

MBJ-Neutrosophic Implicative LI-Ideals in Lattice Implication Algebras

V. Amarendra Babu 1, K. Abida Begum* 2, V. Siva Naga Malleswari 3.

1Assistant professor, department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna university, Guntur, A.P, India. E-mail: amarendravelisela@gmail.com

2*Assistant professor, department of Mathematics, Krishna university, Machilipatnam, A.P, India. E-mail: k_abida_begum@yahoo.com

3Assistant professor, Prasad V Potluri Siddhartha institute of Technology, Vijayawada, A.P, India. E-mail: vsnm.maths@gmail.com

Article Info

Page Number: 1022 – 1031

Publication Issue:

Vol. 71 No. 3s2 (2022)

ABSTRACT

We consider the idea of MBJ-Neutrosophic implicative LI ideals and by establishing the characterizations of a MBJ-Neutrosophic implicative LI-Ideal we depict the rules how a MBJ-Neutrosophic LI ideal acts as a MBJ-Neutrosophic implicative LI ideal and we are able to derive the relation between them.

Article History

Article Received: 28 April 2022

Revised: 15 May 2022

Accepted: 20 June 2022

Publication: 21 July 2022

Keywords: Lattice implication algebra (LIA); MBJ-Neutrosophic LI (MBJ-NLI) ideal; MBJ-Neutrosophic implicative LI (MBJ-NILI) ideal.

Introduction

“The idea of Neutrosophic set (Nset) developed by Smarandache in [3], which encompasses the standard set (intuitionistic) fuzzy set, and interval-valued fuzzy (intuitionistic) set”. The N set was defined after the neutrosophic elements T, I, F were innovate and indicates the truth-membership, indeterminacy, and false-membership values individually, where $[0, 1]$ is the non-standard unit interval.

“Jun, his colleagues examined, properties and relations of BCK/BCI-algebras using the idea of Neutrosophic set theory” in [2]. “The interval Neutrosophic set was then inaugurate” in [3]. Furthermore, “Interval Neutrosophic ideals were interpreted and several features examined” in [4]. In [5], they portrayed many types of interval Neutrosophic ideals, analysed some of their characteristics, and discovered a relationship between them.

“Jun also proposed the concepts of LI-ideals, in [6], and analysed few of their features as well as the relationship between them”. And the concept of neutrosophic set theory was used to LIA.

The abstraction of MBJ set is innovate as another generality of N set, MBJ-NLI ideal and MBJ-NILI ideal of a LIA are introduced, and numerous properties are examined. We talk about how an MBJ-NLI ideal and a MBJ-NILI ideal are related. An MBJ-NLI ideal becomes

an MBI-NILI ideal if certain requirements are met. And also the characterizations of a MBI-NILI ideal have discussed.

Preliminaries

Definition-2.1. ([8]). Lattice Implication Algebra (LIA) is described as a Lattice

$(L, \vee, \wedge, c, 0, 1)$ with bounds $(0, 1)$, order-reversing involution “ c ” comforting propositions given below under a binary operation “ $\rightarrow$ ” are

$$(I1) \quad \zeta \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi) = \varrho \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi),$$

$$(I2) \quad \zeta \rightarrow \zeta = 1,$$

$$(I3) \quad \zeta \rightarrow \varrho = \varrho c \rightarrow \zeta c,$$

$$(I4) \quad \zeta \rightarrow \varrho = \varrho \rightarrow \zeta = 1 \Rightarrow \zeta = \varrho,$$

$$(I5) \quad (\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varrho = (\varrho \rightarrow \zeta) \rightarrow \zeta,$$

$$(L1) \quad (\zeta \vee \varrho) \rightarrow \varphi = (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \wedge (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi),$$

$$(L2) \quad (\zeta \wedge \varrho) \rightarrow \varphi = (\zeta \rightarrow \varphi) \vee (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi),$$

For all $\zeta, \varrho, \varphi \in L$ is Lattice H-Implication Algebra, if a LIA ‘ L ’ satisfies the proposition

$$(\forall \zeta, \varrho, \varphi \in L) (\zeta \vee \varrho \vee ((\zeta \wedge \varrho) \rightarrow \varphi) = 1) \quad (1)$$

A partial ordering $\leq$ on ‘ L ’ defined by the condition $\zeta \leq \varrho$ iff $\zeta \rightarrow \varrho = 1$.

