since $(\alpha\text{cl}(C))^c$ and $(\alpha\text{cl}(D))^c$ are $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ OSs in (E, τ) , C and D are $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ -Cntd, which is a contravention. Consequently we obtain no non-zero $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ OSs C and D in (E, τ) in order that $D = C^c$, $D = (\alpha\text{cl}(C))^c$ and $C = (\alpha\text{cl}(D))^c$.

Sufficiency: Consider C be a pair of $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ OS and $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ CS in (E, τ) in order that $1_{\sim} \neq C \neq 0_{\sim}$. Here we use $D = C^c$, thus remains an contravention to our assumption. Consequently (E, τ) an $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ -Cntd.

Definition 3.10. An IFTS (E, τ) is an IFC_5 -Cntd among both IFS C and D if there is no IFTS G in (E, τ) in order that $C \subseteq G$ and $G \sqsubseteq D$.

Definition 3.11. An IFTS $()$ is an $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ -Cntd among two IFS C and D if there is no $\text{IFG}^{\neq\alpha}$ CS H in (E, τ) in order that $C \subseteq H$ and $H \sqsubseteq D$.

Example 3.12. Let $E = \{p, q\}$, $J_1 = \langle e, (0.6_p, 0.4_q), (0.4_p, 0.1_q) \rangle$. Here $\tau = \{0_\sim, J_1, 1_\sim\}$ be IFT on E . We have (E, τ) on IFG[#] α -Cntd among the IFS $C = \langle e, (0.6_p, 0.5_q), (0.4_p, 0.2_q) \rangle$, $D = \langle e, (0.6_p, 0.5_q), (0.4_p, 0.4_q) \rangle$ is pair of IFG[#] α OS and IFG[#] α CS in (E, τ) .

Theorem 3.13. If an IFTS (E, τ) is an IFG[#] α -Cntd among two IFS C and D , then it is an IFC₅-Cntd among C and D but, reverse implication is not possible.

Proof: Assume (E, τ) by no means an IFC₅ Cntd among C and D , then we obtain an IFOS H in (E, τ) in order that $C \subseteq H$ and $H \not\subseteq D$. Considering IFOS an IFG[#] α OS, we obtain an IFG[#] α OS H in (E, τ) in order that $C \subseteq H$ and $H \not\subseteq D$. This signifies (E, τ) by no means IFG[#] α -Cntd among C and D . That is we get a contravention to our assumption. Consequently, the IFTS (E, τ) should be IFC₅- Cntd among C and D .

Example 3.14. Consider $E = \{p, q\}$, $J_1 = \langle e, (0.3_p, 0.3_q), (0.2_p, 0.3_q) \rangle$. $\tau = \{0_\sim, J_1, 1_\sim\}$ be IFT on E . We have (E, τ) an IFC₅-Cntd among the IFS $C = \langle e, (0.3_p, 0.4_q), (0.6_p, 0.6_q) \rangle$ and $D = \langle e, (0.5_p, 0.4_q), (0.5_p, 0.6_q) \rangle$. But (E, τ) is not an IFG[#] α -Cntd among C and D , Considering IFS $H = \langle e, (0.4_p, 0.4_q), (0.6_p, 0.5_q) \rangle$ an IFG[#] α OS such that $C \subseteq H$ and $H \subseteq D^c$.

Theorem 3.15. An IFT S (E, τ) is IFG[#] α -Cntd among two IFSs C and D on condition that there is no IFG[#] α OS and IFG[#] α CS H in (E, τ) in order that $C \subseteq H \subseteq D^c$.

Proof: Necessity: Consider (E, τ) an IFG[#] α -Cntd among C and D . Assume that we obtain IFG[#] α OS and IFG[#] α CS H in (E, τ) in order that $C \subseteq H \subseteq D^c$, we have $H \not\subseteq D$ and $C \subseteq H$. This signifies (E, τ) by no means IFG[#] α -Cntd among C and D , by the de_nition, C contravention to our assumption. Therefore, then we obtain no IFG[#] α OS and IFG[#] α CS H in (E, τ) in order that $C \subseteq H \subseteq D^c$.

Sufficiency: Assume (E, τ) by no means IFG[#] α -Cntd among C and D . Then we obtain an IFG[#] α OS H in (E, τ) in order that $C \subseteq H$ and $H \not\subseteq D$. This signifies that we obtain an IFG[#] α OS H in (E, τ) in order that $C \subseteq H \subseteq D^c$. But a contravention to our assumption. Consequently (E, τ) should be IFG[#] α -Cntd among C and D .

Theorem 3.16. If an IFT S (E, τ) is IFG[#] α -Cntd among C and D and $C \subseteq C_1$, $D \subseteq D_1$, then (E, τ) is an IFG[#] α -Cntd among C_1 and D_1 .

Proof : Assume (E, τ) by no means $IFG^{\#}\alpha$ -Cntd among C_1 and D_1 , by known Definition, we obtain $IFG^{\#}\alpha OS$ H in (E, τ) in order that $C_1 \subseteq H$ and $H \not\subseteq D_1$. This signifies $H \subseteq D_1^c$ and $C_1 \subseteq H$. That is $C \subseteq C_1 \subseteq H$. Hence $C \subseteq H$. Since $H \subseteq D_1^c$, $D_1 \subseteq H^c$. That is $D \subseteq D_1 \subseteq H^c$. Hence $H \subseteq D^c$. Therefore (E, τ) by no means an $IFG^{\#}\alpha$ -Cntd among C and D . Consequently a contravention to our assumption. Thus E should be $IFG^{\#}\alpha$ -Cntd among C_1 and D_1 .

References

- [1] Atanassov. K, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20(1986), (87-96).
- [2] Coker. D, An introduction to fuzzy topological space, Fuzzy sets and systems, 88, (1997), (81-89).
- [3] Santhi.R and Jayanthi.D, Generalized semi-pre connectedness in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Ann.Fuzzy Math. Inform. 3(2), (2012), (243-253).
- [4] christy Jenifer J and Kokilavani.V, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Generalized $^{\#}\alpha$ -Closed Sets and Generalized $^{\#}\alpha$ -Continuous Mappings in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces, (Communicated).
- [5] Santhi.R, and Sakthivel.D, Intuitionistic fuzzy alpha generalized connectedness in fuzzy topological spaces, International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics, 3(1), (2011), (1-5).
- [6] Thakur,S.S and Rekha Chaturvedi, Generalized continuity in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets,12(1), (2006),(38-44).
- [7] Zadeh.L.A, Fuzzy sets, Information and control, 8(1965), (338-353).