A LIA ‘ L ’ satisfies the propositions cited below (see [12]):

$$(a1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \zeta = 1, 1 \rightarrow \zeta = \zeta \text{ \& } \zeta \rightarrow 1 = 1.$$

$$(a2) \quad \zeta \rightarrow \varrho \leq (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow \varrho).$$

$$(a3) \quad \zeta \leq \varrho \text{ implies } \varrho \rightarrow \varphi \leq \zeta \rightarrow \varphi \text{ and } \varphi \rightarrow \zeta \leq \varphi \rightarrow \varrho.$$

$$(a4) \quad \zeta c = \zeta \rightarrow 0.$$

$$(a5) \quad \zeta \vee \varrho = (\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varrho.$$

$$(a6) \quad ((\varrho \rightarrow \zeta) \rightarrow \zeta c) c = \zeta \wedge \varrho = ((\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varrho c) c.$$

$$(a7) \quad \zeta \leq (\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varrho.$$

$$(a8) \quad ((\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varrho) \rightarrow \varrho = \zeta \rightarrow \varrho$$

Definition 2.2. ([6]). A LI-ideal of ‘ L ’ is a nonempty subset χ of ‘ L ’ holds the propositions given by

$$(L3) \quad 0 \in \chi.$$

$$(L4) \quad (\forall \zeta \in 'L') (\forall \varrho \in \chi) ((\zeta \rightarrow \varrho) c \in \chi \Rightarrow \zeta \in \chi).$$

Lemma 2.3. ([7]). Any LI-ideal χ of ‘ L ’ accomplish the following rule

$$(\forall \zeta \in \chi) (\forall \varrho \in L) (\varrho \leq \zeta \Rightarrow \varrho \in \chi).$$

Let L be a non-empty set. A MBI-Nset in ‘ L ’ ([11]) is structured as:

$$A = \{ \zeta ; MA(\zeta), \tilde{BA}(\zeta), JA(\zeta) / \zeta \in L \},$$

where a truth membership function defined by $MA : L \rightarrow [0, 1]$, be an indeterminate membership function defined by $\tilde{BA} : L \rightarrow [0, 1]$, and a false membership function defined by $JA : L \rightarrow [0, 1]$ For a convenience, we use the symbol $A = (MA, \tilde{BA}, JA)$

to represent the MBI-N set A .

$$\text{i.e., } A = \{ (\zeta ; MA(\zeta), \tilde{BA}(\zeta), JA(\zeta)) / \zeta \in L \}.$$

Given a MBI-N set $A = (MA, \tilde{BA}, JA)$ in a LIA ‘ L ’. Then we prove the succeeding sets

$$L(MA ; \alpha) := \{ \zeta \in L / MA(\zeta) \geq \alpha \},$$

$$L(\tilde{BA} ; \beta) := \{ \zeta \in L / \tilde{BA}(\zeta) \geq \beta \},$$

$L(JA ; \gamma) := \{\varsigma \in L / JA(\varsigma) \leq \gamma\}$.

Known as MBJ-Neutrosophic level subsets of 'L'.

MBJ-Neutrosophic LI-ideals:

We suppose 'L' as LIA except as otherwise indicated.

Definition 3.1. AMBJ-NLI-ideal of 'L' is an MBJ-Nset

$A = (MA, \tilde{B}A, JA)$ in 'L' if the following attributes are true.

$$(\forall \varsigma \in L) (MA(0) \geq MA(\varsigma), \tilde{B}A(0) \geq \tilde{B}A(\varsigma), JA(0) \leq JA(\varsigma)) \quad (2)$$

$$\text{and } (\forall \varsigma, \varrho \in L) \begin{pmatrix} MA(\varsigma) \geq \min\{MA((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)^c), MA(\varrho)\} \\ \tilde{B}A(\varsigma) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{B}A((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)^c), \tilde{B}A(\varrho)\} \\ JA(\varsigma) \leq \max\{JA((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)^c), JA(\varrho)\} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

Theorem 3.2. A MBJ-Nset $A = (MA, \tilde{B}A, JA)$ of 'L' is a MBJ-Neutrosophic LI-ideal iff it accomplishes the rule

$$\forall \varsigma, \varrho, \varphi \in L, ((\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma)^c \leq \varrho) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} MA(\varphi) \geq \min\{MA(\varsigma), MA(\varrho)\} \\ \tilde{B}A(\varphi) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{B}A(\varsigma), \tilde{B}A(\varrho)\} \\ JA(\varphi) \leq \max\{JA(\varsigma), JA(\varrho)\} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

Proof: Consider 'A' as a MBJ-NLI Ideal of 'L'.

Let $\varsigma, \varrho, \varphi \in L$ be $\exists (\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma)^c \leq \varrho$.

Since every MBJ-NLI ideal is order reversing it ensures from (2) that

$$MA(\varphi) \geq \min\{MA((\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma)^c), MA(\varsigma)\} \geq \min\{MA(\varsigma), MA(\varrho)\}$$

$$\tilde{B}A(\varphi) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{B}A((\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma)^c), \tilde{B}A(\varsigma)\} \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{B}A(\varsigma), \tilde{B}A(\varrho)\}$$

$$JA(\varphi) \leq \max\{JA((\varphi \rightarrow \varsigma)^c), JA(\varsigma)\} \leq \max\{JA(\varsigma), JA(\varrho)\}$$

Reversely, take that 'A' holds the given condition. As $(0 \rightarrow \varsigma)^c \leq \varsigma, \forall \varsigma \in 'L'$, we have

$$(MA(0) \geq MA(\varsigma), \tilde{B}A(0) \geq \tilde{B}A(\varsigma), JA(0) \leq JA(\varsigma))$$

Note that $(\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)^c \leq \varsigma \rightarrow \varrho$ for all $\varsigma, \varrho \in L$. Hence, by assumption, we get

$$MA(\varsigma) \geq \min\{MA(\varrho), MA(\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)^c\}$$

$$\tilde{B}A(\varsigma) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{B}A(\varrho), \tilde{B}A(\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)^c\}$$

$$JA(\varsigma) \leq \max\{JA(\varrho), JA(\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)^c\}$$

Therefore A is an MBJ-Neutrosophic LI-ideal of 'L'.

Definition 3.3. An implicative LI-Ideal of 'L' is a nonempty subset A of 'L' which satisfies $0 \in L$ & $\forall \varsigma, \varrho, \varphi \in L, (((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)^c \rightarrow \varrho)^c \rightarrow \varphi)^c \in A, \varphi \in A \Rightarrow (\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)^c \in A$.

Proposition 3.4. Let I1 be an LI-Ideal of 'L'. Then the implicative rules given below are equivalent.

(1) I1 is an NILI ideal of 'L'.

(2) $\forall \varsigma, \varrho \in L, (\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)^c)^c \in I1 \Rightarrow \varsigma \in I1$.

(3) $\forall \varsigma, \varrho \in L, ((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)^c \rightarrow \varrho)^c \in I1 \Rightarrow (\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)^c \in I1$.

Definition 3.5. An MBJ-Nset 'A' of 'L' is known as an MBJ-NILI ideal of 'L' if it holds the inequalities given below for all $\varsigma \in L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{from (1) } (MA(0) \geq MA(\varsigma), \tilde{B}A(0) \geq \tilde{B}A(\varsigma), JA(0) \leq JA(\varsigma)), \text{ and } \forall \varsigma, q, \varphi \in L \text{ \&} \\ &(L5) \quad MA((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c) \geq \min\{MA(((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow \varphi)c), MA(\varphi)\}, \\ &\tilde{B}A((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c) \geq r \min\{\tilde{B}A(((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow \varphi)c), \tilde{B}A(\varphi)\}, \\ &JA((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c) \leq \max\{JA(((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow \varphi)c), JA(\varphi)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Example 3.6. Let the Cayley table of a lattice $L = \{0, a, b, 1\}$ as follows:

For all $\varsigma \in L$

ς	ςc
0	1
a	b
b	a
1	0

The operators $\vee$ and $\wedge$ on a Lattice 'L' are defined as given below:

$$\varsigma \vee q = (\varsigma \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q, \varsigma \wedge q = ((\varsigma c \rightarrow qc) \rightarrow qc) \quad (6)$$

for all $\varsigma, q \in L$. Then $(L, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, c)$ is a LIA. Let 'A' be an MBJ-Nset in 'L' defined by $MA(0) = 0.9$ and $MA(a) = MA(b) = MA(1) = 0.4$. Now clearly, A is an MBJ-NILI ideal of 'L'. Also an MBJ-N set B in 'L' taken as $MB(0) = MB(a) = 0.7$ and $MB(b) = MB(1) = 0.3$ is an MBJ-NILI ideal of 'L'. (Conditions for $\tilde{B}A$ & JA , $\tilde{B}B$ & JB , also be checked).

How an MBJ-NLI ideal and MBJ-NILI ideal are related to each other can be shown in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.7. Every MBJ-NILI ideal of 'L' is an MBJ-NLI ideal of 'L'.

Proof : Say, 'A' be a MBJ-NILI ideal of 'L', put $q = 0$ & $\varphi = q$ in (5)

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} &MA(\varsigma) = MA((\varsigma \rightarrow 0)c) \\ &\geq \min\{MA(((\varsigma \rightarrow 0)c \rightarrow 0)c \rightarrow q)c), MA(q)\} \\ &= \min\{MA((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c), MA(q)\}. \\ &\tilde{B}A(\varsigma) = \tilde{B}A((\varsigma \rightarrow 0)c) \\ &\geq r \min\{\tilde{B}A(((\varsigma \rightarrow 0)c \rightarrow 0)c \rightarrow q)c), \tilde{B}A(q)\} \\ &= r \min\{\tilde{B}A((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c), \tilde{B}A(q)\}. \\ &JA(\varsigma) = JA((\varsigma \rightarrow 0)c) \\ &\leq \max\{JA(((\varsigma \rightarrow 0)c \rightarrow 0)c \rightarrow q)c), JA(q)\} \\ &= \max\{JA((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c), JA(q)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore 'A' is an MBJ-NLI ideal of 'L'.

The reversing of Theorem 3.7 attain falsity, that can be observed in the example given below.

Example 3.8. Let the Cayley table of a lattice $L = \{0, a, b, 1\}$ as follows:

For all $\varsigma \in L$

ς	ςc
0	1
a	b
b	a
1	0

The operators $\vee$ and $\wedge$ on a Lattice 'L' are defined as given below:

$$\varsigma \vee q = (\varsigma \rightarrow q) \rightarrow q, \varsigma \wedge q = ((\varsigma c \rightarrow qc) \rightarrow qc)c$$

for all $\varsigma, q \in L$. Then $(L, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, c)$ is a LIA. Suppose A be an MBJ-N set in 'L' defined by $MA(0) = 0.7$ and $MA(a) = MA(b) = MA(1) = 0.4$. And so A is a MBJ-NLI ideal of 'L'. But it is not an MBJ-NILI ideal of 'L' since

$$MA((b \rightarrow a)c) \not\geq \min \{MA((((b \rightarrow a)c \rightarrow a)c \rightarrow 0)c), MA(0)\}.$$

Under what condition it is true we will see in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.9. Every MBJ-NLI ideal is an MBJ-NILI ideal under the condition of choosing 'L' as a lattice H-implication algebra.

Proof. Suppose that A be an MBJ-NLI ideal of a lattice H-Implication Algebra 'L'.

we have by using (I3), (L5) and (3)

$$MA((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c) = MA((qc \rightarrow \varsigma c)c) = MA((qc \rightarrow ((qc \rightarrow \varsigma c))c)$$

$$= MA((qc \rightarrow (\varsigma \rightarrow q))c) = MA((((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c)$$

$$\geq \min \{MA((((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow \varphi)c), MA(\varphi)\}$$

$$\tilde{B}A((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c) = \tilde{B}A((qc \rightarrow \varsigma c)c) = \tilde{B}A((qc \rightarrow ((qc \rightarrow \varsigma c))c)$$

$$= \tilde{B}A((qc \rightarrow (\varsigma \rightarrow q))c) = \tilde{B}A((((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c)$$

$$\geq r \min \{\tilde{B}A((((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow \varphi)c), \tilde{B}A(\varphi)\}$$

$$JA((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c) = JA((qc \rightarrow \varsigma c)c) = JA((qc \rightarrow ((qc \rightarrow \varsigma c))c)$$

$$= JA((qc \rightarrow (\varsigma \rightarrow q))c) = JA((((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c)$$

$$\leq \max \{JA((((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow \varphi)c), JA(\varphi)\}$$

for all $\varsigma, q, \varphi \in L$, which proves (L5). Then clearly 'A' is a MBJ-Neutrosophic implicative LI-Ideal of 'L'.

Proposition 3.10. Let 'A' be a MBJ-Neutrosophic set in 'L' satisfying (L5) and

$$(L6) \quad MA((((\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi)c \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \varphi)c)c) \geq \min \{MA((((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow \varphi)c \rightarrow w)c), MA(w)\}$$

$$\tilde{B}A((((\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi)c \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \varphi)c)c) \geq r \min \{\tilde{B}A((((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow \varphi)c \rightarrow w)c), \tilde{B}A(w)\}$$

$$JA((((\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi)c \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \varphi)c)c) \leq \max \{JA((((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow \varphi)c \rightarrow w)c), JA(w)\}$$

for all $\varsigma, q, \varphi, w \in L$. Then A is an MBJ-NILI ideal of 'L'.

Proof: For any $\varsigma, q, \varphi \in L$, we have

$$MA((\varsigma \rightarrow q)) = MA((1 \rightarrow (\varsigma \rightarrow q))c) \quad \text{by (a1)}$$

$$= MA((0c \rightarrow (\varsigma \rightarrow q))c) \quad \text{by (I2) and (a4)}$$

$$= MA((((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow 0)c) \quad \text{by (I3)}$$

$$= MA((((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow 1c)c) \quad \text{by (a1) and (a4)}$$

$$= MA((((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow (q \rightarrow q))c) \quad \text{by (I2)}$$

$$\geq \min \{MA((((\varsigma \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow \varphi)c), MA(\varphi)\} \quad \text{by (a4)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{B}A((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)) = \tilde{B}A((1 \rightarrow (\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho))c) && \text{by (a1)} \\
& = \tilde{B}A((0c \rightarrow (\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho))c) && \text{by (I2) and (a4)} \\
& = \tilde{B}A(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow 0)c) && \text{by (I3)} \\
& = \tilde{B}A(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow 1c)c) && \text{by (a1) and (a4)} \\
& = \tilde{B}A(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varrho))) && \text{by (I2)} \\
& \geq r \min\{\tilde{B}A(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varphi)c), \tilde{B}A(\varphi)\} && \text{by (a4)} \\
& JA((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)) = JA((1 \rightarrow (\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho))c) && \text{by (a1)} \\
& = JA((0c \rightarrow (\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho))c) && \text{by (I2) and (a4)} \\
& = JA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow 0)c) && \text{by (I3)} \\
& = JA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow 1c)c) && \text{by (a1) and (a4)} \\
& = JA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varrho))) && \text{by (I2)} \\
& \leq \max\{JA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varphi)c), JA(\varphi)\} && \text{by (a4)}
\end{aligned}$$

That concludes (L5). Then clearly A is a MBJ-NILI ideal of ' L '.

Proposition 3.11. Suppose, A be an MBJ-NLI ideal of L holds

$$\begin{aligned}
& (L7) \quad MA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi)c \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)c)c) \geq MA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varphi)c) \\
& \quad \tilde{B}A(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi)c \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)c)c) \geq \tilde{B}A(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varphi)c) \\
& \quad JA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi)c \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)c)c) \leq JA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varphi)c)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\varsigma, \varrho, \varphi \in L$, It is clear that ' A ' is an MBJ-NILI ideal of ' L '.

Proof: Let $\varsigma, \varrho, \varphi, w \in L$, Using (a5) and (a2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& MA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi)c \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)c)c) \geq MA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varphi)c) \\
& \geq \min\{MA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varphi)c \rightarrow w)c), MA(w)\}. \\
& \tilde{B}A(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi)c \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)c)c) \geq \tilde{B}A(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varphi)c) \\
& \geq r \min\{\tilde{B}A(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varphi)c \rightarrow u)c), \tilde{B}A(w)\}. \\
& JA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varphi)c \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varphi)c)c) \leq JA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varphi)c) \\
& \leq \max\{JA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varphi)c \rightarrow w)c), JA(w)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then it is clear that ' A ' is an MBJ-NILI ideal of ' L ' by the Proposition 3.10.

Proposition 3.12. Let A be a MBJ-NLI ideal of ' L ' satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
& (L8) \quad MA((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c) \geq MA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varrho)c) \\
& \quad \tilde{B}A((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c) \geq \tilde{B}A(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varrho)c) \\
& \quad JA((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c) \leq JA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varrho)c)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\varsigma, \varrho \in L$. Then A is an MBJ-NILI ideal of ' L '.

Proof. Let $\varsigma, \varrho, \varphi \in L$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& MA((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c) \geq MA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varrho)c) \\
& \geq \min\{MA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varphi)c), MA(\varphi)\}, \text{ by (L5)} \\
& \quad \tilde{B}A((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c) \geq \tilde{B}A(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varrho)c) \\
& \geq r \min\{\tilde{B}A(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varphi)c), \tilde{B}A(\varphi)\}, \text{ by (L5)} \\
& \quad JA((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c) \leq JA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varrho)c) \\
& \leq \max\{JA(((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow \varphi)c), JA(\varphi)\}, \text{ by (L5)}
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly ' A ' is an MBJ-NILI ideal of ' L '.

Proposition 3.13. All MBJ-NILI ideals of ' L ' satisfies the implication rule (L7).

Proof. Choose any $\varsigma, \varrho \in L$, let A be MBJ-NILI ideal then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
MA((\zeta \rightarrow q)c) &\geq \min\{MA((((\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow 0)c), MA(0)\} \\
&= MA((((\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow 0)c) \\
&= MA((0c \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c) \\
&= MA((\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c \\
\tilde{B}A((\zeta \rightarrow q)c) &\geq r \min\{\tilde{B}A((((\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow 0)c), \tilde{B}A(0)\} \\
&= \tilde{B}A((((\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow 0)c) \\
&= \tilde{B}A((0c \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c) \\
&= \tilde{B}A((\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
JA((\zeta \rightarrow q)c) &\leq \max\{JA((((\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow 0)c), JA(0)\} \\
&= JA((((\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow 0)c) \\
&= JA((0c \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c) \\
&= JA((\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c
\end{aligned}$$

we give a depiction of an MBJ-NILI ideal by uniting the above Propositions.

Theorem 3.14. Suppose A be an MBJ-NLI ideal of 'L' then we observe the equivalence of the statements as shown below

A is a MBJ-NILI ideal of 'L'.

A holds (L6).

A holds (L7).

A holds (L8).

Theorem 3.15. If A is a MBJ-NLI ideal of 'L' then we observe the equivalence of the following statements.

A is a MBJ-NILI ideal of 'L'.

$$MA(\zeta) \geq MA((\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c), \forall \zeta, q \in L.$$

$$\tilde{B}A(\zeta) \geq \tilde{B}A((\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c), \forall \zeta, q \in L. \quad (7)$$

$$JA(\zeta) \leq JA((\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c), \forall \zeta, q \in L.$$

$$\text{iii. } MA(\zeta) \geq \min\{MA((((\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c \rightarrow \varphi)c), MA(\varphi)\}, \forall \zeta, q, \varphi \in L.$$

$$\tilde{B}A(\zeta) \geq r \min\{\tilde{B}A((((\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c \rightarrow \varphi)c), \tilde{B}A(\varphi)\}, \forall \zeta, q, \varphi \in L.$$

$$JA(\zeta) \leq \max\{JA((((\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c \rightarrow \varphi)c), JA(\varphi)\}, \forall \zeta, q, \varphi \in L.$$

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii).

Choose A is a MBJ-NILI ideal of 'L' and let $\zeta, q, \varphi \in L$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&((q \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c \\
&= (\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c) \rightarrow ((q \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c) && \text{by (I3)} \\
&\geq (q \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c \rightarrow \zeta && \text{by (a2)} \\
&= \zeta c \rightarrow (q \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c) && \text{by (I3)} \\
&= \zeta c \rightarrow ((q \rightarrow \zeta) \rightarrow qc) && \text{by (I3)} \\
&= (q \rightarrow \zeta) \rightarrow (\zeta c \rightarrow qc) && \text{by (I1)} \\
&= (q \rightarrow \zeta) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta) = 1. && \text{by (I2) and (I3)}
\end{aligned}$$

as $\zeta \leq 1$ for all $\zeta \in L$, from (I4) we get that

$$((q \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c = 1,$$

$$\text{i.e., } ((q \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c.$$

Since every MBJ-NLI ideal is order reversing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MA}((\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c) &\geq \text{MA}(((\varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c) \\ &\geq \text{MA}((\varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c) \quad \text{by Proposition 3.13} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\text{BA}}((\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c) &\geq \tilde{\text{BA}}(((\varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c) \\ &\geq \tilde{\text{BA}}((\varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c) \quad \text{by Proposition 3.13} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{JA}((\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c) &\leq \text{JA}(((\varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c) \\ &\leq \text{JA}((\varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c) \quad \text{by Proposition 3.13} \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c) = \varsigma \rightarrow ((\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma) \rightarrow \varrho)c \quad \text{by (I3)}$$

$$= (\varsigma \rightarrow ((\varsigma c \rightarrow \varrho c) \rightarrow \varrho c)c \quad \text{by (I3)}$$

$$= (((\varsigma c \rightarrow \varrho c) \rightarrow \varrho c) \rightarrow \varsigma c)c \quad \text{by (I3)}$$

$$= (((\varrho c \rightarrow \varsigma c) \rightarrow \varsigma c) \rightarrow \varsigma c)c \quad \text{by (I5)}$$

$$= (\varrho c \rightarrow \varsigma c)c \quad \text{by (a8)}$$

$$= (\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \quad \text{by (I3)}$$

and

$$(\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow (\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c = (\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c) \rightarrow (\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho) \quad \text{by (I3)}$$

$$\geq (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c \rightarrow \varrho \quad \text{by (I1) and (a2)}$$

$$= \varrho c \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma) \quad \text{by (I3)}$$

$$= (\varrho \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma) \quad \text{by (a4)}$$

$$\geq 0 \rightarrow \varsigma = 1. \quad \text{by (I1), a2) and (a1)}$$

Therefore $(\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \rightarrow (\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c = 1$, i.e., $(\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c \leq (\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c$, and so

$$\text{MA}((\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c) \geq \text{MA}((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c)$$

$$= \text{MA}((\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c)c).$$

It follows from (L5) that

$$\text{MA}(\varsigma) \geq \min\{\text{MA}((\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c)c), \text{MA}((\varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c)\}$$

$$\geq \text{MA}((\varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c),$$

$$\tilde{\text{BA}}((\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c) \geq \tilde{\text{BA}}((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c)$$

$$= \tilde{\text{BA}}((\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c)c).$$

It follows from (L5) that

$$\tilde{\text{BA}}(\varsigma) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\text{BA}}((\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c)c), \tilde{\text{BA}}((\varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c)\}$$

$$\geq \tilde{\text{BA}}((\varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c),$$

$$\text{JA}((\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c) \leq \text{JA}((\varsigma \rightarrow \varrho)c)$$

$$= \text{JA}((\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c)c).$$

It follows from (L5) that

$$\text{JA}(\varsigma) \leq \max\{\text{JA}((\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c)c), \text{JA}((\varrho \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c)\}$$

$$\leq \text{JA}((\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c),$$

which proves (ii).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). It follows by (L5)

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). suppose that A follows the condition (iii) and choose any $\varsigma, \varrho \in L$. put $\varphi = 0$ in

(iii) and using (L5) and (a4), we get

$$\text{MA}(\varsigma) \geq \min\{\text{MA}(((\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c \rightarrow 0)c), \text{MA}(0)\}$$

$$= \text{MA}(((\varsigma \rightarrow (\varrho \rightarrow \varsigma)c)c \rightarrow 0)c)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= MA(((\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c)c) \\
&= ((\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c). \\
\tilde{B}A(\zeta) &\geq r \min\{\tilde{B}A(((\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c \rightarrow 0)c), \tilde{B}A(0)\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \tilde{B}A(((\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c \rightarrow 0)c) \\
&= \tilde{B}A(((\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c)c) \\
&= ((\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c). \\
JA(\zeta) &\leq \max\{JA(((\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c \rightarrow 0)c), JA(0)\} \\
&= JA(((\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c \rightarrow 0)c) \\
&= JA(((\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c)c) \\
&= ((\zeta \rightarrow (q \rightarrow \zeta)c)c).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Note that } ((\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow q)c)c) \\
&= ((\zeta \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow q)c) \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow q))c && \text{by (I3)} \\
&= (((\zeta \rightarrow q) \rightarrow \zeta c) \rightarrow (qc \rightarrow \zeta c))c && \text{by (I3)} \\
&= (qc \rightarrow (((\zeta \rightarrow q) \rightarrow \zeta c) \rightarrow \zeta c))c && \text{by (I1)} \\
&= (qc \rightarrow ((\zeta c \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow q)))c && \text{by (I5)} \\
&= (qc \rightarrow ((\zeta c \rightarrow (qc \rightarrow \zeta c)) \rightarrow (qc \rightarrow \zeta c)))c && \text{by (I3)} \\
&= ((\zeta c \rightarrow (qc \rightarrow \zeta c)) \rightarrow (qc \rightarrow (qc \rightarrow \zeta c)))c && \text{by (I1)} \\
&= ((qc \rightarrow (\zeta c \rightarrow \zeta c)) \rightarrow (qc \rightarrow (qc \rightarrow \zeta c)))c && \text{by (I1)} \\
&= ((qc \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow (qc \rightarrow \zeta c)))c && \text{by (I2)} \\
&= (qc \rightarrow (qc \rightarrow \zeta c))c && \text{by (a1)} \\
&= ((\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c. && \text{by (I3)}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
MA((\zeta \rightarrow q)c) &\geq MA(((\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow q)c)c)c) \\
&= MA(((\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c), \\
\tilde{B}A((\zeta \rightarrow q)c) &\geq \tilde{B}A(((\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow q)c)c)c) \\
&= \tilde{B}A(((\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c), \\
JA((\zeta \rightarrow q)c) &\leq JA(((\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow (\zeta \rightarrow q)c)c)c) \\
&= JA(((\zeta \rightarrow q)c \rightarrow q)c)
\end{aligned}$$

Then it is clear that 'A' is an MBJ-NILI ideal of 'L' from the Proposition 3.13. And hence the proof.

Conclusion:

A MBJ-Neutrosophic implicative LI ideal concept has introduced and when a MBJ-Neutrosophic LI ideal acts as a MBJ-Neutrosophic implicative LI ideal has discussed by establishing the characterizations of an MBJ-Neutrosophic implicative LI Ideal from which we can able to understood the relation between them.

References:

1. Borzooei R.A., Zhang X.H., Smarandache F. and Jun Y.B., Commutative generalized Neutrosophic ideals in BCK-algebras, Symmetry 10 (2018), 350. doi 10.3390/sym10080350Google Scholar.

2. Pawan Kumar Tiwari, P. S. . (2022). Numerical Simulation of Optimized Placement of Distibuted Generators in Standard Radial Distribution System Using Improved Computations. *International Journal on Recent Technologies in Mechanical and Electrical Engineering*, 9(5), 10–17. <https://doi.org/10.17762/ijrmee.v9i5.369>
3. Jun Y.B., Néutrosophic sub algebras of several types in BCK/BCI-algebras, *Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.* 14(1) (2017), 75–86, doi 10.30948/afmi.2017.14.1.75 .Google Scholar.
4. V. N. Patil and D. R. Ingle, “A Novel Approach for ABO Blood Group Prediction using Fingerprint through Optimized Convolutional Neural Network”, *Int J Intell Syst Appl Eng*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 60–68, Mar. 2022.
5. Jun Y.B., Kim S.J. and Smarandache F., Interval Neutrosophic sets with applications in BCK/BCI-algebra, *Axioms* 7 (2018), 23. doi: 10.3390/axioms7020023Google Scholar
6. Alaria, S. K., A. . Raj, V. Sharma, and V. Kumar. “Simulation and Analysis of Hand Gesture Recognition for Indian Sign Language Using CNN”. *International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication*, vol. 10, no. 4, Apr. 2022, pp. 10-14, doi:10.17762/ijritcc.v10i4.5556.
7. Jun Y.B., Smarandache F. and Bordbar H., Neutrosophic N-structures applied to BCK/BCI-algebras, *Information* 8 (2017), 128. doi: 10.3390/info8040128Google Scholar
8. M. . Parhi, A. . Roul, B. Ghosh, and A. Pati, “IOATS: an Intelligent Online Attendance Tracking System based on Facial Recognition and Edge Computing”, *Int J Intell Syst Appl Eng*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 252–259, May 2022.
9. Jun Y.B., Smarandache F., Song S.Z. and Khan M., Neutrosophic positive implicative N-Ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras, *Axioms* 7 (2018), 3. doi: 10.3390/axioms7010003Google Scholar
10. Philip, A. M., and D. S. . Hemalatha. “Identifying Arrhythmias Based on ECG Classification Using Enhanced-PCA and Enhanced-SVM Methods”. *International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication*, vol. 10, no. 5, May 2022, pp. 01-12, doi:10.17762/ijritcc.v10i5.5542.
11. Jun, Y.B., Xin,Xiao Long.,Implicative neutrosophic LI-ideals of Lattice implication algebras, *Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems* ,vol 38, no.2, (2020) 2141-2149.doi: 10.3233/JIFS- 190866.Google Scholar.
12. Mehmet Terzile., Berken Sertoglu., Siileyman Polat.,Reduced Axiomatization of Lattice implication Algebras, *Bulletin of the international Mathematical virtual institute* Vol .10 (3) (2020) 429-436.
13. doi:10.7251/BIMVI2003429T.Google Scholar.
14. Song S.Z., Smarandache F. and Jun Y.B., Neutrosophic commutative N-Ideals in BCK-algebras, *Information* 8 (2017), 130. doi: 10.3390/info8040130Google Scholar.
15. Hoda, S. A. ., and D. A. C. . Mondal. “A Study of Data Security on E-Governance Using Steganographic Optimization Algorithms”. *International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication*, vol. 10, no. 5, May 2022, pp. 13-21, doi:10.17762/ijritcc.v10i5.5548.